1		OUTH CAROLINA) RICHLAND)									
2	* * * * *										
3	SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS Tuesday, February 25, 2019										
4											
5	9:15 a.m. * * * *										
	DDD0DD.	THICK IS THE PARTITION AND THE STATE OF THE									
6	BEFORE:	SENATOR FLOYD NICHOLSON									
7		SENATOR KENT M. WILLIAMS SENATOR JOHN W. MATTHEWS, JR.									
8		SENATOR NIKKI G. SETZLER									
9		SENATOR HARVEY S. PEELER, JR. SENATOR THOMAS C. ALEXANDER									
10		SENATOR LAWRENCE K. "LARRY" GROOMS SENATOR DANIEL B. "DANNY" VERDIN, III									
11		SENATOR RONNIE W. CROMER SENATOR PAUL G. CAMPBELL, JR.									
		SENATOR TOM DAVIS									
12		SENATOR GREG HEMBREE SENATOR CHAUNCEY K. GREGORY									
13		SENATOR THOMAS D. "TOM" CORBIN SENATOR SEAN M. BENNETT									
14		SENATOR JOHN L. SCOTT, JR.									
15		SENATOR KARL B. ALLEN SENATOR KEVIN L. JOHNSON									
16	ALSO										
17	PRESENT:	Mark Bonsall Dan Ray									
18		Charlie Duckworth Pamela Williams									
	_										
19	REPORTER:	RONDA K. BLANTON Registered Professional Reporter									
20											
21											
22		COMPUSCRIPTS, INC. Client focused. Deadline driven.									
23	CHARLEST	ON COLUMBIA HILTON HEAD GREENVILLE MYRTLE BEACH									
24		www.compuscripts.com									
25		1-888-988-0086									

1	D	D	\cap	\overline{C}	┖	┖	Γ	т	Ν	\subset	C
L	P	к	U		Ŀ	Ŀ	ע		ΤΛ	G	\sim

- 2 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: I think we have a
- 3 quorum at this point in time. We'll get started.
- 4 We'd ask that -- Mike, do you want to -- and then
- 5 presenters have been asked per your -- they'll talk to
- 6 us; is that correct?
- 7 STAFF: That's correct, Mr. Chairman.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: I believe that's how
- 9 we're going to handle it.
- 10 STAFF: Mr. Chairman, several housekeeping
- 11 items. There is now a link on the Finance Committee
- 12 website. It's titled Santee Cooper Joint Resolution
- 13 Hearings. All the information that we've collected to
- 14 date will be there at that one button to click on.
- 15 This includes all the exhibits that have been provided
- 16 to the committee; of course, the ones that we'll
- 17 receive today; as well as the video testimony, if
- 18 anybody wants to go back and look at that.
- 19 We also have just passed out to you what we
- 20 received yesterday, which is the responses to the
- 21 questions that were asked of NextEra last week. That
- 22 handout has been placed at your desk.
- 23 Mr. Chairman, yesterday, communication from
- 24 me to Mr. Bonsall, who is here to testify --
- 25 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Chairman --

- 1 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir.
- 2 SENATOR SETZLER: -- I apologize to interrupt
- 3 you, Mike; but before we move on, we received a
- 4 response from Mr. Robo (phonetic) yesterday afternoon,
- 5 I believe, around 5 o'clock, if my memory serves me
- 6 correctly.
- 7 There were numerous items that they were
- 8 going to respond to. I would hope and respectfully
- 9 request that some time next week, as he agreed to do,
- 10 Mr. Robo will be called back before the committee
- 11 because I think there are numerous questions based off
- 12 of the response of NextEra relative to those things.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Thank you for that.
- 14 We've received no word on how long that is going to
- 15 take, but we'll evaluate any requests from them and
- 16 call him back.
- 17 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Chairman, the second, I
- 18 would request that some time next week, you also
- 19 consider the possibility of asking Miss Boykin with
- 20 PEBA to come forward and talk specifically relative to
- 21 the OPED and the retirement obligations that are being
- 22 left with the State pursuant to NextEra's proposal.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: You got that.
- 24 STAFF: Yes, sir.
- 25 SENATOR SETZLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

- 1 SENATOR GROOMS: Mr. Chairman --
- 2 STAFF: Senator Grooms.
- 3 SENATOR GROOMS: -- while we're talking about
- 4 these things, I notice that the response from Mr. Robo
- 5 did not include the requested information as to what
- 6 is the value of tax exemptions. That was specifically
- 7 asked by the Senator from Lexington several times.
- 8 SENATOR SETZLER: It was specifically asked,
- 9 and he has testified under oath that he would provide
- 10 that.
- 11 SENATOR GROOMS: He's provided us how much
- 12 taxes that they would pay. So his response is not
- 13 what would be exempted. I think that's what we asked
- 14 for. And if that information is not -- doesn't come
- 15 from him, I would hope that the Finance Committee
- 16 would seek a way to --
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: No, sir. 'Cause he
- 18 agreed to provide that.
- 19 SENATOR GROOMS: Thank you.
- 20 SENATOR SETZLER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would
- 21 hope it would be next week because we need time to
- 22 review what he's done and researched and the responses
- as well as prepare for Miss Boykin's appearance.
- Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 25 SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman --

- 1 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir.
- 2 SENATOR JOHNSON: Mike, do we have that
- 3 website, the Finance Committee website?
- 4 STAFF: Go to the legislative website and
- 5 click on Finance Committee.
- 6 SENATOR JOHNSON: Got you. Okay. Great.
- 7 STAFF: You'll see that ribbon there.
- 8 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you, Mike.
- 9 STAFF: Mr. Chairman, yesterday you sent a
- 10 letter to Mr. Bonsall, who is here to testify today.
- 11 Included in your request was to have Mr. Dan
- 12 Ray, the acting chairman of the Board of Directors;
- 13 Mr. Mike Baxley, general counsel; Mr. Mike Crosby,
- 14 senior vice president for nuclear energy; Mr. Marion
- 15 Cherry, the site representative for Santee Cooper at
- 16 V. C. Summers Stations 2 and 3; Mr. Lonnie Carter, who
- 17 is the former chief executive officer, Mr. Layton
- 18 Moore, who was the former Board chair of Santee
- 19 Cooper; and Mr. Jim Brogdan, the former acting chief
- 20 executive officer of Santee Cooper.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Do you want to call
- those one by one and swear them in?
- 23 STAFF: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman.
- Mr. Bonsall, you have before you members who
- 25 are here to testify; and some of the members who were

- 1 on the invited list are not here today. Is that
- 2 correct?
- MR. BONSALL: That is correct.
- 4 STAFF: Okay.
- 5 MR. BONSALL: That is correct. We invited
- 6 them. The -- for the most part, they were under
- 7 the -- on the advice of their attorneys, they were
- 8 advised not to participate today.
- 9 Mr. Brogdan is out of state. We have
- 10 Mr. Baxley and Chairman Ray with us; but as to the
- 11 others, they consulted with their own attorneys. As
- 12 you know, they are involved in a Department of Justice
- 13 proceeding; and on that basis, their attorneys told
- 14 them not to appear today. We apologize. We did ask,
- 15 and they did not appear.
- 16 STAFF: We'll swear you in one at a time
- 17 then. Mr. Bonsall, will you please raise your right
- 18 hand.
- 19 (Mr. Bonsall is sworn.)
- MR. BONSALL: I do.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Baxley.
- MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir.
- 23 (Mr. Baxley is sworn.)
- MR. BAXLEY: I do.
- 25 STAFF: Mr. Crosby.

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Mr. Crosby's not here. He's
- 2 the senior vice president of nuclear energy at Santee
- 3 Cooper.
- 4 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: I wonder why he's not
- 5 here.
- 6 MR. BONSALL: Mr. Crosby was one of the
- 7 individuals that received the advice from his attorney
- 8 that he could not appear today, as is Mr. Cherry.
- 9 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Say the answer.
- 10 MR. BONSALL: I said Mr. Crosby was one of
- 11 the individuals who, on the advice of his attorney,
- 12 indicated that he could not appear today.
- 13 That's the same situation as with Mr. Cherry
- 14 as well. Mr. Lord had a conflict. He said a legal
- 15 conflict.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: And what were the
- 17 others?
- 18 STAFF: Mr. Cherry is not here nor is
- 19 Mr. Crosby; is that correct?
- 20 MR. BONSALL: That's correct.
- 21 STAFF: And Mr. Carter --
- MR. BONSALL: Mr. Carter and Mr. Lord, same
- 23 legal advice, not to appear.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: That's on the advice of
- 25 their attorneys?

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Yes, it is.
- 2 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Chairman, could we
- 3 specifically find out if Mr. Crosby and Mr. Cherry are
- 4 current employees of Santee Cooper?
- 5 MR. BONSALL: They are.
- 6 SENATOR SETZLER: Are there any other persons
- 7 who didn't show up or respond that didn't come that
- 8 are current employees of Santee Cooper?
- 9 MR. BONSALL: Director Ray is here, and
- 10 Michael Baxley is here.
- 11 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: I have another
- 12 question. Are all the others employees?
- MR. BONSALL: No. Mr. Carter is no longer an
- 14 employee. I'm sorry. Mr. Carter is no longer an
- 15 employee. Mr. Brogdan is no longer an employee.
- 16 Those are former employees but not current.
- 17 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Good morning. So my
- 18 understanding is that all of the ones that are not
- 19 here is under advice of counsel. How about
- 20 Mr. Brogdan?
- 21 MR. BONSALL: That is not the case with
- 22 Mr. Brogdan. Mr. Brogdan is out of state visiting his
- 23 parents.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: We will need to get him
- 25 back here.

- 1 MR. BONSALL: We'll check. We'll check with
- 2 him and see what his travel arrangements are.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Will you do that?
- 4 MR. BONSALL: Sure. Be happy too.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: We may want to ask him
- 6 some questions. It would be very helpful to us in
- 7 that.
- 8 STAFF: Mr. Bonsall, is there anyone else who
- 9 would be testifying with your party that needs to be
- 10 sworn in?
- 11 MR. BONSALL: With your permission, I'd like
- 12 to swear in -- I have eight team members of the staff
- 13 here. It is possible that you may be asking questions
- 14 that require their response.
- I have only spent seven or eight months at
- 16 the Santee Cooper. I haven't spent 40 years, as I did
- 17 Salt River Project. So I may not be able to answer
- 18 all of your questions in detail. And with your
- 19 permission, I'd like to swear them in as well.
- 20 STAFF: Yes.
- 21 So for everyone who is here and any party who
- 22 is here and a party who might be called to testify or
- 23 to provide information, those of you who have not
- 24 already been sworn in, would you please stand and
- 25 raise your right hand.

- 1 (Additional witnesses are sworn.)
 2 STAFF: Thank you.
- 3 Mr. Chairman, first, is a presentation
- 4 provided by Santee Cooper. Every member will have a
- 5 copy of this at your desk. In addition, it is on the
- 6 screen for everyone in the audience to be able to see.
- 7 I believe your preference would be for
- 8 Mr. Bonsall or any other member of his team to go
- 9 through the presentation. Afterwards, then, we would
- 10 have a first round of questions. At your request, so
- 11 that we have every member who would have an
- 12 opportunity for a first round question, I'm going to
- 13 run a very general 20-minute clock. So that we,
- 14 hopefully, don't go above and beyond that. For a
- 15 member, as you're recognized, I'll remind you of that
- 16 20 minutes so that then the next person in the cue can
- 17 be recognized.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: If there are additional
- 19 questions after that --
- 20 SENATOR SETZLER: That's what I said.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: So Round 2.
- 22 STAFF: Round 2.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: There would be Round 2
- 24 and Round 3.
- 25 STAFF: Right.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: So everyone can ask all
- 2 the questions they want to.
- 3 STAFF: Mr. Bonsall. Mr. Ray.
- 4 MR. RAY: Good morning, good morning,
- 5 Mr. Chairman, President Peeler, and Senators. Thank
- 6 you for the opportunity to appear today and the
- 7 opportunity to present our reform plan.
- 8 Before I turn it over to Mr. Bonsall, I want
- 9 to take a minute to talk about V. C. Summer 2 and 3.
- 10 Obviously, Santee Cooper owns its fair share of the
- 11 responsibility for V. C. Summer 2, 3. Effectively, we
- 12 had a leadership failure. That leadership failure was
- 13 at the CEO and the Board level. No question about it.
- We've learned a lot of lessons from that, and
- 15 we've been humbled by it; and at the appropriate time,
- 16 we'd love to come back and present a full accounting
- of, not only what went wrong, but the lessons that
- 18 we've learned and incorporated into our business
- 19 today.
- 20 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Maybe the appropriate
- 21 time would be before we finish the hearings, you will
- 22 be invited back.
- MR. RAY: Thank you, sir.
- Also before I get started, I wanted to
- 25 introduce -- we have four other Board members here

- 1 today. That's Director David Singleton from Myrtle
- 2 Beach; Director Kristofer Clark from Easley; Director
- 3 Steve Mudge from Clemson; and Director Cal Land from
- 4 Manning. So I just wanted to recognize those four
- 5 folks as well.
- 6 Last May it was obvious to the Board that we
- 7 needed a strategic reset on leadership. We needed a
- 8 leader that -- it was an experienced utility executive
- 9 and would be able to come in and assist the Board in a
- 10 strategic reset of the business. That reset would
- 11 include our resource plan, our organizational
- 12 structure, and our governance.
- We conducted a national search, and the
- 14 results of that search was the hiring of Mr. Mark
- 15 Bonsall and Mr. Charlie Duckworth. Both Mr. Bonsall
- 16 and Mr. Duckworth have over 40 years of experience in
- 17 the power and utility business, principally at Salt
- 18 River Power, which is a large utility that conducts
- 19 both electric and water service in Arizona.
- 20 Mr. Bonsall was the CEO for eight years. He
- 21 was the head of finance for many years before that.
- 22 He's an engineer by training, and he served on the
- 23 large Public Power Council as its chair for a period
- 24 of time, also on the American Public Power Association
- 25 Council Board.

- 1 Mr. Duckworth also spent 40 years at Salt
- 2 River Project. He led their resource planning effort
- 3 and their strategic planning effort; and he is an
- 4 expert in, not only resource planning and pricing
- 5 principles, but also in the strategic guidance of
- 6 generation and transmission plan.
- 7 I've had the great privilege of serving on
- 8 active duty as a company commander. I've led business
- 9 teams. I've led companies, and there's no question in
- 10 my mind that key leaders make a big difference in how
- 11 an organization's run; and it's the Board's view that
- 12 Mr. Bonsall and Mr. Duckworth are the right key
- 13 leaders to help Santee Cooper execute this reform
- 14 plan.
- One last thing I'd like to mention is
- 16 there -- in the DOA report, there were comments about
- 17 the culture at Santee Cooper; and, as I've stated, we
- 18 had leadership failures at Santee Cooper. But we have
- 19 great people. We execute on a daily basis power
- 20 delivery, reliability, customer service, and safety.
- 21 Even as Mr. Robo stated last week, you show
- 22 me a company with a great safety record, I'll show you
- 23 a great company. We have a great safety record. We
- 24 have a great company. We have great people.
- 25 I want to -- I'm going to ask several people

- 1 to stand up because I want you to meet the future
- 2 leaders of Santee Cooper. They serve one level
- 3 underneath Mr. Bonsall and Mr. Duckworth, and they
- 4 were primarily responsible for putting this reform
- 5 plan together.
- 6 And please stand up when I call your name.
- 7 Raúl Dembla, Vickie Boudreau, Chris Wagner, Marty
- 8 Watson, Mike Brown, Mike Smith, Shawan Gillens,
- 9 Suzanne Ritter, Molly Gore, Jeff Pinlan, Yvette
- 10 Rolland, and Rebecca Rozier. (Most phonetic)
- 11 Senators, this is the leadership of Santee
- 12 Cooper.
- 13 CHATRMAN LEATHERMAN: I don't mean to
- interrupt you, but what's their positions?
- MR. BONSALL: They -- I don't have their
- 16 individual titles in front of me, Senator; but they
- 17 are leaders within this company.
- Thank you. Y'all can sit down.
- 19 They're responsible for transmission
- 20 planning. They're responsible for treasury
- 21 operations. They're responsible for credit rating
- 22 agency negotiations. They're responsible for
- 23 generation. They're responsible for fuels. They're
- 24 responsible for all parts of our business every day,
- 25 and they demonstrate excellence.

- 1 And I will leave you with this: They've
- 2 learned from this experience. They have. And trust
- 3 me. It will carry on into the future leadership of
- 4 this company. This V. C. Summer experience will not
- 5 be left on them.
- 6 Lastly, we have a great heritage at Santee
- 7 Cooper. Your predecessors in 1932 went to Chicago and
- 8 met with then candidate Franklin Delano Roosevelt and
- 9 talked about the idea of electrifying rural South
- 10 Carolina.
- 11 That vision resulted in the creation of
- 12 Santee Cooper; and in 1940, 80 percent of the kids in
- 13 the Santee River watershed tested positive for
- 14 malaria. One of the public benefits that Santee
- 15 Cooper brought was to wipe out and eradicate malaria
- 16 in South Carolina.
- 17 In those same schools that those kids went to
- in 1940, today we teach renewable energy education.
- 19 We have solar panels in those schools.
- 20 So the public benefit that Santee Cooper
- 21 brings exists today, not only in that -- in those
- 22 schools but also in economic development and many,
- 23 many other places in South Carolina.
- 24 Thank you for your time, gentlemen. And with
- 25 that, I'm going to turn the podium over to

- 1 Mr. Bonsall.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Good morning. Welcome.
- 3 MR. BONSALL: Good morning. Thank you,
- 4 Mr. Chairman and Mr. President and members of the
- 5 committee. Appreciate the opportunity very much to be
- 6 here and present this reform plan for Santee Cooper.
- 7 We believe it's just a superb plan.
- But prior to getting to that point, let me
- 9 make a few personal remarks, if I might.
- I want to thank the great people of the State
- of South Carolina. We have been received so warmly,
- 12 so nicely by everybody. I'm an Arizona boy, born and
- 13 raised. I'm coming in from out of state. We really
- 14 appreciate the warmth and the congeniality of the
- 15 people of South Carolina, one.
- 16 Two, the people of Santee Cooper -- I'll tell
- 17 you why in a second -- but I was a stranger to them,
- 18 although Santee Cooper was not a stranger to me.
- 19 They've welcomed me so warmly and wonderfully, and I
- 20 want to thank them for that.
- 21 We hit the ground running on July 9. I'll
- 22 give you a little background on that, but they have
- 23 helped and assisted; and they were ready, willing,
- 24 able, and desirous of a new direction. We could not
- 25 have developed this plan or the business forecast

- 1 without their help and without that desire. I found
- them to be ready, willing, able, and desirous of a new
- 3 direction; and that was the charge that I was given
- 4 when they hired me.
- 5 By way of background, just a little bit of
- 6 information on me. As I mentioned, I'm an Arizona
- 7 boy, born and raised. Hometown is Glendale, Arizona.
- 8 It was a small farming community when I was growing
- 9 up. It has since hosted Super Bowls and college
- 10 football championship games, as you probably know.
- 11 During high school and college, I went to
- 12 Arizona State University undergraduate for my
- 13 engineering degree. I basically was working at the
- 14 family business, which was a feed milling operation:
- 15 Cattle feed, chicken feed, horse feed, et cetera. Did
- 16 well. Applied to school at the University of
- 17 Pennsylvania, was accepted; and upon completing my
- 18 studies there, returned to Arizona because I loved it.
- 19 And I found employment at Salt River Project.
- 20 That's our thing. The significance of that decision
- 21 was a utility such as that, obviously, taps into the
- 22 engineering background, as well taps into the business
- 23 background. That was a very fortunate move on my part
- 24 because within a year or so, I met and married my wife
- 25 Mary. It will be -- I didn't forget her name.

- 1 It'll be 42 years in September. We have
- 2 three children, two boys and a girl. Left brain,
- 3 right brain, both sides. We hit a home run with
- 4 our -- with our daughter. We have one grandchild, a
- 5 little boy, who's 3 years old. Both our daughter-in-
- 6 law and our daughter are now pregnant with little
- 7 girls, and we anticipate the first of two additional
- 8 grandchildren in May and April of this year.
- 9 I retired from Salt River Project after 40
- 10 years of service, and I retired because there were no
- 11 additional mountains or hills to climb. It was in
- 12 great condition. It was a double A1, double A plus
- 13 rated credit.
- 14 Had a 25 percent system average price
- 15 advantage over the IOU that served the same local
- 16 territory as we did. It had 40 plus JD Power awards
- 17 for excellence in customer service. It was deep. The
- 18 succession plans were in place. It was in great
- 19 condition, and this was a perfect time for me to
- 20 retire and pass it on to future leaders of SRP.
- We did the same thing that normally people
- 22 would do in that situation. We traveled. Mary and I
- 23 did. We got that off the list. We spent more time
- 24 with our grandson. We fixed up our house. We
- 25 straightened up our finances; and over the course of

- 1 time, my thoughts began to turn to returning to
- 2 employment in some way, shape, or form.
- 3 Just so happened that at that time, the same
- 4 firm that SRP Board hired to replace me, Korn Ferry,
- 5 was engaged by Santee Cooper to find new management
- 6 for Santee Cooper; and the representative that dealt
- 7 with us contacted me. And I said, "Yes, I would think
- 8 about that."
- 9 And the reason that I said, "Yes, I would
- 10 think about that" is because I've worked with Santee
- 11 Cooper since the mid 1980s. I've worked with Santee
- 12 Cooper on industry matters way back when. The first
- 13 committee that the large vote power council formed --
- 14 by the way, Santee Cooper and Salt River Project were
- 15 two of the five founding members of the industry
- 16 association --
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Could you speak into
- 18 the mic?
- 19 MR. BONSALL: I will do so. Thank you. I
- 20 appreciate that.
- 21 Are two of the five founding members of the
- 22 large vote power council. The very first committee
- 23 that they created was a committee on tax exempt
- 24 finance, and they asked me to serve on that committee;
- 25 and there were two other members on that committee.

- 1 One of them was a representative of Jacksonville
- 2 Electric Authority, and the other one was a young
- 3 finance executive at Santee Cooper named Graham
- 4 Edwards. I've been a fast friend of Graham Edwards
- 5 ever since, and I developed an appreciation for Santee
- 6 Cooper as a result of that association.
- 7 So Santee Cooper was not unfamiliar to me;
- 8 but the people of Santee Cooper may have been, may
- 9 have found me unfamiliar. But I had a knowledge to
- 10 begin with, respected and appreciated the institution
- 11 and its people. And that made me receptive to the
- 12 invitation from the headhunter.
- 13 When T met and interviewed with the Board
- 14 members of Santee Cooper, it was very clear that we
- 15 had a meeting of the minds. They wanted dramatic
- 16 change in the future direction of the institution and
- dramatic improvement in the governance, transparency,
- 18 and accountability of the institution. Those were my
- 19 charges.
- 20 And we had a perfect meeting of the minds;
- 21 and I was willing to, thus, to join them understanding
- 22 where they wanted to go. And it was the same
- 23 conclusions that I had reached. So on July 9, last
- 24 summer, I arrived and hit the ground running and began
- 25 to develop two things.

- 1 One, a new business work desk for Santee
- 2 Cooper. That's what the Board members asked of me to
- 3 do, which also served as the new base case for the
- 4 Act 95 process. So we're killing two birds with one
- 5 stone by developing that new business work desk: The
- 6 new plan for the Board to review and approve and a
- 7 base case for the Act 95 process.
- 8 It took us 60 days. I did bring
- 9 Mr. Duckworth with me from Salt River Project. We had
- 10 worked together for 40 years; and between the two of
- 11 us, amongst some others, we were the principal
- 12 architects of the modern version of SRP. And we knew
- 13 that the same kind of solutions that we had brought to
- 14 bear on SRP could be brought to bear to this
- 15 particular situation as well.
- 16 With that, let me -- I'm going to talk a
- 17 little bit about our reform plan overview. Then I'm
- 18 going to ask Mr. Duckworth to come up and talk about
- 19 resource planning. I'm going to ask Pamela Williams,
- 20 our chief financial officer, to come up and talk about
- 21 governance and oversight; and then I'm going to close
- 22 at the end of the presentation.
- 23 So what did Charlie and I find when we got to
- 24 Santee Cooper? Well, we found a number of wonderful
- 25 attributes, as you see here. It's a low cost

- 1 provider, 9 percent lower than the average in the Sate
- of South Carolina. It's a diverse work force, first
- 3 among large agencies, South Carolina Human Affairs
- 4 Commission, superior reliability, No. 4 of 534 by used
- 5 co-ops and "munis" as measured by the United States
- 6 Energy Information Administration. No. 4. That's
- 7 fabulous. That's top 1 percent.
- At SRP we were top. That's top 1 percent.
- 9 That's fabulous. It's an incredible accomplishment
- 10 for an institution of this size. Award-winning
- 11 safety, as Dan talked about, first place two out of
- 12 the last three years in the APPA and safety survey.
- 13 High retail customer satisfaction. I want to
- 14 focus on this in just a second because this is going
- 15 to relate to what I show you later as the new
- 16 organizational design -- as the new organizational
- 17 design.
- 18 We operate a retail operation in Myrtle
- 19 Beach, as you know, and a couple of other spots in the
- 20 State of South Carolina. The customer satisfaction in
- 21 those areas is very high. 93 percent residential, 97
- 22 percent commercial, as you can see here.
- I know that we have a customer satisfaction
- 24 issue with Central and the co-ops. I know that. The
- 25 Board talked to me about that the day I interviewed

- 1 with them, and I know we need to focus on that. I'd
- 2 like to replicate the success that we've had in
- 3 customer satisfaction in our retail operations in our
- 4 wholesale operations. You'll see that later when I
- 5 show you the new org chart that I have put in place.
- 6 Strong environmental stewardship. You see
- 7 that, the DHEC Community Star Award in 2019,
- 8 innovative economic development. There's a great
- 9 focus in the State of South Carolina on economic
- 10 development. I was on the Arizona Department of
- 11 Commerce Board. That's the leading economic
- 12 development entity in the State of Arizona.
- 13 T know about it. I think entities such as
- 14 Salt River Project and Santee Cooper are exact
- 15 economic development engines. That's part of their
- 16 role in the states that they occupy; and I can tell
- 17 you, you have economic development here that would
- 18 make the State of Arizona deeply envious. You've got
- 19 a great record and a good construct for, in fact,
- 20 pursuing economic development. Santee Cooper, I
- 21 think, is proud to be a participant in that, a part of
- 22 that; and we want to continue that going forward.
- 23 And solid financial metrics. It's an A rated
- 24 institution, Santee Cooper is. Generates positive
- 25 cash flow after the payment of all debt, including the

- 1 nuclear debt, about \$160 or so million a year. It was
- 2 in better financial condition when I got here than I
- 3 thought it would be. So I'm pleased to say there were
- 4 a number of very good points to Santee Cooper. It's
- 5 got good bones, and it's a good fundamental utility
- 6 operation.
- What are the problems? Obviously, V. C.
- 8 Summer 2 and 3 debt and the mitigation thereof and, as
- 9 the Board talked to me about upon our interview,
- 10 transparency; and we'll take these in turn in this
- 11 presentation.
- So as to the V. C. Summer 2 and 3 debt, the
- 13 mitigation thereof, our challenge was what we can save
- 14 to offset VCS 2 and 3 while preserving all the things
- 15 that you see listed there? Reliability, safety,
- 16 economic development, water operations, jobs more
- importantly, and without cost shifts to taxpayers. Is
- 18 that possible? That seemed like a pretty big charge.
- But we have developed a new plan; and,
- 20 Senator Setzler, you asked the question at one point
- 21 in time. "What was your plan?" You asked it a while
- 22 ago, not of me. But some of the -- yes, sir.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Please speak in the
- 24 mic. I think some of my members are having difficulty
- 25 hearing you.

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Okay. Thank you.
- 2 You asked that question of former execs of
- 3 Santee Cooper before. "What was your new plan?" And
- 4 they didn't have a new plan. So you got an answer,
- 5 and it was a very bad answer. My apologies.
- 6 We're here to present a good plan for your
- 7 consideration. This plan saves \$4 billion in future
- 8 costs. How can it do that?
- 9 The former plan was the same-old-same-old.
- 10 They didn't change anything; and in a nutshell, this
- 11 is what it looks like. Santee Cooper was a long
- 12 capacity. That means it had excess resources to its
- 13 need; and not only that, it was building more excess
- 14 capacity. And it wasn't just building any kind of
- 15 additional excess capacity. It was building nuclear
- 16 excess capacity. So that's at issue.
- 17 Remove the excess capacity. Excess capacity
- 18 costs an enormous amount of money. You're not getting
- 19 any revenue from it because you're not generating any
- 20 product. You're not producing anything. You're just
- 21 carrying it, and the kinds of excess capacity that
- 22 Santee Cooper had are very expensive to maintain.
- 23 So objective one is to get supply and demand
- 24 back into balance. Don't carry excess capacity.
- 25 Don't build more excess capacity. And once you've

- done that, then optimize or redesign the resource
- 2 portfolio going forward, and you'll hear a lot about
- 3 how we are proposing to redesign the resource
- 4 portfolio going forward.
- 5 This is, as you see on the bottom, winter
- 6 peak demand because the peak demand for this utility
- 7 in this region of the country peaks early in the
- 8 morning in the wintertime.
- 9 In Arizona, we'd be late in the afternoon in
- 10 the summertime; but in South Carolina, we peak early
- in the morning in the wintertime. So that's the peak
- 12 point that you have to design your system to be able
- 13 to supply. So that's why we measure supply and demand
- 14 relative to the winter peak demand in the morning.
- That's also why, by the way, solar resources
- 16 don't necessarily contribute to this peak because this
- 17 peak occurs before the sun comes up in the wintertime;
- 18 and we can show you those charts, if you're interested
- 19 in it.
- 20 So the excess capacity that's shown on this
- 21 chart is not VCS 2 and 3. VCS 2 and 3 would have been
- 22 in addition. Here too is Winyah, the Winyah coal-
- 23 fired generating station. So we have suggested that
- 24 we cease operations at Winyah. We'll talk about the
- 25 particulars when we get there, but that will bring you

- 1 basically back down to balance; and then some of the
- 2 reduction in Winyah would have to be offset in a
- 3 specific way in order to preserve reliability on the
- 4 system, and Mr. Duckworth will talk about that a
- 5 little bit more.
- 6 And as we have the opportunity over the time,
- 7 we'll optimize the net resource portfolio going
- 8 forward while maintaining this balance. How do we do
- 9 that?
- 10 Close down. Capital expenditures are down.
- 11 We want to review this fleet afoot with minimal
- 12 investment in new bricks and mortar. So we want to
- 13 deliver, de-risk Santee Cooper by reducing capital
- 14 expenditures in relationship to the resource plan.
- 15 Solar's up. The way you do that with solar is you
- 16 don't build it yourself. You bid it.
- 17 We did this in the State of Arizona. When we
- 18 converted the resource portfolio at Salt River
- 19 Project, we bid the solar. We didn't build it our
- 20 self. You can get unbelievably aggressive bids
- 21 because there's a number of really wonderful providers
- 22 out there instead of doing it yourself and drive those
- 23 costs down.
- 24 The kinds of contracts we were able to enter
- 25 into and the kind of indications we received when we

- 1 were developing this reform plan for Santee Cooper, in
- 2 fact, said, okay. We'll provide you with the solar
- 3 energy. You don't pay for a single kilowatt hour you
- 4 don't get. You only pay for output. You only pay for
- 5 kilowatt hours. There's no demand charge. There's no
- 6 minimum. There's no fixed price.
- 7 Developers are confident in their product,
- 8 and that's the way to deliver and de-risk a company
- 9 and reduce prices at the same time. Batteries up.
- 10 Demand-side management. Our plan calls for
- 11 some 250 megawatts of demand-side management. We can
- 12 describe what a megawatt is a little bit later, but
- 13 it's big. It's a big amount of energy that serves a
- 14 lot of homes.
- 15 And demand side. Why is demand side
- 16 important? Demand side is basically offering
- 17 customers to conserve in different ways. If they
- 18 conserve and they consume less energy, then we incur
- 19 less costs. So you share those savings between the
- 20 utility and the customer. That's what demand-side
- 21 management can reduce, your peak.
- Let me give you an example. At Salt River
- 23 Project, we created a program called the Empower
- 24 Program. Basically it was a prepay program. It was
- 25 built on the smart meter platform that we install; and

- 1 it allowed customers to prepay, go to their grocery
- 2 store, buy a gallon of milk, and put \$10 on their
- 3 smart card for energy and run it through the card
- 4 reader at their home.
- 5 They ran it through the card reader, and that
- 6 card reader would allow that \$10 worth of energy to
- 7 come through the meter. It's a prepaid program. We
- 8 did that for different reasons other than
- 9 conservation. But we found out when people ran it
- 10 through the card reader, the card reader had a little
- 11 display on it that said, "If you continue consuming
- 12 energy at the rate you're consuming energy, you're
- 13 going to run out in three days" or five days or seven
- 14 days.
- 15 For the first time, people had the ability to
- 16 manage their energy use before they consumed it and
- 17 control their own consumption to meet their own cash
- 18 flows.
- 19 Dramatic reversal of the role between normal
- 20 monopoly utility and customer put the customer in
- 21 control of their consumption. They responded
- 22 dramatically. Customers that went on this program --
- 23 by the way, it was entirely a voluntary program.
- We had a little over a million customers at
- 25 Salt River Project. We had 180,000 customers on this

- 1 program, and it was all by word of mouth. People
- 2 wanted that information. They wanted the convenience
- 3 of the cash flows, and they wanted the information
- 4 because it put those people in the position of being
- 5 able to act effectively on their own economic
- 6 self-interests.
- What did they consume? They consumed 12
- 8 percent less energy on average consistently measured
- 9 over years and years and years. That's the demand-
- 10 side management program. That's the kind of thing we
- 11 want to do as well at Santee Cooper.
- 12 Supply and demand remains in balance. And
- 13 modular, modular. I am emphasizing here
- 14 smaller bits and pieces. That's a very basic lesson
- 15 from the VCS 2 and 3 experience is, A, don't put all
- 16 your eggs in one basket and do it in measured --
- 17 whatever you do, do it in measured terms; and this
- 18 reform plan reflects that deeply-imbedded learning.
- 19 So I had the opportunity to be both leaner
- 20 and greener because renewable resources are cheaper
- 21 now. In the 30 years -- the first 30 years of my
- 22 career at Salt River Project, you couldn't say
- 23 "leaner" and "greener" in the same sentence. You can
- 24 now, and that's been a major revolution in the utility
- 25 business.

- 1 It's about a little after the turn of the
- 2 century, and we're adopting those principles. We did
- 3 them at SRP. They're nodding yes, as listed here.
- 4 Charlie and I have done this; and as you will see,
- 5 we're subjecting that to extensive oversight.
- I want to comment, just briefly, on the
- 7 principle-driven changes. There's a lot of emphasis
- 8 in the reform plan on pricing principles and resource
- 9 planning principles.
- 10 There is -- we asked the Board of Directors
- 11 of Santee Cooper to approve those principles as a
- 12 starting point or subject -- or a subsequent open
- 13 discussion of those principles. Why have principles?
- 14 It's a great emphasis on accountability going forward.
- 15 Accountability for what? Accountable for
- 16 what? That's why we're proposing these principles;
- 17 and as you read the principles, both pricing and
- 18 resource planning, you can measure those principles.
- 19 Those are the kinds of things that you should hold us
- 20 accountable for to deliver to you as the owners of
- 21 this enterprise. That's why we're focused on resource
- 22 planning principles and pricing principles.
- Let me move to the development of when we
- 24 made those changes to the resource planning going
- 25 forward. These are the economies that we were able to

- 1 achieve. You see the savings are the blue line on
- 2 top, and the cost of carry of VCS 2 and 3 debt is the
- 3 red line on the bottom; and they cross over fairly
- 4 quickly.
- 5 So we're able to offset any incremental costs
- 6 to our customers of carrying VC 2 and 3 and generate
- 7 savings. So the strategic question is what do you do
- 8 with those savings? Which you can make choices as to
- 9 how you manage the business by utilizing those
- 10 savings. We made a couple of strategic choices, and
- 11 they're illustrated on this chart.
- 12 This chart's a little bit more complicated.
- 13 I apologize for it. I don't mean to be too utility
- 14 needy, but there's two choices that are illustrated
- 15 here.
- 16 One of them is to stabilize prices, and the
- 17 lines on the top depict the prices in nominal terms --
- 18 that we forecast in nominal terms and in real terms.
- 19 The nominal price is the red line at the bottom. Not
- 20 only does it include the effects of inflation; and you
- 21 can see it's slow, not a rise, over the 20-year
- 22 period. Stability for the first seven or so years and
- 23 the slow rise after that.
- 24 The rise after that is driven, for the most
- 25 part, by fuel expenses, for gas and fuel expenses.

- 1 Fuel expenses are a passthrough, as you may know, for
- 2 a utility. The utility doesn't make any money. It's
- 3 dollar for dollar passthrough. We will do everything
- 4 that we possibly can to moderate those increases in
- 5 fuel expenses. That's what's driving that -- the
- 6 trend up to the 8.33 cents per kilowatt hour you see
- 7 on the right-hand side of the red line.
- 8 There is only one base price increase in that
- 9 entire 20-year period. Why? All the rest of it's
- 10 fuel. And that base price increase is about eight or
- 11 nine years out. There's only one in this forecast,
- 12 and that's because we've created a resource that is
- 13 modular and cap ex. Yes, sir.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Members are giving you
- 15 their undivided attention. They're interested. So
- 16 please speak into the mic. I know you want us to hear
- 17 what you're saying.
- 18 MR. BONSALL: Thank you. I will.
- The line below it, which ends up with 5.61
- 20 cents per kilowatt hour, takes out the effects of
- 21 inflation. So it removes the inflation that's
- 22 imbedded in the line up above.
- 23 We use the inflation factor that the DOA used
- 24 or asked us to use, and I think it was 2.1 cents -- or
- 25 not cents. 2.1 percent per year. I think that was

- 1 the long-term inflation; and when you strip out the
- 2 effects of inflation, you get a real price that
- 3 declines consistently over the course of time.
- 4 I think that's a quintessential expression of
- 5 what an institution like Santee Cooper is all about.
- 6 That's one of the dividends that public ownership
- 7 should provide to our customers is a declining real
- 8 price. That's how you become a favorable or an
- 9 increasingly-favorable influence in the economy that
- 10 you serve is to make this factor in production in real
- 11 terms decline in cost.
- 12 Concurrently, the blue bars -- the vertical
- 13 blue bars depict the reduction in debt over the course
- 14 of time, between now and 20 years from now. So it's
- 15 those two strategic purposes. We want to use the
- 16 savings that are depicted in the prior chart to affect
- 17 price stability and aggressive debt reduction.
- 18 I want to point out that that's a choice.
- 19 This is a blend. This is a mix of the use of those
- 20 savings. They're going to be different mixes. This
- 21 plan that we present to you is that. It's a proposal.
- 22 If there's different mixes that you'd like to see,
- 23 you're the boss. We work for you. You tell us.
- 24 We'll do what -- we'll get there.
- 25 We can do different things with those savings

- 1 going forward. We chose to propose these two things
- 2 because we think they're good things, but there can be
- 3 different -- there can be different plans.
- I also want to point out that, fortunately,
- 5 the -- you read about the Cook settlement in the paper
- 6 a few days ago. This chart does not depict the
- 7 effects of the Cook settlement.
- 8 I'm going to show you a couple of charts in a
- 9 second or so too, but this doesn't show that. So more
- 10 than likely, given that settlement, you will see a
- 11 greater emphasis in this chart on price reduction
- 12 because that's what the Cook settlement is dedicated
- 13 to and a little bit less on debt reduction over the
- 14 course of time.
- 15 We have simulated that. I believe we can
- 16 stick to our price forecast. I'll show you charts in
- 17 a second and catch up with the debt reduction over the
- 18 course of time. So at the end of the day, that's
- 19 going to be a push and that our customers do nothing
- 20 but benefit as a result of that. So we've chosen to
- 21 prefer long-term price stability and aggressive debt
- 22 reduction in this plan.
- 23 Let me talk about some of the pricing
- 24 factors.
- 25 This chart shows -- and you've seen some of

- 1 this from the DOA presentation. The green line on the
- 2 bottom is the price forecast in our reform plan.
- 3 That's unadjusted. Those are our numbers. We
- 4 understand those numbers. We stand by those numbers.
- 5 But the DOA did go through a process of
- 6 normalizing those numbers so that it could compare
- 7 this proposal to NextEra's proposal. We understand
- 8 the need to do that. They normalized us, and they
- 9 normalized them. That's fine. That's fair. But I
- 10 wanted to emphasize the difference between our raw
- 11 numbers, the actual numbers in the reform plan, and
- 12 the normalized numbers; and you can see --
- 13 SENATOR PEELER: Mr. Bonsall --
- MR. BONSALL: Yes, sir.
- 15 SENATOR PEELER: -- you have what I call a
- 16 public radio voice. It's very pleasant, nice voice.
- 17 MR. BONSALL: Thank you.
- 18 SENATOR PEELER: But it's hard sometimes.
- 19 It's a lot quieter than I can hear. You're trying to
- 20 deal with the microphone. What I'm going to try to do
- 21 is get the clerk to get a longer wand. But in the
- 22 meantime, could you just yell into the microphone?
- MR. BONSALL: I'll yell into it. Is this
- 24 loud enough?
- 25 SENATOR PEELER: I want your opinion. It's a

- 1 very pleasant voice.
- 2 MR. BONSALL: You just can't understand what
- 3 I say. That's not a good thing.
- 4 SENATOR PEELER: Sometimes that's a good
- 5 thing. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 MR. BONSALL: Hopefully not in this case.
- 7 Okay. I'll speak louder right into the microphone.
- 8 So this chart depicts the normalized prices
- 9 that the DOA assumed for purposes of the normalization
- 10 comparison -- perfectly valid purpose -- to our raw
- 11 prices in the reform plan that you see in front of
- 12 you. And we have chosen to discount this difference
- 13 at a little bit different discount rate than they
- 14 utilized. They utilized something like a 7 percent
- 15 discount rate, as I understand it.
- 16 We wanted to take the view of what our
- 17 customers' discount rate is in a realistic view. The
- 18 7 percent was much higher than Santee Cooper's
- 19 weighted average constant capital. But it also
- 20 doesn't necessarily relate to what an individual
- 21 residential customer views as being their constant
- 22 capital.
- 23 So we used -- because this is a 20-year
- 24 period, we used a 15-year mortgage rate. That's what
- 25 I -- that's what our residential customer could go out

- 1 and borrow for. We used a 30 -- not a 30-year time
- 2 frame. It's a 20-year time frame. So we used the
- 3 rate that was closer to the time frame.
- 4 As you see in the note on the bottom, reform
- 5 plan aggregate revenues, \$1.4 billion lower than the
- 6 normalized scenario in the nominal terms. \$900
- 7 million in present value difference.
- 8 This is a chart, as well, that you saw from
- 9 the DOA. And it presents the NextEra normalized
- 10 numbers, which is the red line; the Santee Cooper
- 11 normalized numbers, which is the green line that were
- 12 lower in the first four years, were higher in the
- 13 latter period. And what this doesn't do is attribute
- 14 the effects of the Cook settlement to Santee Cooper's
- 15 prices, and that we wanted to show you.
- 16 Now, you're familiar with the Cook
- 17 settlement. Nobody knows, I think, exactly how that's
- 18 actually going to be allocated. The -- it was \$200
- 19 million for Santee Cooper, \$320 million for Dominion.
- The lawyers have signed it. It needs to be
- 21 approved by the respective Boards, and then needs to
- 22 go back to Justice Toal; and there needs to be some
- 23 I's dotted and T's crossed on the terms and conditions
- 24 on how that is, in fact, allocated. And that hasn't
- 25 been decided yet. But NextEra or the DOA made the

- 1 assumption that it would be allocated in the first
- 2 four years.
- 3 So we're going to do the same thing to Santee
- 4 Cooper's line and show you that comparison; and
- 5 needless to say, the lines come much more closely
- 6 together. And when you apply, again, the discount
- 7 rate, which is the 3.17 percent, you get a \$1.3
- 8 billion difference, 910 million in present value
- 9 difference between the two bids in favor of Santee
- 10 Cooper.
- I want to focus in a little bit on just one
- 12 category of customer, and that's Central.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: I'm going to interrupt
- 14 there.
- MR. BONSALL: Yes, sir.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Settlement you're
- 17 talking about is strictly monetary settlement. Does
- 18 not include governance; is that correct?
- 19 MR. BONSALL: It does not include governance?
- 20 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: The governance for
- 21 operation.
- MR. BONSALL: That's correct, it does not.
- 23 As I understand it, it does not.
- Thank you.
- But we get closer together; and the advantage

- 1 to Santee Cooper, obviously, goes up when you put this
- 2 on an apples to apples basis. This is closer to an
- 3 apples to apples comparison, again, recognizing that
- 4 nobody exactly knows how the funds are going to be
- 5 applied. That's all to be worked out.
- 6 I did want to focus, if I could, for a
- 7 moment, the prices to Central. They're imbedded in
- 8 our reform plan. Again, I know we have an issue with
- 9 Central. We want to get to it, as best we can. We
- 10 have not been allowed, and I'm not complaining about
- 11 that. We have not been allowed to have the kind of
- 12 discussions with Central that we would like to have
- 13 and intend to have just as soon as we possibly can as
- 14 a result of restrictions in the process.
- But this is the implication of the reform
- 16 plan to prices for Central, and you can see that they
- 17 are stable going back to 2017 out to 2029. They're
- 18 stable or lower for a period of some 12 years, which I
- 19 think is a rather positive development for Central.
- 20 Here's a list of the pricing principles; and,
- 21 again, the reason that I focused, to some degree, on
- 22 pricing principles and on researching planning
- 23 principles is to answer the question: Accountable for
- 24 what?
- 25 We understand we need bigger accountability.

- 1 We understand we need greater oversight. What are the
- 2 measures? What are the things that you're accountable
- 3 for? What are the things that you expect us to
- 4 deliver to you?
- 5 The Board adopted these principles, and look
- 6 at the first one. Limit price increases to less than
- 7 inflation. You can measure that goal. You should
- 8 hold the institution accountable for accomplishing
- 9 that goal. This reform plan does clearly, but that's
- 10 a quantifiable measure that you can hold an
- 11 institution accountable for.
- 12 Look at the two bottom ones: Protection and
- 13 transparency. Santee Cooper does not have currently a
- 14 formal program for helping those less fortunate
- 15 amongst us, and we believe that it should. There are
- 16 a number of utilities in the State of South Carolina
- 17 that do, and we want to move in that direction.
- 18 Transparency. Openness and annual review of
- 19 compliance with pricing principle. As you're going to
- 20 hear from Pamela in a second, the review is -- we're
- 21 suggesting is by the Office of Regulatory Staff that
- 22 we would produce on an annual basis a report that says
- 23 this is what we're doing. These are the results, and
- 24 we submit that to the Office of Regulatory Staff.
- 25 We can submit it to whoever you want us to.

- 1 We're suggesting that because, presumably, they have
- 2 the expertise to respond, as I understand it; and they
- 3 are the appropriate agency. But, again, we can submit
- 4 it to whoever it is you want us to; and we're happy to
- 5 do that.
- 6 That kind of transparency is what Charlie and
- 7 I will live with on a day-to-day basis at SRP. We're
- 8 used to it. It's the right kind of transparency. It
- 9 takes more work, but it ends up with better decisions.
- 10 At this point I'm going to ask Mr. Duckworth
- 11 to stand up and talk about resource planning. Thank
- 12 you for your attention.
- 13 Charlie.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: While he's coming
- 15 forward, members, we've been going about an hour and
- 16 15 minutes. So let's take a 15-minute break. Be back
- 17 here at 10:25 by that clock on the wall there.
- 18 STAFF: Recess until 10:25.
- 19 (A recess was taken.)
- 20 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: We'll get started back.
- 21 Thank you. We've had a break here. So,
- 22 Mr. Duckworth, will you come forward.
- 23 MR. DUCKWORTH: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
- 24 Mr. President, and members of the committee.
- 25 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: If you can,

43

- 1 Mr. Duckworth, if you could --
- 2 MR. DUCKWORTH: Yell into the mic. I will do
- 3 that as well as I can. I will hold my voice last for
- 4 the period of time.
- 5 I'm Charlie Duckworth. Appreciate the
- 6 opportunity to present our reform plan to you today.
- 7 Little bit about me before I jump into the
- 8 resource planning side of the portfolio. Like Mark, I
- 9 grew up in Arizona; but I was born into a cotton-
- 10 farming family in west Texas. My parents moved out to
- 11 Arizona when I was relatively young, and I met and
- 12 married my wife of nearly 41 years in Arizona; but it
- 13 turns out that she and her family are from that same
- 14 small town in west Texas that my family came from. So
- 15 there was a connection.
- 16 We have two daughters and two granddaughters;
- 17 and unlike Mark, I don't think any more on the way.
- 18 But I still hold out hope.
- 19 As Mark told you, we spent about 40 years
- 20 together at Salt River Project; and over that time
- 21 period, we added about 6,000 megawatts to the resource
- 22 portfolio at SRP. We added over 1,000 megawatts of
- 23 renewables. We had nearly 20 percent of our energy
- 24 production and our energy demands from energy
- 25 efficiency.

- 1 We had hundreds of megawatts of customer-side
- 2 programs that reduced the amount of peak that we
- 3 needed to meet. We closed coal plants. We led three
- 4 integrated resource planning processes through the
- 5 public arena, and that is a process that produces a
- 6 better outcome. It's something that we welcome, as
- 7 Mark talked about.
- 8 We did all of that; and in the process, we
- 9 lowered emissions and improved and kept reliability
- 10 where it belongs. So we have done these things before
- 11 is the point of that.
- 12 Mark talked a lot about principles. We're
- 13 not -- these choices, this resource plan that we
- 14 developed, was driven by principles. What -- and
- 15 these were the eight resource planning principles that
- 16 we used in going through and making choices.
- 17 Customer focus, cost management, ensuring
- 18 reliability, environmental stewardship, optionality,
- 19 taking a long-term view. I'm going to talk about a
- 20 few of these in a little more depth in a minute. We
- 21 wanted to add resources in small increments. We
- 22 wanted to embrace innovation, and we wanted
- 23 transparency.
- 24 Those were the principles that we looked at
- 25 when we were asking ourselves, you know, what kinds of

- 1 resource choices make the most sense? What are we
- 2 looking to accomplish? When you ask yourselves should
- 3 I retire a particular resource? Which ones -- which
- 4 resources of the new ones available should I add?
- 5 When should I add them? How big?
- These were the principles that drove those
- 7 decisions. We were analyzing the choices that we had.
- 8 We wanted these elements of value to be the result
- 9 from that process.
- 10 We talked a little bit about the last four of
- 11 these. So optionality. Generating resources had a
- 12 long list; and so when you make choices, you have to
- 13 look out over a long period of time. 20 years, maybe
- 14 longer, and ask yourself what will that choice mean
- 15 for you over the long-term?
- 16 It's a process because of that, because of
- 17 the lives of these resources, it's a process that's
- 18 got a lot of assumption in it.
- 19 We -- you have to look at what you think
- 20 natural gas prices might be. That's been the subject
- 21 of some prior discussions. You need to think about
- 22 what -- how fast will costs of solar, costs of
- 23 batteries, are they going to continue to decline? How
- 24 fast will they decline?
- 25 You need to think about loads. What will be

- 1 the customer demand out over a period of 10 or 20
- 2 years? And you have to -- these assumptions become a
- 3 part of the assessment of which of these resource
- 4 choices make the most sense going forward?
- 5 Problem with making long-term assumptions
- 6 about the future is sometimes, it doesn't turn out in
- 7 the way that you assume. So what's really important
- 8 in a resource plan is that it will work well over a
- 9 wide range of future conditions.
- 10 Yes. It needs to work well under the base
- 11 assumption of what you think is likely to be based,
- 12 but it also needs to stack up well should something
- 13 change; and so optionality is one of the key things
- 14 that we were looking for when we made the decisions
- 15 relative to future resources.
- 16 We also wanted to add resources in smaller
- 17 increments. Mark's already talked a little bit about
- 18 this. This enables the flexibility, the optionality
- 19 that I just talked about. Because if you're -- if you
- 20 put in a resource that's very large and will meet your
- 21 needs and demands for many, many years, then you're
- 22 locked into that. You have a mortgage payment, in a
- 23 sense, on that resource going forward.
- 24 So one of our fundamental intents and goals
- 25 was to bring supply and demand back into balance, as

- 1 Mark talked about, and to add resources in increments
- 2 that were closer to matching the need. Closer to
- 3 matching the load demand that we had.
- 4 Innovation. The technological development
- 5 that's been accruing over the last several years, as
- 6 Mark said, has put us in a position where you can now
- 7 transform a resource plan and make it leaner and
- 8 greener at the same time. Those technological
- 9 advances are accelerating. They're not slowing down.
- 10 I'm going to show a couple cost curves in a few
- 11 minutes that look at examples of how that's changing.
- We want to embrace that innovation; and we
- 13 want to leave in our plan the opportunity to take
- 14 advantage of those changes as they come about, to have
- 15 a plan that, if something or when something changes
- 16 about the future, if there's a new option that's lower
- 17 cost that makes sense, we want to plan that and adapt
- 18 and use that lower cost option, not be locked into
- 19 something that is -- precludes the opportunity for
- 20 using the technological advances.
- 21 This is particularly true in the area of the
- 22 customer side, the energy efficiency and demand side
- 23 options that Mark mentioned. The information that's
- 24 become more and more available from customers about
- 25 how they use electricity, it provides a tremendous

- 1 opportunity for -- in helping customers make better
- 2 choices about how they use their electricity, save
- 3 them money in that process.
- 4 It's a cleaner option than producing the
- 5 resource through typical natural gas resources, for
- 6 example; and it's an option that saves utility money.
- 7 So we wanted to embrace that innovation and leave room
- 8 for it in our plan. That's why you'll see demand-side
- 9 options in our plan, and I believe that there's
- 10 even potentially more --
- 11 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Duckworth, can you
- 12 speak into that mic? The members want to give you
- 13 their undivided attention.
- MR. DUCKWORTH: Thank you. I will do that
- 15 better. Thank you.
- 16 Last thing I wanted to mentioned,
- 17 transparency. That is something that we very much
- 18 lived with in Arizona. It's something that Pamela
- 19 Williams is going to talk about in a few minutes
- 20 relative to governance.
- It's a process that we will -- we want to
- 22 engage customers and stakeholders, Central, you all,
- 23 in understanding and developing our resource plans
- 24 through an IRP process that's tied to the Act 62
- 25 effort that you all put into place. We want and

- 1 welcome an opportunity to involve you all through a
- 2 process of that planning.
- We've found that in Arizona to be -- to
- 4 result in a much stronger plan. We want to seek
- 5 input. We want to be open to questions, and we want
- 6 to be responsive to that process. So, again, Pamela's
- 7 going to talk more about that in a few minutes; but
- 8 transparency is definitely one of the things we want
- 9 to add into this.
- 10 So what specific resource changes came out of
- 11 this process? What were the changes, the results of
- 12 following those principles in asking what plan -- what
- 13 loads and resources plan makes the most sense for
- 14 Santee Cooper?
- 15 These are -- these next two slides outline
- 16 those specific changes. Mark's already mentioned the
- 17 first one, and that is that we would retire the Winyah
- 18 coal plant in two steps. 2023 being two units, so
- 19 half of the plant, and 2027 for the other half of the
- 20 plant.
- 21 Why in that time frame? There's two
- 22 fundamental reasons for that time frame. The first is
- 23 that we need to have adequate time to ensure system
- 24 reliability when you retire a plant like that.
- When transmission and resource systems are

- 1 built to supply a reliable service and when you retire
- 2 a power plant that's in the area of concentrated load,
- 3 you need to do something to beef up your transmission
- 4 system and/or replace that resource in the area of the
- 5 load.
- 6 Winyah is in that area. So we looked -- we
- 7 ran powerful studies. We looked closely at what would
- 8 be necessary to provide reliable service and went down
- 9 the path of retiring that plant. We found that the
- 10 timeline necessary to do that was five, six, seven,
- 11 eight years. Transmission takes a long time to build,
- 12 takes time to site, and it is not something that can
- 13 be done quickly, and it's not cheap.
- 14 So we looked at some other options for how to
- 15 go about doing that. We have people on the team, my
- 16 direct team, that -- three of them have spent time in
- 17 the operations area for the Santee Cooper system.
- 18 They understand what it takes. So we have developed
- 19 the -- a plan that would allow for that reliable
- 20 system to be maintained.
- 21 The second fundamental reason for that time
- 22 frame is our employees. We want to do this in a way
- 23 that is -- that allows time to reemploy the employees
- 24 that work there. There's about 180, 185 employees
- 25 there; and this is something similar to what we did in

- 1 Arizona with the Navajo coal plant.
- 2 It's 2250 megawatts, and we took two to three
- 3 years of time to retire that plant because we wanted
- 4 to offer the employees at that plant an opportunity
- 5 for another job within the Salt River Project; and
- 6 that process was very successful. Every employee
- 7 there was offered an opportunity for another job
- 8 within SRP. Some -- a few didn't take that
- 9 opportunity because they just didn't want to leave the
- 10 area; but it is something that works, and we want to
- 11 have the time to reemploy the employees appropriately
- 12 at Winyah.
- So those are the two fundamental reasons why
- 14 we waited seven to eight years to fully retire Winyah.
- 15 I've talked about reliability some already.
- 16 When we looked at what it would take to retire Winyah
- 17 and have a reliable system as a result, we found -- we
- 18 looked at other options because of the timeline
- 19 associated with transmission issues. And we found
- 20 that adding 100 megawatts of aeroderivative -- that's
- 21 a fancy resource planning word.
- It's a gas -- it's an airplane engine,
- 23 basically, tied to a generator. It's small. It's
- 24 modular. It's quick to build. We found that if you
- 25 added 100 megawatts in the area of that concentrated

- 1 load, it would allow you to have a reliable system;
- 2 and it gave you time to develop the other transmission
- 3 additions that you needed to accomplish the
- 4 reliability.
- 5 Natural gas resources, combined-cycle
- 6 additions. The plan calls for two additions of
- 7 natural gas resources. The first is a 500 megawatt
- 8 combined-cycle unit to be added when the second
- 9 Winyah -- the full Winyah plant, second half, is
- 10 retired in 2027. That plant would be something that
- 11 we would build on our system through an EPC
- 12 contractor.
- The second element of combined-cycle
- 14 resources we plan to purchase through our purchase
- 15 agreements off the market. There are existing plants
- in the system, and that those plants can be used
- 17 through a power purchase agreement without spending
- 18 the capital necessary to build something new that can
- 19 be used to supply the need. And that's the second
- 20 element of the combined-cycle resource that's in our
- 21 plan, and that translates to about 500 megawatts as
- 22 well.
- 23 Sustainable resources. The plan calls for
- 24 1500 megawatts of solar: 1,000 of that by 2024 and
- 25 another 500 by 2031. These are also resources that we

- 1 would procure through a power purchase. Not something
- 2 that we would spend our capital for, but something
- 3 that we would shift the risk of development to the
- 4 developer and from the customers.
- 5 We can go out and, again, we've -- as Mark
- 6 said, we've done this in Arizona; and we've also
- 7 sought bids, inputs, requests for information from
- 8 developers. There are many of those that will develop
- 9 the project for you and sell you power on an energy
- 10 basis. So you only pay when you receive the energy.
- 11 So the plan includes 1500 megawatts for solar
- 12 spread out over that time frame. Solar costs have
- 13 declined dramatically. I'm going to show you a curve
- 14 on that in a minute. They continue to decline, and
- 15 spreading it out over time would allow us to take
- 16 advantage of those cost declines.
- 17 Energy storage. The plan calls for 200
- 18 megawatts of utility batteries on the system.
- 19 Batteries can provide some support for the
- 20 transmission system. They can make solar -- Mark
- 21 talked about the fact that solar peaks at this -- in
- 22 this area early in the morning in the winter, which
- 23 means that solar is not producing power at that point
- 24 in time.
- A battery can store that energy produced by

- 1 solar and make it available over peak when you need
- 2 it. So the plan calls for 200 megawatts of energy
- 3 storage. Again, that time frame is between 2024 and
- 4 2028. Why later? In part, that's because of
- 5 batteries and the declining costs associated with them
- 6 in looking to take advantage of those declines.
- 7 And the plan calls for demand-side programs.
- 8 I talked about those already. It is something that we
- 9 would want and need to do alongside Central. They
- 10 have had a good success in implementing demand-side
- 11 programs, and we would -- to achieve these kind of
- 12 results -- and, as I mentioned, I think there's a
- 13 potential to do more -- even more potential to tap
- 14 into the demand-side program. We would want and need
- 15 to do that in partnership with Central. That's a part
- 16 of the plan.
- 17 So those are the specific changes that are --
- 18 resource-related changes that came out of those
- 19 principles I talked about. When we were assessing
- 20 which ones made the most sense, we were looking for
- 21 the changes that would produce the best balance of all
- of those factors that you saw; and this was the
- 23 outcome.
- 24 So what does that mean? What does that
- 25 produce in terms of results?

- 1 This slide, I'll spend a little time just
- 2 explaining what it is. This shows what Santee
- 3 Cooper's energy mix, the sources of energy, where does
- 4 that energy come from? What it would have been in the
- 5 2030s under the old plan, and under the new plan what
- 6 that energy mix would be.
- 7 So the pie slices that you see there show how
- 8 much energy would come from each of those sources,
- 9 under the old plan and under the new plan. So, for
- 10 example, you see that coal under the old plan would
- 11 have been about half of the energy supply associated
- 12 with meeting customers' needs.
- Under the new plan, that coal slice is about
- 14 a third. So there's less coal, and that's due to the
- 15 retirement that I talked about.
- 16 You can also see -- and I'll just pick a
- 17 couple of examples -- that the sustainable or the
- 18 renewable slice of the pie has grown, has about
- 19 tripled. So in the old plan, the sustainable
- 20 renewable slice was only about 5 percent. It's now
- 21 17 percent.
- 22 So what do you see when you look at that?
- 23 What you see is a much more diverse set of resources.
- 24 Why is that important? Why is it good to have a
- 25 variety of different resources? Because we talked

- 1 about optionality before.
- 2 So, for example, if under the -- a plan --
- 3 the new plan, you've got a dependence on a variety of
- 4 different resources. If natural gas prices were to be
- 5 higher than was assumed in the development of these
- 6 plans, if it were to go up by 50 percent, for example,
- 7 50 percent sounds like a lot. But if you look at
- 8 today's prices for natural gas, it's about \$2 per
- 9 MMPTU. That would mean \$3. And it wasn't that
- 10 many -- it was ten plus years ago; but I've paid \$13,
- 11 \$14 per MMPTU for gas. It's not impossible that gas
- 12 could go up by that kind of amount.
- If you had a resource portfolio that was
- 14 heavily dependent on gas and that were to happen, then
- 15 customers would end up being on the hook for about a
- 16 billion dollars. 50 percent increase in gas prices in
- 17 the 30s would cause customers to have to pay a lot
- 18 more for their service. It's important to have a
- 19 diverse portfolio that's flexible and can adapt as
- 20 things change. So that's what you see in that
- 21 picture.
- 22 This plot shows how you -- costs for
- 23 utilities of solar have declined over the last decade.
- 24 It's part of why you can do leaner and greener today
- 25 at the same time. So over the last decade, costs have

- 1 come down to about -- from what would have been
- 2 100 percent down to only about 10 percent of what they
- 3 were a decade ago. They continue to decline, which as
- 4 you see from the shape of that curve, a lot of the
- 5 quick, rapid decline has occurred.
- 6 Solar resources have, for the longest time,
- 7 were not competitive with other options in terms of
- 8 overall cost. They are today. You still need to
- 9 figure out how to fit them into a resource portfolio
- 10 so you can have reliable service, but they are cost
- 11 competitive. We want to have a plan that, as solar
- 12 costs and other technologies continue to develop, they
- 13 can be fit in.
- 14 What about batteries? Talked a little bit
- 15 about that before. This is a similar curve for
- 16 batteries that shows battery costs have declined
- 17 85 percent since 2010. You see from the shape of that
- 18 curve that it's behind the declining shape of solar.
- 19 There's still a lot more room for batteries to
- 20 continue to come down.
- 21 So having a plan that incorporates batteries
- 22 that looks to take advantage of the continued decline
- 23 in those prices, having a plan that should -- that
- 24 decline accelerate would allow you to implement more
- 25 batteries is an important element.

- 1 We've talked about capital. What does it
- 2 cost to -- from a capital -- from a raising money
- 3 perspective to implement this plan? This chart shows
- 4 that Santee Cooper's plan is low capital. It's low
- 5 risk. You see the bars there. The total capital
- 6 necessary to implement this plan is \$547 million.
- 7 \$547 million.
- 8 Why is that able to be done? Because, as I
- 9 talked about, much of the -- many of the additions are
- 10 accomplished through power purchase agreements, not
- 11 through a process of building, owning, and running the
- 12 plants on your own. It shifts the risk of development
- 13 to those developers. It shifts the risk of
- 14 performance to those developers and away from
- 15 customers.
- 16 So we are low capital that -- that is also
- 17 definitely a lower financial risk. You're not at risk
- 18 for the capital put on to you.
- 19 So what about Central? Mark has talked about
- 20 that. What is it? How do we move forward with
- 21 Central under this plan?
- 22 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Duckworth, our
- 23 members listen very attentively; and I know you want
- 24 us to hear what you say.
- 25 MR. DUCKWORTH: I'm sorry. I will try to do

- 1 better.
- 2 SENATOR PEELER: Mr. Chairman, I think I
- 3 figured it out. It's Arizona. They talk softer than
- 4 us. No trees there.
- 5 MR. DUCKWORTH: There's no trees. It might
- 6 be Arizona. In my case it might be my voice. As you
- 7 can tell, I've been struggling with a little bit of a
- 8 cold or allergies in South Carolina that I'm not used
- 9 to. So I apologize. I will try to do better. Please
- 10 do tell me if there's difficulty here. I want to make
- 11 sure we're heard.
- The reform plan with Central, as Mark's
- 13 already said, will provide over a decade of price
- 14 stability. It's a good plan. The coordination
- 15 agreement remains fully effective. It's an agreement
- that we're functioning under and could continue to
- 17 function under.
- 18 We have discussed with Central a number of
- 19 beneficial changes to that, and I'll talk about that.
- 20 We had a series of several meetings and exchanges of
- 21 information with Central over about a month period
- 22 starting February -- I'll -- it was January 2. I'll
- 23 have to get those exact dates. We had about a month
- 24 period of discussions with them, about ten different
- 25 exchanges back and forth.

60

- 1 They were good discussions. We made
- 2 progress. We heard, I think, what Central was
- 3 interested in; and we put some proposals on the table
- 4 to better accomplish that.
- 5 One of the things that's a part of our reform
- 6 plan is a five-year reduction in term. That's one of
- 7 the things that Central requested. So reduction to
- 8 2053 being interim of that.
- 9 We talked about removing the cap on
- 10 distributed energy resources. The innovation
- 11 developments that I've talked about provide an
- 12 opportunity for customers to employ resources,
- 13 customer-owned generation, and to do more demand-side
- 14 opportunities.
- We've offered and suggested that we would
- 16 remove caps on doing that for Central with a jointly-
- developed process to ensure that doing that would
- 18 result in no cost shifting between customers.
- We've agreed, after this Act 95 process, to
- 20 review the possibility of selling transmission to
- 21 Central; and we've put on the table some ideas that
- 22 would improve communications and coordination with
- 23 Central on energy risk management to have a more open
- 24 dialogue so that, for example, energy risk management,
- 25 a part of what you might do is buy natural gas on a

- 1 forward price basis. So that today's prices are
- 2 extremely low. You can buy natural gas for ten years,
- 3 and we have discussed the possibility of working with
- 4 Central to tailor those services to better meet their
- 5 needs and to meet the needs of their members.
- 6 Relative to resource planning and
- 7 implementation, we've talked about working side by
- 8 side, Santee Cooper and Central, through the planning
- 9 and oversight processes and doing that in a manner
- 10 that looks at meeting the full combined system load in
- 11 the best and lowest cost way for all customers.
- 12 Theirs and ours. So having that process in a working
- 13 together, a side-by-side process, going forward.
- 14 We also had talked about working alongside
- 15 them to implement this reform plan. It's a plan; and
- 16 plans, as things change in the future, you can -- if
- 17 you have the room to do it, you can adapt your plan to
- 18 better -- make better choices for the way things have
- 19 changed. We would like to work with them to implement
- 20 this plan and to come up with ways that make it
- 21 better.
- 22 And relative to the integrated resource
- 23 planning process, the public process, that Pamela will
- 24 talk a little bit more about, we want to do that side
- 25 by side with Central with them at the -- involved in

- 1 the process and at the table in terms of making those
- 2 decisions. It's -- and, again, the outcome of that
- 3 would be a plan that's in the best interests of all
- 4 customers, Central's and Santee Cooper's.
- 5 That plan, the integrated resource plan,
- 6 would be subject to the State Energy Office review.
- 7 They would need to concur with that plan. There would
- 8 be -- if there are comments that the State Energy
- 9 Office had, we would go back. Santee Cooper and
- 10 Central would go back and work on developing a plan
- 11 that those comments would be responsive to.
- 12 And if Santee Cooper and Central can't agree
- 13 to that process on a particular resource choice or --
- 14 then we would agree to mediation to work on making
- 15 sure that we came together in that regard.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Got a question. Your
- 17 proposal there, have you done all this talking with
- 18 Central?
- MR. DUCKWORTH: We have talked with Central
- 20 about this.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: What kind of response
- 22 did you get?
- MR. DUCKWORTH: We've had good discussions.
- 24 We have not finalized or gone through the process of
- 25 actually putting that into writing at this point.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: So at this point, it's
- 2 just ideas?
- 3 MR. DUCKWORTH: It's more than ideas. I
- 4 would say we've had discussions. We've had exchanges
- of information and proposals. So we have -- these are
- 6 ideas that are on the table that have been put into
- 7 writing back and forth with them. So it's not just
- 8 discussion items sitting in a room.
- 9 They are in writing. They have not been
- 10 finalized, formalized, put into practice. That would
- 11 be one of the first steps that we would need to take
- in a going-forward process.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Is this sort of the
- 14 heart of the deal with Central? Is that correct, sir?
- MR. DUCKWORTH: Which particular issue, sir?
- 16 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: All the things you
- 17 talked about.
- 18 MR. DUCKWORTH: These are proposals that are
- 19 important to all of us. I think it gets --
- 20 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: It's been at the heart
- 21 of the issue with Central?
- MR. DUCKWORTH: They raised those issues with
- 23 us. Is that responsive to your question, sir?
- 24 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Well, I was just
- 25 wondering how important you think that is to talk with

- 1 Central.
- 2 MR. DUCKWORTH: I think this is critically
- 3 important. They are the ones that asked for the
- 4 things that I just talked about, and we had a number
- of discussions about what they were looking to get;
- 6 and we proposed these kinds of responses to them. So,
- 7 yes, I think that's very important.
- 8 There has been some discussion about whether
- 9 Santee Cooper can implement this plan, and I want to
- 10 say the answer to that question is yes.
- 11 This is a plan that is designed to minimize
- 12 the implementation challenges that come with it.
- 13 It's -- as I've said, it's incremental. It's small
- incremental resources done predominantly through power
- 15 purchase arrangements, not through a large
- 16 construction project with high capital. It's a plan
- 17 that has been designed to minimize those challenges
- 18 and to significantly shift project risks from
- 19 customers to those developers. Both project and
- 20 performance risks have been moderated.
- 21 And the last element in that bullet is that
- 22 it moderates long-term risk. I talk about the
- 23 diversity that's a part of this plan; and the process
- 24 that we've discussed, which is with Central, which is
- 25 that they would work alongside us to implement the

- 1 plan. That helps us to moderate and be responsive to
- 2 the changes and the risks that would come.
- 3 So it's a plan designed to be able to be
- 4 implemented, and we can do that. We have -- Central
- 5 and Santee Cooper have similar missions. We're in
- 6 business to provide low-cost and reliable service to
- 7 customers. It's what we both do. Working together,
- 8 we can both implement this plan and accomplish those
- 9 benefits and realize the benefits of the reform plan.
- 10 With that, I will conclude and turn it over
- 11 to Pamela Williams. Thank you.
- 12 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
- 13 Mr. President, members of the committee, I am going to
- 14 try very hard to speak into the microphone; and if
- 15 that means I do not make eye contact with those of you
- on the periphery, I apologize for that.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: For the record, state
- 18 your name.
- 19 MS. WILLIAMS: My name is Pamela Williams. I
- 20 am chief financial officer at Santee Cooper.
- 21 If you can't hear me, please tell me to turn
- 22 it up. I will not take that as an endorsement of what
- 23 I'm saying; but just give me the sign, please, sir, so
- 24 you can hear me. (Indicating.) I am not very tall,
- 25 but I think this is a good microphone for me.

- 1 I'm here to talk about governance and
- 2 oversight. We understand the need for governance
- 3 reforms at Santee Cooper. We know we need to do that.
- 4 We also understand that governance of Santee Cooper is
- 5 the purview of the General Assembly. It is your call
- 6 on what our governance structure looks like, and it
- 7 has been from the very beginning of Santee Cooper's
- 8 existence.
- 9 The General Assembly established our
- 10 governance structure at the beginning and has changed
- 11 it over time, most recently in 2005. The members of
- 12 the General Assembly saw fit to make some changes; and
- in doing so, they recognized the need for balancing a
- 14 few things.
- 15 At that time it was the financial integrity
- 16 of Santee Cooper, making sure we were able to engage
- in economic development, and also recognizing the need
- 18 for a fair allocation of our prices across customer
- 19 classes.
- 20 What we bring to you in the reform plan are
- 21 recommendations. This is not a take-it-or-leave-it
- 22 approach. They are recommendations based on our best
- judgment of what we do to balance a number of
- 24 concerns, just as you did in 2005.
- 25 We want to balance appropriate oversight

- 1 while retaining appropriate flexibility for
- 2 operational efficiency and an ability to comply with
- 3 our legal obligations, most significantly our bond
- 4 covenants. So that's our goal.
- 5 The decision is yours. We stand ready to
- 6 assist in whatever way you deem appropriate: Drafting
- 7 legislation, providing resources. We are at your
- 8 disposal in that regard.
- 9 So when we look at governance and oversight,
- 10 we want to focus on four areas: Board structural
- 11 changes, having principles-based decision making,
- 12 stakeholder engagement and transparency, and
- 13 regulatory oversight. I'll be talking about each of
- 14 these a little more.
- When we think about these things, we look at
- 16 them in the context of important decisions that any
- 17 utility makes: Those around resource planning, you
- 18 heard Charlie talk about that, about siting major
- 19 construction projects, and also around pricing.
- 20 Starting with Board changes, y'all know our
- 21 Board's a public body, open meetings, subject to FOIA,
- 22 appointed by the Governor, screened by the Public
- 23 Utilities Review Committee, and confirmed by the
- 24 Senate.
- It is our regulatory body, although we agree

- 1 changes are necessary; and what we're proposing is an
- 2 effort to have the Board have more transparent
- 3 operations, greater expertise, and greater
- 4 independence. And when I mean "independence," I mean
- 5 independence from management.
- 6 So we'll start with term limits. There are
- 7 no term limits for our Board members. Obviously, the
- 8 Governor and the Senate control term limits. They
- 9 have control through the appointment process, but
- 10 we're recommending that our members be limited to two
- 11 seven-year terms.
- We're also recommending that, going forward,
- 13 the qualifications for our Board members be the same
- 14 as qualifications for Public Service Commission
- 15 commissioners; and those are areas of expertise really
- 16 geared to operating and understanding electric utility
- 17 operations.
- 18 Our Board will engage independent experts --
- 19 again, that means independent of management -- in the
- 20 resource planning, pricing, and finance area. We
- 21 currently engage those types of experts, but they're
- 22 engaged by management; and there is, you know,
- 23 perhaps, the chance that the advice of those experts
- 24 is filtered through management.
- 25 So this proposal would be direct line of

- 1 sight from these experts to the Board, much in the
- 2 same way that our external auditor has a straight line
- 3 of sight to the Board. And we'll continue our
- 4 practice of live-streaming our Board and committee
- 5 meetings, just as you live-stream your meetings. We
- 6 are archiving those meetings. They're available on
- 7 our website. We will also post Board materials both
- 8 in advance of the Board meetings and archive those for
- 9 later reference.
- 10 Planning and pricing principles. You heard
- 11 Mark and Charlie talk about these. These are
- 12 important. They set our strategic direction. They're
- 13 metrics against which you can measure our success.
- So they're critically important, and that's
- 15 why we are recommending that our adherence to those
- 16 principles be reviewed by independent third parties
- 17 who will produce a report on how we're doing.
- 18 We envision much greater stakeholder --
- 19 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Let me ask you a
- 20 question.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Have y'all discussed
- 23 this with Central?
- MS. WILLIAMS: It was in the reform proposal.
- 25 We did touch on governance in some of the meetings

- 1 that we had with them, but we did not discuss with
- 2 them the development of these principles as there were
- 3 some restrictions in the process. But we did talk to
- 4 them. We were able to present our plan to them and
- 5 explain that these were our proposals.
- 6 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Did you get a flavor
- 7 for where they would be on this?
- 8 MS. WILLIAMS: They did not give us -- they
- 9 did not come back in writing. They may have a
- 10 difference of opinion, Senator Leatherman.
- 11 So for stakeholder engagement, we propose to
- 12 form an integrated resource planning group, IRP. I'm
- 13 going to say "IRP" for the rest of the presentation.
- 14 Integrated resource planning does not trip off of my
- 15 tongue.
- 16 This would be a group. It would be a public
- 17 body subject to FOIA. We would need a legislative
- 18 authority to do this.
- The purpose of this Board would be to work
- 20 with the Board on the resource planning principles and
- 21 decisions. It would have broad representation,
- 22 including, we are requesting representation from the
- 23 General Assembly, also representation from all of our
- 24 customers, including Central, the other customer
- 25 classes as well, and also members of the economic

71

- 1 development and environmental communities.
- This Board would hold public meetings, would
- 3 meet with our Board of Directors at least annually.
- 4 It would also participate in the Act 62 IRP process,
- 5 which I'm going to talk about a little more on the
- 6 next slide.
- 7 This is the group that would issue the
- 8 compliance report telling the world whether or not
- 9 Santee Cooper is complying with the resource planning
- 10 principles that we adopted.
- 11 The next area of focus is siting major
- 12 projects. And by "major projects," we mean duration
- 13 projects of 125 megawatts or more or transmission
- 14 projects of 125 KV or more. This is where the money
- 15 gets spent. So this is where we need particular
- 16 focus. This is where you need particular focus on us
- 17 and what we're doing.
- 18 So these decisions would be -- or the
- 19 recommendations of management would be noticed to the
- 20 public. Our Board would hold public hearings. We
- 21 would ask that the Office of Regulatory Staff
- 22 participate in those public meetings, provide their
- 23 thoughts, so that our Board can hear from all our
- 24 different stakeholder groups.
- 25 And there's more to come on the next slide.

- 1 That's not where it ends.
- 2 For the pricing changes, again, we would go
- 3 through a robust public process. We'd go through a
- 4 process today. We would ask that it be enhanced and
- 5 codified into law to require us to go through the
- 6 process where we provide notice to customers and other
- 7 stakeholders, hold public hearings with an opportunity
- 8 for our stakeholders to speak, and also invite
- 9 participation and comment by the Office of Regulatory
- 10 Staff.
- 11 Regulatory oversight. I think this is
- 12 probably where the rubber meets the road for most of
- 13 you. I think most of you are familiar with Act 62 and
- 14 the IRP process. It was amended last year. It
- 15 greatly enhanced Santee Cooper's responsibilities for
- 16 integrated resource planning.
- 17 It requires that we work with Central
- 18 cooperatives, all of our stakeholders in developing an
- 19 integrated resource plan, and that we submit that plan
- 20 to the State Energy Office. We want to go beyond that
- 21 just submitting the plan to the State Energy Office.
- We would propose that the IR group that I
- 23 talked about on the prior slide work with us and with
- 24 Central in the development of the plan, and then it be
- 25 offered to the Office of Regulatory Staff, or

- 1 whichever body you deem appropriate, for review and
- 2 comment. And that wouldn't just be comments that we
- 3 wouldn't take. We would be required to consider the
- 4 comments of that state agency and go back and work
- 5 harder to address the comments that they've made.
- 6 For siting, again, for those major
- 7 projects -- and that's where the spending happens --
- 8 we would submit the decision of our Board, which has
- 9 been made in a public context, to ORS and ask for
- 10 their review and comment on our siting decision.
- If they do not report favorably, it goes back
- 12 to our Board to take another crack at it, again, in
- 13 public session. If Santee Cooper and ORS do not agree
- 14 on the siting decisions, the ultimate decision would
- 15 be with the Public Service Commission.
- 16 For pricing. And pricing is an important
- 17 component of what we do, and this is where our bond
- 18 covenants come into play.
- 19 Our bond covenants require that our Board set
- 20 rates to collect our costs. That's there. We have,
- 21 as you know, debt outstanding. That doesn't mean it
- 22 has to tie the hands of the General Assembly. Because
- 23 if you have oversight over our generation resource
- 24 plan and our siting decisions, that's what drives
- 25 costs. So the public process and the oversight in

- 1 those two areas will enable you to have insight into
- 2 our pricing and impact our pricing as well.
- 3 We will produce an annual compliance report
- 4 with those pricing principles and submit that to the
- 5 Office of Regulatory Staff for review and comment.
- 6 And, finally, Act 95, if you choose the
- 7 Santee Cooper reform plan, requires that we submit
- 8 annual reports, reporting to you on how we're doing on
- 9 implementing the reform plan.
- 10 So key takeaway, we recognize the need for
- 11 reform. We're looking at these four critical areas,
- 12 and we know and we respect that the final decision is
- 13 yours.
- 14 And if I may, hopefully you can hear me. I'm
- 15 not from Arizona. I'm from Moncks Corner, South
- 16 Carolina. I was born and raised there. Santee Cooper
- 17 has been a part of my life for a very long time. My
- 18 grandfather worked at Santee Cooper. My father worked
- 19 at Santee Cooper.
- 20 My grandfather moved to Moncks Corner from
- 21 Gaffney, Mr. President --
- 22 SENATOR PEELER: I knew I liked you.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Well, he moved from Gaffney;
- 24 but he moved to Moncks Corner. And if he hadn't moved
- 25 to Moncks Corner to work at Santee Cooper, my daddy

- 1 wouldn't have met my momma. I owe my existence to
- 2 Santee Cooper. I truly do.
- 4 there, I told you. I moved away. Went to find
- 5 greener pastures. I was away for about 20 years.
- 6 Lived in Virginia. Lived in California. Don't wince.
- 7 But those past years weren't greener, and I wanted to
- 8 come home.
- 9 So 18 years ago, I found a job at Santee
- 10 Cooper; and it was a good job at a sophisticated
- 11 company, and Santee Cooper allowed me to come back
- 12 home and raise my family in Moncks Corner, South
- 13 Carolina.
- 14 And so I am eternally grateful for that
- opportunity, and we hope we can prove to you and earn
- 16 your trust and continue to have that opportunity for
- 17 others for the years to come.
- 18 MR. BONSALL: I don't know how to follow
- 19 that. That was really wonderful. Thank you, Pamela.
- 20 Let me move on. And in anticipation of
- 21 closing our presentation and talking about a few
- 22 additional things that came up in the discussions that
- 23 you have had over the course of time, and then I'll
- 24 wrap up.
- 25 One of them is the "realizability" of the

- 1 value of the equipment at VCS 2 and 3. I went out to
- 2 VCS 2 and 3 and kicked the tires and saw the
- 3 equipment; and those two individuals that were so
- 4 advised not to appear, Marion Cherry and Michael
- 5 Crosby, are the employees of Santee Cooper that have
- 6 been in charge of making sure that that equipment is
- 7 maintained and contracted with Fuller to do so.
- 8 And I went out and saw it. And if pieces of
- 9 equipment needed to be in climate-controlled
- 10 environments, they were. If pieces of equipment
- 11 needed to be enclosed in warehouses, they were. If
- 12 pieces of equipment could weather the elements without
- 13 a loss in value, i.e., put in lay-down yards, they
- 14 were. It's been well maintained. I'm pleased to see
- 15 the degree of focus on keeping the value of that
- 16 equipment.
- We've been engaged at this point in a lengthy
- 18 discussions with Westinghouse on how to dispose of
- 19 that equipment and who realizes the proceeds thereof;
- 20 and we're very, very, very close to resolving those
- 21 differences through mediation to the point that one of
- 22 the pieces of equipment, which is desired by Southern
- 23 Company, has a backup reactor coolant --
- 24 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Chairman, I hate to
- 25 interrupt Mr. Bonsall; but I'm not your lawyer. But

- 1 things that occur at mediation are confidential. I'm
- 2 not sure we need to be hearing this testimony.
- 3 MR. BONSALL: I think that what I'm going to
- 4 say is outside the confines of the mediation.
- 5 SENATOR SETZLER: Okay.
- 6 MR. BONSALL: It's an agreement that has been
- 7 reached between Westinghouse and ourselves and
- 8 Southern as it relates to this one.
- 9 SENATOR SETZLER: Okay.
- 10 MR. BONSALL: And they wanted this pump us
- 11 back up, and we have agreed with Westinghouse to put
- 12 that pump -- value of the pump in escrow through
- 13 Southern and sell that pump to Southern Company as a
- 14 backup at their local plant.
- The point I'm trying to get at, ultimately,
- 16 is it's a \$25 million pump. This is one part of in
- 17 excess of 300,000 pieces that are at the site, and
- 18 we're moving to mobilize those resources as quickly
- 19 and as best we can.
- The point of what I'm saying it's not a zero.
- 21 There's a lot of value out there, and we want to
- 22 recover that value; and as you know in our reform
- 23 plan, recovering that value is dedicated to the
- 24 repayment of debt.
- 25 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: You mentioned Mike

- 1 Crosby. He's not here today. Is he going to be able
- 2 to come to report?
- 3 MR. BONSALL: He's not able to come today on
- 4 the advice of his lawyer as a result of the various
- 5 processes that he's involved in, and I'm not an expert
- 6 on those processes; but we did invite him. And on
- 7 advice of his attorney, he was unable to attend today.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: So chances are, he
- 9 won't come forward.
- 10 MR. BONSALL: You know, if you desire us to
- 11 request again, we will do so.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Thank you, sir.
- 13 MR. BONSALL: The equipment is moving
- 14 forward.
- What we have done -- what Santee Cooper has
- 16 done has not been inattentive to the issues.
- 17 Obviously, hired new leadership. Both
- 18 account for 10 percent below the 2017 budget. What I
- 19 indicated when I first got to Santee Cooper was the
- 20 10 percent had already been in place as a result, to
- 21 some degree, of the TERI program; and I indicated we
- 22 need to hold it at that level and figure out how to
- 23 redeploy resources within that level to get that job
- 24 done.
- 25 And I found, again, a very receptive audience

- 1 with being able to do that. We produced the business
- 2 forecast, developed a reform plan, issued the RFI on
- 3 new solar projects. We have paid off \$1.3 billion in
- 4 the associated debt, and we're able to work that out
- 5 with the DOA so we can refinance some 163 million of
- 6 outstanding debt.
- 7 And as Charlie had alluded to, to reduce the
- 8 use of coal from 52 to 30 from '16 to today. So it's
- 9 been a busy time. It's been a busier time as a result
- 10 of conducting concurrently the Act 95 process. You
- 11 see the statistics here. 30,000 documents with the
- 12 due diligence schedules, lots of conference calls,
- 13 2400 plus questions, et cetera.
- 14 I want to pause for just a second here and
- 15 say thank you to Marsha Adams. She and I have worked
- 16 together long and hard on this Act 95 process. It's
- 17 been an extraordinarily difficult process compressed
- into an extraordinarily short time frame.
- 19 For all intents and purposes, she was given,
- 20 pretty close, to mission impossible; and I think she
- 21 inputted herself extremely well. And I want to say
- 22 thank you to her. I like her a lot. I look forward
- 23 to working with her in the future.
- 24 This is a tough process. Did we have our
- 25 moments? Yes, we did. Yes, we did. A tough, tough

- 1 process. But I like her a lot, and I look forward to
- 2 working with her in the future. Yes, sir.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: You say that you really
- 4 like her and respect her?
- 5 MR. BONSALL: I do.
- 6 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Did you find her to do
- 7 anything at all out of bounds relative to the Joint
- 8 Resolution?
- 9 MR. BONSALL: No. She did her job, and I did
- 10 mine; and we kept talking, and the important thing is
- 11 to keep the communication going. And whatever
- 12 difficulties we encountered -- and, for the most part,
- 13 they were around what could Santee Cooper do after the
- 14 publication of the business forecast before the
- 15 submission of the reform plan? What could we, in
- 16 fact, do?
- 17 And one of them is pointed out on the prior
- 18 chart, which was the refinancing. So we worked
- 19 together. There was some disagreements on how to
- 20 conduct that, but we worked together to find a way
- 21 that we could do that without violating the current
- 22 concerns that she had.
- 23 So the point of that is, no. I didn't find
- 24 anything. She was doing her job. I was doing mine,
- 25 and we kept talking. That's the important thing. I

- 1 really enjoyed talking with her.
- 2 HR actions. There have been some questions
- 3 about -- HR came up in the process, I think, for human
- 4 resource issues. There has been a 30 percent
- 5 reduction in officer positions. That's seven
- 6 positions and former vice president positions, and we
- 7 have simply harvested those positions and have been
- 8 able to reduce the head count there.
- 9 I talked about the 10 percent reduction in
- 10 head count from the 2017 budget. We're actually
- 11 operating at a greater than a 10 percent reduction.
- 12 Probably around 11, maybe 11-and-a-half percent today.
- 13 The budgeted head count is 1675. I think we're at
- 14 1630, 1640, something like that.
- 15 The head count will continue to decline, as
- 16 pointed out here, through attrition and retirement.
- 17 With the closure of Winyah, I have looked at the
- 18 attrition statistics; and I looked at the retirement
- 19 statistics. And I think with the time frame that
- 20 Charlie talked about before, we should be able to
- 21 accommodate the reemployment of those people into
- 22 other positions in Santee Cooper, if given the
- 23 opportunity.
- And that's precisely the mechanism that we
- 25 used when we closed the Navajo Generating Station in

- 1 Arizona, and we just think it's the right way to go
- 2 about it. We could shut it off tomorrow, if you
- 3 wanted us to. You tell us. But we think this is the
- 4 right way to go about it. If we shut it off tomorrow,
- 5 185 people lose their job. We think the right way to
- 6 do it is to phase it in over the course of time
- 7 recognizing there are lots and lots of implications to
- 8 that, certainly to the employees.
- 9 Supplemental retirement plans have been
- 10 closed. Those have been closed to new participants.
- 11 So those are shut off. They became and issue in
- 12 relation to prior employees of Santee Cooper, as I
- 13 understand it. And so they have been, in fact,
- 14 closed. They've been shut down.
- 15 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Bonsall --
- MR. BONSALL: Yes, sir.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: -- is that the South
- 18 Carolina retirement plan? Is that what we have called
- 19 the executive retirement plan?
- 20 MR. BONSALL: I think they -- Mr. Chairman, I
- 21 think there were two of them; and I'm going to have to
- 22 get somebody else to come up here. 'Cause I'm not an
- 23 expert in those two plans. What I know is we've shut
- 24 off -- they're gone. There are some existing
- 25 participants.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: But you're aware not of
- 2 who they were and how they got there?
- 3 MR. BONSALL: You know, I'm really not; but
- 4 we shut them off.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Thank you, sir.
- 6 MR. BONSALL: I know they were a point of
- 7 contention, and we just closed them down.
- 8 Let me show you as well the org structure. I
- 9 have reorganized the company. I wanted to reorganize
- 10 the company in a way that enabled, I think, the
- 11 maximum ability to pursue this reform plan. I think
- 12 an organizational structure should be dedicated to
- 13 accomplishing strategic purposes, and I believe that
- 14 this structure puts us in the best position to
- 15 maximize the potential success of implementing the
- 16 reform plan.
- 17 You see on the left, Mr. Duckworth is the
- 18 head of planning; and on the bottom of his stack of
- 19 duties there, you see technology and innovation and
- 20 research and development. And the innovation and the
- 21 research and development focus didn't have a title or
- 22 a place at Santee Cooper before, and we want to create
- 23 an emphasis on bringing in from the outside the newest
- 24 and best ideas that exist in the industry. So we
- 25 created a mechanism to, in fact, do that.

- 1 You see Pamela Williams, operations. She's
- 2 much more than our chief financial officer. She has
- 3 economic development. She has real estate in Camp
- 4 Hall, and she is our environmental and water person as
- 5 well. So she does quadruple duty for Santee Cooper.
- 6 Mike Baxley's functions did not change.
- 7 Kim Lott. She's administration officer and
- 8 corporate secretary. That's a fancy title; but if you
- 9 look at the first few boxes there, that's our human
- 10 resource person. And I wanted our human resource
- 11 person reporting directly to me because so much of
- 12 implementing the reform plan depends upon the resource
- of human resources and the management thereof.
- 14 It's a terribly important function in my
- 15 estimation, and I wanted it reported directly to me so
- 16 we can take the steps necessary to move this plan
- 17 forward from a staffing and personnel point of view.
- 18 Tommy Curtis, the chief generation officer,
- 19 had reported to another person. The strategic
- 20 importance, the economics that are driven in this
- 21 reform plan, are driven by changes in the generation
- 22 mix. I thus wanted that mechanism reporting, again,
- 23 directly to me so I could help drive those reforms
- 24 home.
- One of the biggest changes is Mike Poston,

- 1 chief customer officer. Mike Poston had run the
- 2 Myrtle Beach operations for Santee Cooper as a
- 3 distribution level operation with customers hooked up
- 4 at the ends of the distribution network. Very
- 5 successfully produced 93, 97, 95 percent, even 100
- 6 percent in some instances, customer satisfaction.
- 7 The transmission system is the system, is the
- 8 network, through which Central and the co-ops are
- 9 served. It's the same basic phenomena. It's a
- 10 network, and there are customers hooked up at the end
- 11 of it. So I wanted to transport the success that we
- 12 saw at the distribution level into the transmission
- 13 level with somebody that's a proven producer, and
- 14 that's Mike Poston.
- The first box reporting to him is, in fact,
- 16 the customer service wholesale and industrial customer
- 17 position that is occupied by Vickie Boudreau. Every
- 18 co-op that I have spoken with has much experience with
- 19 Vickie Boudreau. She's got a 25, 30-year history and
- 20 worked a lot with them; and they like her a lot.
- 21 I'm specifically trying to focus on
- 22 excellence in customer service and getting the right
- 23 people to talk to the right people to help address
- 24 some of those relational issues. I know we've got to
- 25 do that.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Question --
- 2 MR. BONSALL: Yes, sir.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: -- I didn't want to
- 4 interrupt you on your chart here. Thanks for
- 5 explaining that to us. I'm sort of slow at keeping up
- 6 with that.
- 7 MR. BONSALL: You must be from Arizona,
- 8 Senator, because I'm having trouble hearing you.
- 9 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Did you tell me how
- 10 many people got into those two supplemental plans and
- 11 who approved that? Who did it?
- 12 MR. BONSALL: I can't. I will ask somebody
- 13 behind me once I wrap up and be prepared to address
- 14 that issue because I do not have that history. I
- 15 actually don't.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: That would be whom,
- 17 sir? That would be whom?
- 18 MR. BONSALL: Who wants to address it?
- 19 Pamela can address that.
- 20 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Thank you, sir.
- 21 MR. BONSALL: You bet you.
- 22 So this is the org structure that I have
- 23 already put in place. What are the next steps? If
- 24 you hire Santee Cooper, you hire me; and what you need
- 25 to know and what I intend to do.

- 1 So with that org structure, be responsive to
- 2 your guidance. One of the first things I want to do
- 3 is lock in that natural gas -- those natural gas
- 4 prices in the future because they save our customers
- 5 money, along with the purchase power of contracts and
- 6 study joint savings with neighboring utilities.
- 7 I know that was an issue earlier on in the
- 8 process; but working with people like them and the
- 9 people like Southern, can we trim trees better? More
- 10 effectively? Provide better service to Central and
- 11 its members? Yes, we can; and we should. That's
- 12 low-hanging fruit. I think we need to get to the
- 13 point where we're talking about doing exactly that so
- 14 we can achieve the economies and improve service going
- 15 forward with our customers.
- 16 Next, coordinate with Central. First thing I
- 17 want -- first thing we need to do. We've talked a lot
- 18 about it. We want to -- we've had some limited
- 19 conversations with Central that were allowed by the
- 20 process, but we haven't had the full and complete back
- 21 and forth that we really need to implement these
- 22 reforms; and we're doing just that as soon as
- 23 possible.
- Work with the state regulators that Pamela
- 25 talked about to define those new working

- 1 relationships. They're complex working relationships,
- 2 and they need to -- there's some meat hung on the
- 3 bones to launch the IRP group and process/initiate the
- 4 Winyah redeployment plan. We are working on that, as
- 5 we speak. If you're going to do that, again, you need
- 6 the time, three or four years, to get that initial
- 7 solar RFP and then debt assessment and succession
- 8 planning.
- 9 One of the things that you should be
- 10 concerned about -- and I'm sure you are and I
- 11 certainly am -- is to have more time to be able to get
- 12 into. The skill set at Santee Cooper is excellent.
- 13 What I don't understand is the depth of that skill set
- 14 and the degree to which we have that skill set, kind
- of, coming along generation after generation. I need
- 16 the time to be able to do that. It's critically
- important to the future of Santee Cooper. So that's
- 18 going to -- what I want to do. That's what my focuses
- 19 will be going forward.
- 20 So we're pleased to present this plan, to
- 21 have the opportunity, which we consider to be a really
- 22 good plan; but, again, we're really pleased to have
- 23 the opportunity to present it to you. But to
- 24 accomplish, I think, these purposes -- transforms,
- 25 et cetera, et cetera -- and holds us accountable to

- 1 you.
- 2 So the summary, I would say I was basically
- 3 hired, I think, at Santee Cooper to redesign a future
- 4 for the company. I found a very, very willing
- 5 audience in both the Board and the employees of Santee
- 6 Cooper. I am a very big proponent of planning work
- 7 and work your plan. We systematically tried to remove
- 8 major roadblocks in the discussions that we were
- 9 having with various vendors as well as the Cook
- 10 settlement that has been announced.
- I will tell you that we have, I think,
- 12 uniquely a complete and full alignment between the
- 13 Board, the management, and the employees behind this
- 14 reform plan; and I encourage you -- of course, I'm a
- 15 little on the biased side. But I encourage you to let
- 16 me get this done. I can do it.
- 17 And with that, we'll open for questions.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: You've got my
- 19 attention. If you go down the list, we'll have 20
- 20 minutes for each person; and then we'll come back to
- 21 Round 2. And we get them all answered, we come back
- 22 to Round 3. So who's first?
- MR. BONSALL: Mr. Chairman, would you like us
- 24 to get the answer to your question up there first? On
- 25 those benefit plans?

- 1 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: I believe that would be
- 2 good, yes, sir.
- 3 MR. BONSALL: Okay. Great. Let me ask
- 4 Pamela to come up here.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Thank you.
- 6 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. And I got a little
- 7 help from my friends. So some of this I will be
- 8 reading from my phone to make sure I'm giving you the
- 9 most accurate information.
- 10 There are two supplemental executive
- 11 retirement plans at Santee Cooper. One is a plan that
- 12 currently has five participants. There were more at
- one point, but some of the people who were in that
- 14 plan have retired. That is a 401K type -- it's like a
- 15 401K plan where 6 percent of the individual's salary
- 16 is put into the plan for retirement purposes. So
- 17 that's -- that's the first plan.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Is that a good plan or
- 19 not a good plan?
- 20 MS. WILLIAMS: Well --
- 21 SENATOR SETZLER: I want to comment. Once
- 22 she finishes answering your question, I got a
- 23 question.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Go ahead, Pamela. I'm
- 25 sorry.

- 1 MS. WILLIAMS: I believe it's a good plan.
- 2 It's an incentive for people to stay. There are many
- 3 people at Santee who were eligible for the TERI
- 4 program who could have retired. There are people
- 5 sitting behind me who could have retired, and we
- 6 needed a way to give people an incentive not to TERI
- 7 and leave us. So that's one of the reasons that that
- 8 plan is in place. I believe it's a good plan.
- 9 The second plan, it has more broader -- it
- 10 has broader participation. It is more of a retirement
- 11 plan. It's a defined benefit plan, and it is an
- 12 enhancement to the State retirement plan. It sits on
- 13 top of the State retirement plan, and different
- 14 individuals have different levels of benefit. The
- 15 decisions about those benefits for the CEO were made
- 16 by the Board, and the CEO had the authority to make
- 17 decisions for others who are in the plan.
- 18 So let me see.
- 19 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Before you leave
- 20 that --
- MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: -- could you produce a
- 23 list of who's in that or who was in that?
- MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. We can furnish
- 25 that. We will furnish you that.

- 1 There are 38 former employees receiving
- 2 benefits, and currently 20 active employees are in the
- 3 plan; but it would -- the Board officially closed both
- 4 those plans so benefits cannot be increased, and no
- 5 future participants can enter those plans.
- 6 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Chairman --
- 7 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir.
- 8 SENATOR SETZLER: Miss Williams, it's not you
- 9 that -- I've had an interest in this issue for a long
- 10 time.
- 11 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 12 SENATOR SETZLER: The first plan, you said,
- 13 has five current members?
- MS. WILLIAMS: That's correct.
- 15 SENATOR SETZLER: It originally only had
- 16 eight members; is correct?
- 17 MS. WILLIAMS: That's correct. Well, let me
- 18 get that for you. Last time you heard from us --
- 19 SENATOR SETZLER: Would you believe if I told
- 20 you it was testified under oath, there was only eight
- 21 people in that plan initially?
- MS. WILLIAMS: If you tell me that, I agree.
- 23 SENATOR SETZLER: And so you still got five
- 24 that are active employees of Santee Cooper in that
- 25 plan?

- 1 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 2 SENATOR SETZLER: And they're drawing state
- 3 retirement as well as -- they're state employees.
- 4 They will get state retirement.
- 5 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 6 SENATOR SETZLER: And then the other plan
- 7 currently has 20 people in it?
- MS. WILLIAMS: 20 people. There are 30 --
- 9 let me make sure I have my numbers right.
- There are 38 former employees who are
- 11 receiving benefits; and there are 20 active employees
- 12 who are in that plan, who were put in that plan before
- 13 it was closed.
- 14 SENATOR SETZLER: And I believe we were
- 15 testified to that those -- there initially were up to
- 16 24 in it that were active employees. All were vice
- 17 presidents.
- 18 Is that still the case?
- 19 MS. WILLIAMS: There are people in the plan
- 20 who are not vice presidents. They were put in the
- 21 plan -- at one point you did not have to be a vice
- 22 president to be in the plan. So there are some people
- 23 who are not vice presidents who are in the plan.
- 24 Again, people who the CEO decided he wanted to give an
- 25 incentive to stay.

- 1 SENATOR SETZLER: As CFO, how much does it
- 2 cost Santee Cooper each year for the five people that
- 3 are in that plan? What's the dollar amount?
- 4 MS. WILLIAMS: \$92,000.
- 5 SENATOR SETZLER: And what is the -- do you
- 6 pay the retirees out of that plan likewise?
- 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir, the -- the --
- 8 SENATOR SETZLER: And how much is that?
- 9 MS. WILLIAMS: What I have is 92,000 --
- 10 that -- I'll have to get that number for you, sir.
- 11 SENATOR SETZLER: And what does the 20 active
- 12 employees cost you in the other plan annually?
- MS. WILLIAMS: That is a defined benefit
- 14 plan. So we don't actually put money into it every
- 15 year.
- 16 I'm looking at the information that I've
- 17 gotten. So we have a trust that currently has a
- 18 balance of \$3.4 million. That's a tax qualified
- 19 trust. The plan was established under IRS rules. So
- 20 that fund is available to pay benefits out of the
- 21 plan.
- There are also benefits that exceed IRS
- 23 levels. Current benefits paid per month equal,
- 24 roughly, \$97,000.
- 25 SENATOR SETZLER: So if somebody worked for

- 1 Santee Cooper and they're in those plans and retired,
- 2 they're drawing State retirement; and then they're
- 3 drawing from two retirement plans from Santee Cooper?
- 4 MS. WILLIAMS: That's right, sir.
- 5 SENATOR SETZLER: Gees.
- 6 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: You had a comment or
- 7 question on this?
- 8 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Mr. Chairman, specific to
- 9 this issue. Can you tell me when these plans were
- 10 approved?
- 11 MS. WILLIAMS: The plans have been available
- 12 in some way, shape, or form prior -- I came to Santee
- 13 Cooper in 2001, and some of them were -- they weren't
- 14 in the form they are now. They became -- they were --
- 15 these tax-qualified plans were created -- I believe it
- 16 was 2005. It was in that time frame.
- 17 SENATOR ALEXANDER: And you'll get me the
- 18 actual data on that, please, ma'am?
- 19 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 20 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Also when each person
- 21 came into that plan, I'd like that by name.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Sure.
- 23 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Also, Mr. Chairman, if I
- 24 could, I want to clear up, see if I heard you correct.
- 25 On the enhancement plan, the defined benefit second

- 1 plan, the Board -- the CEO -- previous CEO was in that
- 2 plan; is that correct?
- 3 MS. WILLIAMS: That is correct.
- 4 SENATOR ALEXANDER: The Board approved that
- 5 plan?
- 6 MS. WILLIAMS: The Board approved that plan
- 7 for the CEO.
- 8 SENATOR ALEXANDER: But once the CEO was in
- 9 that plan, did or did not the Board have any action of
- 10 who became a member of that plan?
- 11 MS. WILLIAMS: I will confirm this for you,
- 12 but my belief is that it was at -- it was the
- 13 discretion of the CEO but that the membership in the
- 14 plan, benefit levels, would have been reported to the
- 15 Board. I'll need to confirm that.
- 16 SENATOR ALEXANDER: I'd like to have minutes
- 17 where it was approved by the Board or whatever 'cause
- 18 the Board's not been involved in that. That's --
- 19 MS. WILLIAMS: We'll get that.
- 20 SENATOR ALEXANDER: We need the
- 21 documentation.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. I understand.
- 23 SENATOR SETZLER: So from Oconee, the
- 24 testimony before the select committee -- and if I
- 25 recall, you're on the select committee -- was prior

- 1 CEO chose the people that were in both of these plans
- 2 solely in his discretion, and the Board had no
- 3 authority and did not approve it?
- 4 MS. WILLIAMS: That would be true. Whether
- 5 it was reported to them, you know, but they -- but
- 6 they --
- 7 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Well, that's --
- 8 Mr. Chairman, that's my point. I mean, that there was
- 9 no involvement by the Board. I'd still like to have
- 10 the written documentation.
- 11 MS. WILLIAMS: The Board did approve the tax-
- 12 qualified plans, the plan documents, and the
- 13 components of the plan but not the individual entrance
- 14 into the plan or benefit levels.
- 15 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Mr. Chairman, can I
- 16 respond to that?
- I mean, I would think that probably under
- 18 law, they had to approve that.
- 19 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 20 SENATOR ALEXANDER: That was a very low bar.
- 21 MS. WILLIAMS: I want to be very literal in
- 22 my answer to you. Yes, sir.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: So are we finished on
- 24 that one?
- 25 SENATOR CAMPBELL: I'm sorry. I've got a

- 1 question.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Senator Campbell.
- 3 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Miss Williams, my question
- 4 would be, you said the first plan was the 401K match
- 5 plan?
- 6 MS. WILLIAMS: It's like a 401K plan.
- 7 SENATOR CAMPBELL: And so how much is the
- 8 match?
- 9 MS. WILLIAMS: It's not a match. It's a 401K
- 10 type plan in that it's a defined contribution plan.
- 11 SENATOR CAMPBELL: The first one is.
- 12 MS. WILLIAMS: The first one is. So there
- 13 are no -- so I have a 401K plan on my own through the
- 14 State that I put my money into. There's no match.
- 15 Santee Cooper doesn't match my contributions. This is
- 16 a separate 401K type plan in that it's a defined
- 17 contribution plan where contributions go in, and the
- 18 earnings accumulate; and the recipient is entitled to
- 19 the balance at the end.
- 20 SENATOR CAMPBELL: I'm familiar with the
- 21 plans. I've put so much into it, and the company
- 22 matches so much.
- MS. WILLIAMS: This is not that.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Campbell, are you
- 25 finished with your --

- 1 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Yeah. Move on to the next
- 2 one.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Corbin.
- 4 SENATOR CORBIN: Thank you.
- 5 And the numbers, I was trying to jot them
- 6 down as you spoke. You said that some were in there.
- 7 And before this plan was shut down, there were 20 more
- 8 members put in; is that correct?
- 9 MS. WILLIAMS: No, sir. No one has been put
- 10 in since it was shut down.
- 11 SENATOR CORBIN: No. But prior to being shut
- down, there was certain number in; and then some other
- 13 members were --
- 14 MS. WILLIAMS: We'll get the names and dates
- of everyone who came into the plan, when they came
- 16 into the plan.
- 17 SENATOR CORBIN: That's what I was driving
- 18 at, was if you realize you're going to stop a plan,
- 19 it's critical, in my opinion, to know when these
- 20 people came in. Did they come in a year ago? Or
- 21 decide we've got to shut this down. Doesn't look
- 22 good. Two days before that, you draw them in. That
- 23 makes a difference to me.
- MS. WILLIAMS: You will not see that, but I
- 25 understand your question. We'll get you that

- 1 information.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Are you finished?
- 3 Next.
- 4 SENATOR BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- Just quickly going back to the 401K type
- 6 plan, the 6 percent, all employer dollars?
- 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
- 8 MR. BENNETT: So no employee contribution.
- 9 Just straight employer dollars?
- 10 MS. WILLIAMS: That's correct.
- 11 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Grooms, you're
- 12 next.
- 13 SENATOR GROOMS: Are you aware of any other
- 14 state agency or other -- any other entity that
- 15 participates in the State Retirement System has any
- 16 other type of supplemental retirement?
- 17 MS. WILLIAMS: I do.
- 18 My understanding there is one other entity
- 19 that does have a supplemental program. May not be
- 20 exactly like ours, but I don't know the -- I do not
- 21 know the ins and outs of every single state agency.
- 22 SENATOR GROOMS: 'Cause we also have --
- 23 including that -- not just state but members of the
- 24 retirement system, such as our universities, Clemson,
- 25 USC. We also have the Ports Authority. There's many

- 1 other areas of state government that are divisions
- 2 that may have other -- I don't know. I was just
- 3 asking if you knew of any others.
- 4 MS. WILLIAMS: Anecdotally, I have read about
- 5 some of those. I do understand that there are
- 6 supplements for football coaches and others, payment
- 7 of retirement. I do not know the details. I would
- 8 not feel comfortable testifying affirmatively on
- 9 exactly who has what.
- 10 I do know that the -- I know this is a State
- 11 agency. I'm not -- I'm trying to answer your
- 12 questions. I'm not trying to defend anything, but I
- do believe you heard Mr. Forano (phonetic) of the DOA
- 14 adviser say that executive supplemental retirement
- 15 plans are very common in the private sector. That's a
- 16 difference. I understand that, but you heard that
- 17 testimony earlier.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Senator Grooms, you
- 19 have a question. We'll get that at the time we deal
- 20 with that by Santee Cooper.
- 21 SENATOR GROOMS: There's just one more.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Okay.
- 23 SENATOR GROOMS: And the retirement funds --
- 24 regular, supplemental, and the other supplemental --
- 25 they all come from -- the funding source would be

- 1 ratepayers?
- MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, Senator. Now, those
- 3 funds are invested and accumulate earnings.
- 4 SENATOR GROOMS: Yes.
- 5 MS. WILLIAMS: So they're -- it's not 100
- 6 percent ratepayer.
- 7 SENATOR GROOMS: But the contributions from
- 8 them all come from ratepayers?
- 9 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 10 SENATOR GROOMS: And if Santee Cooper was
- 11 sold to NextEra, the \$32 million a year salary of the
- 12 CEO, would that also come from ratepayers?
- 13 I'll withdraw that question.
- 14 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Chairman.
- 15 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir, Mr. Setzler.
- 16 SENATOR SETZLER: I want to follow back up on
- 17 the question from Dorchester.
- 18 He asked if the defined minimum plan, those
- 19 contributions, I thought I understood you to answer
- 20 him, came from the employees, not from Santee Cooper;
- 21 right?
- MS. WILLIAMS: On the defined contribution
- 23 plan, the 6 percent, those come from Santee Cooper.
- 24 I'm sorry if I mislead you on that.
- 25 SENATOR SETZLER: Well, is that the same

- 1 thing as your executive retention bonuses? Are they
- 2 paid into the plan?
- 3 MS. WILLIAMS: The one-time bonus, those were
- 4 just a one-time thing. Those were paid into that
- 5 plan, yes, sir.
- 6 SENATOR SETZLER: And so for 2019 Santee
- 7 Cooper paid \$594,000 into that plan for these
- 8 employees. It didn't come from the employees. It's
- 9 bonuses that you paid into those plans.
- 10 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Is that a question?
- 11 SENATOR SETZLER: Yes, sir.
- 12 MS. WILLIAMS: I want it -- I think I've said
- 13 something that is being taken -- when I say "401K" --
- 14 I understand why there's confusion. Because when I
- 15 say "401K type plan," I think you're hearing, you
- 16 think an employee contributes to the 401K. That
- 17 wasn't what I meant. I did not mean to leave the
- 18 impression that there are any employee contributions
- 19 into that defined contribution plan.
- When I said "401K," I meant that, as opposed
- 21 to a defined benefit plan where you're promised a
- 22 benefit at the end based on years of service and
- 23 income. This is a defined contribution plan, which is
- 24 like a 401K plan where money is put into the plan; and
- 25 it earns whatever interest it earns.

- 1 SENATOR SETZLER: So you have an executive
- 2 defined contribution plan; right?
- 3 MS. WILLIAMS: That's correct.
- 4 SENATOR SETZLER: And that plan is funded by
- 5 bonuses that Santee Cooper, instead of giving to the
- 6 employee, puts into that plan; correct?
- 7 MS. WILLIAMS: I would -- I don't want to
- 8 quibble with you because the answer is yes, but the
- 9 bonus was a one-time payment; and then the 6 percent,
- 10 you can call it a bonus. We think of it as a
- 11 supplemental retirement.
- 12 SENATOR SETZLER: Well, the document I'm
- 13 looking at that shows that one employee -- I won't
- 14 call his name -- got three bonuses in 2019 and one,
- 15 two, three, four, five six more got two bonuses. And
- 16 all of that went into the -- this defined contribution
- 17 account. So it is Santee Cooper's money that's going
- 18 in there.
- 19 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. I want to be very
- 20 clear. It is Santee Cooper's money, and I apologize
- 21 if I was not clear on that point.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: I've got a question in
- 23 response to the questions and answers here.
- 24 The CEO, when that person retired, any idea
- 25 what kind of fund they were scheduled to receive?

- 1 MS. WILLIAMS: Senator Leatherman, I've read
- 2 in the newspaper. I don't administer these plans.
- 3 I've been the CFO since April. So I wasn't CFO when
- 4 he retired.
- 5 What I've read in the paper is that the
- 6 combination of his State retirement and the Santee
- 7 Cooper supplemental plan was \$800,000 a year.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: A year?
- 9 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. That's what I've
- 10 read in the paper. We'll get confirmation of that to
- 11 you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: You'll get
- 13 confirmation?
- 14 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 15 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Is that still in
- 16 effect, or was that taken away?
- 17 MS. WILLIAMS: That would still be in effect.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: It's estimated that
- 19 he's going to keep it in effect?
- 20 MS. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, sir?
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: This is some federal
- 22 requirement.
- MS. WILLIAMS: I believe. Get Mike Baxley up
- 24 here to testify on that, but I do believe there would
- 25 be a legal obligation. Certainly the State retirement

- 1 part of it but also on the other.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Thank you. Anyone
- 3 else? All right.
- 4 STAFF: Mr. Chairman, Senator Cromer is next.
- 5 SENATOR CROMER: Thank you, Mike. And I
- 6 guess I'll direct this to Mr. Bonsall.
- 7 Before I get to other questions, Mr. Bonsall,
- 8 what percent of your total power that Santee Cooper
- 9 sells is purchased by Central?
- 10 MR. BONSALL: I'm going to have to ask
- 11 Charlie or some staff to help me on that one.
- MR. DUCKWORTH: About 65.
- MR. BONSALL: Your -- is your question: How
- 14 much of our power is sold to Central?
- 15 SENATOR CROMER: Yes, sir.
- MR. BONSALL: About 60, 65 percent.
- 17 SENATOR CROMER: The reason I ask that is if
- 18 the governance were changed and the Legislature
- 19 decided to put someone from Central on there as a
- 20 representative, it would be only fair they -- two-
- 21 thirds of your power, that's small from use. So
- 22 anyway, let me move on.
- 23 My first question was going to be to you, are
- 24 you able to give us a status of the Central or, as
- 25 they call it, the Cook lawsuit? And I expect that you

- 1 would not be able to give us any information; but
- 2 during your presentation, you did say something about
- 3 it.
- 4 Have y'all agreed upon -- except for getting
- 5 it signed now to -- and then getting it back to
- 6 Justice Toal -- on what the lawsuit would entail and
- 7 how much you would have to pay? Have y'all agreed on
- 8 that yet?
- 9 MR. BONSALL: Yes.
- 10 SENATOR CROMER: Is that public? I mean, can
- 11 that be public?
- 12 MR. BONSALL: At this point, I am told it can
- 13 be; and I wouldn't have said what I said were it not.
- 14 But there's been a meeting where there was a specific
- 15 agreement on what could be said that included the
- 16 lawyers and Justice Toal and, let me, if I might, get
- 17 Mr. Baxley up there to read the specifics of that to
- 18 you.
- 19 SENATOR CROMER: I think you probably need an
- 20 attorney on that one.
- 21 MR. BONSALL: I think so.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Before you do that,
- 23 Mr. Bonsall, that settlement we agreed about is only
- 24 money; is that correct? And not included a settlement
- 25 on governance?

- 1 MR. BONSALL: It's true that it did not
- 2 include a settlement on governance. There's some
- 3 other provisions in there that I think Mr. Baxley will
- 4 tell you about, but it did not --
- 5 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: The settlement did not
- 6 settle the entire case?
- 7 MR. BONSALL: Yes, sir.
- 8 MR. BAXLEY: Thank you.
- 9 Senator Cromer, and ladies and gentlemen, we
- 10 have received specific permission from Chief Justice
- 11 Toal, of course, who is the presiding judge in this
- 12 case that we would be able to discuss the terms of the
- 13 settlement.
- 14 Let me first say, though, to you that this is
- 15 not a definitive and completed settlement. These
- 16 documents have not been signed. There is a process
- 17 that is in place to reach a final settlement and a
- 18 time frame to reach that settlement. It is within the
- 19 next ten or so days.
- I will tell you that beyond those days, of
- 21 course, there will need to be Board approval for at
- 22 least three of the entities that are parties to this
- 23 lawsuit; and there's additional time for that.
- And, of course, any settlement would be
- 25 contingent upon those Board approvals, which would

- 1 include the Board of Santee Cooper and the Board of
- 2 Central Electric Cooperative.
- 3 The terms -- you've seen some of these in the
- 4 newspaper; but the tentative terms at this time are
- 5 that for a \$520 million payment, which will be made by
- 6 the defendants. Excuse me. Yes. By the defendants
- 7 to the plaintiffs, which would consist of \$320 million
- 8 being paid by Dominion and \$200 million being paid by
- 9 Santee Cooper.
- 10 Of the \$320 million from Dominion, that would
- 11 be paid within seven days of the execution of the
- 12 settlement papers. Santee Cooper's \$200 million
- payments would be over a three-year period, which
- 14 would be 65 million, 65 million, and 70 million in the
- 15 third quarter of each year beginning in 2020.
- 16 As part of the settlement, Santee Cooper
- 17 would agree to a rate freeze consistent with this
- 18 reform plan, which would begin in the year 2020 and
- 19 extend through to 2024. That's a four-year rate
- 20 freeze. There are exceptions to that, which would do
- 21 things -- have to do with things like changes in the
- 22 law or some named storm event or something else that
- 23 would be extraordinary.
- The parties agree that for these payments,
- 25 for these sums, there will be a dismissal with

- 1 prejudice and full releases by and among all of the
- 2 parties. That includes direct claims and any cross-
- 3 claims that are pending, which, of course, would
- 4 include the Central cross-claim against Santee Cooper
- 5 and the Santee Cooper cross-claim against Dominion
- 6 Energy.
- 7 The party would -- the parties would enter
- 8 into mutual releases among all of them; and as I
- 9 mentioned earlier, would resolve all of the claims
- 10 that are pending.
- 11 The release is to be construed in the
- 12 broadest possible terms, meaning that there are also
- 13 administrative claims in other venues pending between
- 14 these parties; and these would be resolved as well.
- 15 In other words, it is intended to be a global
- 16 settlement of all claims.
- 17 Specifically, this will settle the case which
- 18 we call Cook, which is the main claim that was the
- 19 named vehicle by which this class action started but
- 20 also the case of Le Quire (phonetic) versus Marsh and
- 21 Glibowski versus SCANA, which are related class action
- 22 claims as well.
- Dominion agrees that money that it puts into
- 24 this fund to contribute to this settlement will not be
- 25 included in its rate base or otherwise passed on to

- 1 its customers.
- 2 Santee Cooper agrees that the funds which are
- 3 placed into this payment will not -- and paid as a
- 4 part of the settlement will not be used as a basis for
- 5 upward adjustment of rates.
- 6 We have not discussed, the parties among
- 7 themselves, the amount of attorney's fees; but the
- 8 attorney's fees will come out of this common fund of
- 9 \$520 million. And the parties agree that plaintiffs'
- 10 counsel will seek no more than 15 percent of that
- 11 fund.
- 12 Furthermore, the plaintiffs will bear the
- 13 full cost of notice to the class and the
- 14 administration of the claim; but Santee Cooper and
- 15 Central will participate to the extent that they will
- 16 allow through bill inserts certain notice to go to
- 17 customers which will ease the expense of the
- 18 administration of the claim.
- 19 Again, the Boards of Directors of the
- 20 entities must approve this; and eventually, and as
- 21 important, this will require court approval as well by
- 22 Justice Toal.
- 23 And there is also a back agreement to this
- 24 between Santee Cooper and Dominion by which Santee
- 25 Cooper and Dominion mutually release all claims that

- 1 they have against one another.
- I mentioned this earlier in my comments; but
- 3 you will recall, there was some discussion. In fact,
- 4 in the media there was a letter, which had been sent
- 5 in October of last year to Santee Cooper whereby
- 6 Dominion raised significant claims against Santee
- 7 Cooper that would be payable through the process of
- 8 Dominion resolving certain claims that were pending
- 9 against the site.
- 10 That included a claim by the Department of
- 11 Revenue for sales tax reimbursement for exemptions
- 12 that were previously given. Also there's a few in
- 13 lieu of taxes suit, which is pending in Fairfield
- 14 County. There are cases over the nuclear fuels
- 15 purchased for the operation as well as the WARN Act.
- 16 That's W-A-R-N. The WARN Act claims for employees who
- 17 left the site at the time the construction was closed.
- 18 All of those claims or indemnification for
- 19 those claims are released between Dominion and Santee
- 20 Cooper, meaning that there will be no further claims
- 21 from Dominion against Santee Cooper to participate in
- 22 those settlements.
- 23 That, in a nutshell, are the terms; but let
- 24 me just say just one more time in closing so that
- 25 we're all clear. Because the definitive agreements

- 1 have not been signed, these are subject to some
- 2 changes within the terms; but those are the terms
- 3 which -- upon which the handshake agreement was
- 4 reached between the parties, and the parties are now
- 5 in a process to conclude definitive agreements.
- 6 I'll be glad to answer any questions that
- 7 there may be on this specifics of those.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Actually, let me ask a
- 9 question.
- 10 Your confidence is, I think -- you can tell
- 11 me -- directed totally toward monetary settlement.
- MR. BAXLEY: Well, no, sir. It's not
- 13 completely monetary.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Okay. Let me ask
- 15 another question.
- MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Does it include
- 18 governance?
- MR. BAXLEY: So that's a good question,
- 20 Senator Leatherman; and let me answer it this way.
- 21 So the governance reform plan components that
- 22 were just discussed with you are not in the settlement
- 23 because it is the Legislature's province to make the
- 24 decisions how -- of how Santee Cooper's governance
- 25 would be changed.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: So your answer, I
- 2 think, is -- again, I'm a bit slow. Your answer, I
- 3 think, is that has not been agreed upon.
- 4 MR. BAXLEY: Not the governance. But please
- 5 be aware that also in the settlement is a rate freeze;
- 6 and the rate freeze is based upon the reform plan,
- 7 which means that the recipients -- which would include
- 8 Central -- are accepting of the assumptions that are
- 9 within the reform plan moving forward. So that's not
- 10 governance, but that's business going forward.
- 11 SPEAKER LEATHERMAN: But no governance has
- 12 been agreed upon?
- MR. BAXLEY: No, sir. Again, because we felt
- 14 that was the province of you, sir, and the General
- 15 Assembly.
- 16 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Chairman --
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Senator Setzler.
- 18 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Baxley, there is no
- 19 issue in the litigation -- any of the litigation
- 20 relative to governance. The Court doesn't have
- 21 jurisdiction of the governance.
- MR. BAXLEY: That is -- well, I would answer
- 23 that this way. You are correct. It's not a pled
- issue, but it's part of the relationship to Central
- 25 that is between Santee Cooper that is critical to all

- 1 of us; and so we look at this from a global
- 2 standpoint, but the governance terms are not in the
- 3 settlement agreement.
- 4 SENATOR CROMER: So if I understand what
- 5 you're telling me, Mr. Baxley, is -- let me back up
- 6 just a second.
- 7 From all of the presentations we heard last
- 8 week, one of the concerns about continuing to operate
- 9 Santee Cooper or the State continuing to own Santee
- 10 Cooper was the problem of liabilities.
- 11 None of us knew what the liabilities were
- 12 going to be, and I've heard varying numbers from
- 13 561 million to 600-something million I think; and
- 14 NextEra showed last week that it would be a total of
- 15 like 961 million liabilities that they were planning
- 16 for if they purchased Santee Cooper.
- But what you just told me now is this is a
- 18 global settlement 'cause I first thought that maybe
- 19 y'all had just entered into an agreement with Central
- 20 based on Central and Santee Cooper's -- that
- 21 particular lawsuit.
- But now you're telling me that it's global,
- 23 and Dominion has agreed in that same global settlement
- 24 or plea that you folks will also be settling with
- 25 Dominion on their lawsuit; is that correct?

- 1 MR. BAXLEY: That is correct.
- But now when I use the term "global," I don't
- 3 want to be overly expansive. There are other terms
- 4 not related to this -- excuse me. Other claims not
- 5 related to the V. C. Summer litigation that are still
- 6 pending. So it's not a global of every case pending
- 7 against Santee Cooper of every nature and kind, but it
- 8 is a global settlement of the nuclear claims.
- 9 SENATOR CROMER: And I don't know if we heard
- 10 of those other cases at any other point. Could you
- 11 maybe get us a list of other litigations that might be
- 12 outstanding right now?
- MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir. I can do that.
- 14 I'll tell you one which does -- is related to
- 15 nuclear, which is that Westinghouse has filed a claim
- 16 against Santee Cooper claiming that Westinghouse has
- an ownership interest in the parts, components, and
- 18 pieces that were left over when construction was
- 19 stopped on V. C. Summer 2 and 3.
- This does not resolve that claim. There is a
- 21 process that is ongoing for discussions about that
- 22 claim as well, but that claim is not resolved.
- 23 SENATOR CROMER: I say this tongue in cheek.
- 24 Westinghouse has got enough issues of their own right
- 25 now that they need to be clearing up.

- 1 So anyway, that being said, thank you for
- 2 your testimony --
- 3 MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir.
- 4 SENATOR CROMER: -- on that because that's
- 5 what I was getting at. That alleviates a lot of
- 6 concern that the State would be left on the hook for
- 7 satisfying any outcomes on those lawsuits. Now, it
- 8 sounds like we're, pretty much, all in agreement on
- 9 the two major litigations that were out there against
- 10 Santee Cooper.
- 11 MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir. And last week Senator
- 12 Setzler asked about the, quote, leave behinds that
- were part of the NextEra proposal; and I will tell
- 14 you, of course, every company is looking at these risk
- 15 profiles, and Santee Cooper has been working as best
- 16 we could to resolve those risk profiles. That's what
- 17 this is about.
- 18 SENATOR CROMER: You know, any time you
- 19 purchase a business -- and I've done that quite a few
- 20 times and sold quite a few times -- normally as of the
- 21 date of execution of that purchase or sale agreement,
- 22 that whoever owned it previous or prior to that date,
- 23 they're still on the hook for any liabilities or
- 24 anything that they did wrong. They're still on the
- 25 hook. So that's no different from any other buy/

- 1 purchase/sale agreement that normally lawyers will
- 2 finalize.
- 3 MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir.
- 4 SENATOR CROMER: So, I mean, you're going to
- 5 be on the hook for anything that could arise at a
- 6 later date that you folks accidentally did in the
- 7 event of a sale; correct?
- 8 MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir.
- 9 And this does not resolve all of the leave
- 10 behinds that were asked about last week. There still
- 11 remain others.
- 12 SENATOR CROMER: Right.
- MR. BAXLEY: And that's the answer to your
- 14 question. Anything further on legal?
- 15 SENATOR CROMER: I think that's all for me on
- 16 that.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Baxley, before you
- 18 leave there --
- MR. BAXLEY: Well, I thought I was going to
- 20 escape. Yes, sir.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: We'll let you escape in
- 22 just a moment.
- MR. BAXLEY: All right. Thank you, sir.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Maybe.
- MR. BAXLEY: Thank you.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: All of this
- 2 conversation back and forth about global settlement --
- 3 MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir.
- 4 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: -- is strictly
- 5 monetary; is that correct, sir?
- 6 MR. BAXLEY: Well, it's monetary; but it has
- 7 a business component with it as well.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Does it include
- 9 governance?
- 10 MR. BAXLEY: No, sir. It does not include
- 11 terms of governance.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir. Thank you.
- 13 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Chairman --
- 14 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir.
- 15 SENATOR SETZLER: I don't know if you want me
- 16 to wait or not, but he talked about the other
- 17 litigation. There's, like, 10 or 12 of those that
- 18 were listed in a letter to you back in October of '19.
- 19 Are all of those settled in that list? You
- 20 want me to wait and ask that question, Mr. Chairman?
- 21 I don't want to go out of turn.
- MR. BAXLEY: No, sir. That letter which came
- 23 in October of 2019 from Dominion --
- 24 SENATOR SETZLER: Correct.
- 25 MR. BAXLEY: -- which asserted a claim

- 1 against Santee Cooper for 45 percent of payments that
- 2 they were making with respect to multiple claims,
- 3 including the Lightsey settlement that had already
- 4 been paid, all of those are resolved in this global
- 5 settlement between Santee Cooper and Dominion.
- 6 SENATOR SETZLER: All of those cases listed
- 7 in that letter?
- 8 MR. BAXLEY: Yes, they are.
- 9 SENATOR SETZLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 10 SENATOR PEELER: Mr. Baxley, what's the cost
- 11 of the settlement? How much?
- 12 MR. BAXLEY: The aggregate is \$520 million.
- 13 Attorney's fees come out of that figure.
- 14 SENATOR PEELER: Total of 15 percent of it?
- MR. BAXLEY: No more than 15 percent. That's
- 16 a cap. So that figure has not been agreed yet between
- 17 the parties, but that is a cap.
- 18 SENATOR PEELER: How many attorneys share in
- 19 that?
- MR. BAXLEY: How many?
- 21 SENATOR PEELER: Yes, sir.
- MR. BAXLEY: There are five or six firms. I
- 23 would say 15 or more. It's roughly the same attorneys
- 24 that were in the Lightsey lawsuit that was filed last
- 25 year. Excuse me. That was settled last year.

- 1 SENATOR PEELER: Pretty good payday, isn't
- 2 it?
- 3 MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir. I'm on the wrong side
- 4 of the Bar, yes, sir.
- 5 SENATOR PEELER: Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Anyone else?
- 7 SENATOR CROMER: And actually, the Senator
- 8 from Gaffney reminded me of one thing I was going to
- 9 ask.
- 10 You mentioned \$521 million settlement. Of
- 11 that, though, I thought I heard you say that Santee
- 12 Cooper was responsible for only 200 million of that.
- 13 MR. BAXLEY: That's correct. 520 total. 200
- 14 paid by Santee Cooper.
- 15 SENATOR CROMER: 200 million by Santee
- 16 Cooper. That's way less than what we were originally
- 17 told that the protected amount was going to be. So
- 18 that being said, of that \$200 million, I think I heard
- 19 Dominion was going to go ahead and pay theirs; and it
- 20 wouldn't affect the ratepayers?
- MR. BAXLEY: Yes.
- 22 SENATOR CROMER: How about your 200 million
- 23 now? Is that going to be a credit on the bills of all
- of your customers? Or is that a cash payment?
- MR. BAXLEY: That -- Senator Cromer, that's

- 1 an excellent question; and that is to be determined in
- 2 the definitive agreements. The plaintiffs, because
- 3 they have -- the plaintiffs' counsel who has brought
- 4 the case will have some control over how -- by what
- 5 medium the customer credits are returned to the
- 6 customer, whether it be by check, whether it be by
- 7 bill credit.
- 8 Of course, as you know, during the pendency
- 9 of a claim like this, some customers come and go.
- 10 Then some are added later. So we'll have to pay
- 11 careful attention to be sure that we're fair in
- 12 however it's done.
- 13 SENATOR CROMER: So if it's determined that
- 14 you've got to make a cash payment today when you sign
- 15 the papers, we've been told that Santee Cooper has
- 16 \$500 million cash in reserve or cash on hand.
- 17 So would you think that it would be paid out
- 18 of that so as to not have any affect on the
- 19 ratepayers?
- MR. BAXLEY: Well, how we would actually pay
- 21 them, from what source, will be determined by the
- 22 administration and finance team. Of course, our
- 23 intention is that it would -- and it states in the
- 24 agreement that we would not use that as a basis to
- 25 request a rate increase.

- 1 And please note that the payment from Santee
- 2 Cooper is spread over three years, and the reason that
- 3 was done was for cash flow purposes for Santee Cooper.
- 4 Payments of 65 million in third quarter 2020, 65
- 5 million in third quarter 2021, and then 70 million in
- 6 third quarter 2022.
- 7 SENATOR CROMER: So -- but you say now we're
- 8 looking pretty good as far as liabilities go; and
- 9 we're, most likely, not looking at any negative
- 10 impact. Of course, you just stated that the
- 11 settlement says that you cannot affect the ratepayers
- 12 with your cash payment or credits or whatever.
- 13 So that leaves Santee Cooper in a pretty good
- 14 positive light now as far as ratepayers go on having
- 15 to be liable for all these liabilities.
- MR. BAXLEY: Well, it was certainly our
- 17 intention. I would describe the Cook case as
- 18 existential. If we had lost the Cook case, that could
- 19 be the end of Santee Cooper. And so that the risk is
- 20 now -- is removed once these tentative agreements are
- 21 signed from the risk ledger, if you will. We have
- 22 other cases pending that do not have near the
- 23 materiality of Cook, and we're working on those as
- 24 well.
- 25 SENATOR CROMER: Okay. All right.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Cromer, I believe
- 2 your 20 minutes is up. I believe we ate up a lot of
- 3 that. So is that your final question?
- 4 SENATOR CROMER: No, sir, it wasn't. But I
- 5 guess I only got to ask, like, three. I think all the
- 6 other people over here were asking questions.
- 7 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: If you have more, come
- 8 back on Round 2. Okay?
- 9 SENATOR CROMER: Okay.
- 10 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Anyone else?
- MR. BAXLEY: Thank you.
- 12 STAFF: Mr. Chairman, up next is Senator
- 13 Alexander.
- 14 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- Good afternoon. As it is now, we appreciate
- 16 you being, not only here today, but taking the
- 17 challenge of being in South Carolina.
- 18 MR. BONSALL: Thank you.
- 19 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Several different things.
- I heard you in opening comments talk about
- 21 leadership failure and of the -- including the Board.
- 22 Then we got into your reform plan that was required
- 23 under the Legislature.
- Of course, knowing that you were not here
- 25 prior to that particular time, is there any

- 1 observation of why further reform had not been done at
- 2 Santee Cooper before being required to be done under
- 3 this proposal?
- 4 MR. BONSALL: You know, I wish I was here and
- 5 could shed more light on that. I do not have an
- 6 answer to that question because precisely I was not --
- 7 I was not here. I think the -- I just -- I can't
- 8 answer that question. I don't know.
- 9 SENATOR ALEXANDER: We'll bring a Board
- 10 member back up for that.
- MR. BONSALL: Okay.
- 12 SENATOR ALEXANDER: You mentioned earlier and
- 13 it was mentioned about the culture of Santee Cooper.
- 14 Then you mentioned in your closing comments about the
- 15 relationship of the Department of Administration.
- MR. BONSALL: Uh-huh.
- 17 SENATOR ALEXANDER: If the roles had been
- 18 reversed and you had been in Miss Adams' position and
- 19 she had been with Santee Cooper, how would you have
- 20 characterized that relationship as far as being
- 21 responsive?
- MR. BONSALL: I think the -- if I understand
- 23 your question, Senator, the -- to some degree, I think
- 24 the -- what issues we ran into were, more or less, two
- 25 ships passing in the night. There were

- 1 misunderstandings between each other, and I think that
- 2 would tend to be the relationship; and it probably
- 3 would have been the relationship had the roles been
- 4 reversed.
- 5 The understanding about what the limitations
- 6 on what Santee Cooper could or could not do between
- 7 the publication of the business forecast on
- 8 September 9 and our submission of our reform plan on
- 9 January 3 were the issues where we seemed to have --
- 10 we didn't achieve finality of understanding, so to
- 11 speak, or commonality of understanding until we had
- 12 faced a few issues and wrestled with the bases upon
- 13 which those kind of decisions could and should be made
- 14 in that process.
- So, again, I go back to two examples. One of
- 16 them was the refinancing. So we brought potential to
- 17 refinance the debt to the DOA's attention, Santee
- 18 Cooper did. Asked them the question. Basically said,
- 19 "We'd like to do this. What are the concerns?"
- DOA came back to us and said, "If you
- 21 refinance, as you normally would refinance, then
- 22 you're going to have what's called call protection on
- 23 the new bonds that are issued to refinance the old
- ones"; and that call protection goes out ten years
- 25 normally. Can be shorter. Can be longer.

- 1 But you're now creating a longer term
- 2 liability beyond a year that someone's going to have
- 3 to live with if they buy you, and they raised that
- 4 concern; and they were right.
- 5 So we structured a different way to go about
- 6 refinancing the debt that we wanted to refinance that
- 7 didn't have that call protection in it. So we were
- 8 able to resolve that situation, but we had a very
- 9 clear understanding of the concern was the creation of
- 10 a long-term liability that somebody would have to
- 11 assume if they bought you.
- 12 Same thing applied in our discussions, and we
- 13 had quite a number of them on our desire to hedge or
- 14 to lock in the price of natural gas in the out years
- in your reform plan, as Charlie Duckworth indicated.
- 16 Those kinds of instruments are available
- 17 today. Natural gas prices are very low. We're not
- 18 hedged in those out years. We are assuming that the
- 19 natural gas prices will stay where they are today, and
- 20 that's what leads to these price declines. We'd
- 21 really like to lock that in to provide greater
- 22 certainty to the price forecasts that we have shown
- 23 you. We're not fully hedged as we would like to be.
- 24 We're not as fully certain as we would like to be.
- 25 They raised a concern as well that locking in

- 1 those hedges would create a cost, a position, if you
- 2 will, to somebody buying Santee Cooper that they may
- 3 not want to absorb; and I agree with that
- 4 understanding. I agree with that logic. So we have
- 5 not done the hedges that we talked about. We figured
- 6 our way around the first one. There just isn't a way
- 7 to solve the second one.
- 8 So I think we got to the point, Senator,
- 9 where we were able to have that discussion and really
- 10 understood what the critical point was; and the
- 11 critical point was long-term liabilities are locking
- 12 into a position that somebody would have to pay if
- 13 they bought Santee Cooper, and they might not want to.
- 14 And so we just avoided doing that going
- 15 forward; but it took a while to, kind of, get to that
- 16 understanding because it's very complex.
- 17 SENATOR ALEXANDER: I think the question was:
- 18 If the roles had been reversed, how would you have
- 19 characterized that relationship? But I appreciate the
- 20 information.
- MR. BONSALL: Well, I think we did hopefully;
- 22 and hopefully Marsha would say the same. We kept
- 23 talking. I mean, we had some -- were there some bumps
- 24 in the road? Yes. We kept talking, and I appreciate
- 25 that attitude from her. I hope she appreciates it

- 1 from me. I don't know. But I do from her. So,
- 2 hopefully, that she would typify that as saying we
- 3 worked our way through the issues; and there were some
- 4 tough ones.
- 5 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Yes, there certainly
- 6 were.
- 7 Did I understand in your comments that
- 8 after -- how much cash do you have after paying your
- 9 debt on a yearly basis at Santee Cooper?
- 10 MR. BONSALL: Yeah. Last year's budget --
- 11 the question was how much cash we generated after
- 12 paying our debt? And last year's budget or projected
- 13 actual was in the neighborhood of \$160 million. But
- 14 that's a cash measure; and that's after the payment of
- 15 100 percent debt service, principal and interest on
- 16 all of the debt.
- 17 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Is that what you call
- 18 "net profit"?
- 19 MR. BONSALL: It's not profit because it's
- 20 measured on a cash basis. Profit is measured on an
- 21 accrual basis. So this is net internally-generated
- 22 cash flow.
- 23 SENATOR ALEXANDER: After all expenses.
- MR. BONSALL: After all expenses, debt
- 25 service, fuel, operating expenses. That's the bottom

- 1 line. That's the portion of the cash flow stream to
- 2 Santee Cooper that are reinvested into the system that
- 3 serves our customers. That's what helps to finance
- 4 the capital expenditures of the Santee Cooper entity.
- 5 SENATOR ALEXANDER: So you have an experience
- 6 in Arizona. I understand a tremendous record out
- 7 there.
- 8 MR. BONSALL: Thank you.
- 9 SENATOR ALEXANDER: And I was just curious on
- 10 the page 9, modernization of the fleet, you had many
- 11 of those cost savings and modernizations already in
- 12 place; or when did they have an SRP, just as a
- 13 generality?
- MR. BONSALL: How do I work this so I get
- 15 back to --
- 16 SENATOR ALEXANDER: I can --
- 17 MR. BONSALL: That's okay. I got it here.
- 18 SENATOR ALEXANDER: I'll work from that hard
- 19 copy myself. Page 9.
- MR. BONSALL: All of them.
- 21 SENATOR ALEXANDER: All of them?
- MR. BONSALL: All of them. We've done it
- 23 all.
- 24 SENATOR ALEXANDER: For a period of time? I
- 25 mean, what kind of a time frame?

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Focused probably in the last
- 2 five to six years, pretty much my tenure as CEO.
- We shut down a generating station. We've got
- 4 clearly reduced capital expenditures because we bid
- 5 out the solar and basically used somebody else's
- 6 balance sheet to finance those.
- 7 We did add batteries at the generating
- 8 station. They were Tesla batteries. Batteries are a
- 9 flexible technology, go on for an hour about it. But
- 10 we used it there in order to create transmission
- 11 support, which is not dissimilar to what we're talking
- 12 using about here. We've had finance side management
- 13 programs for years and years and years. At SRP we
- 14 added several in the time that I was CPO.
- 15 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Well, certainly it's not
- 16 something that was just started last year. It was
- 17 something that y'all --
- 18 MR. BONSALL: It is not a quess. We've been
- 19 doing it for a while.
- 20 SENATOR ALEXANDER: So just curious. Out
- 21 there while I'm talking with you about that, how was
- 22 your facility out there regulated? They come under
- 23 the state regulatory authority or public --
- 24 MR. BONSALL: Arizona Corporation Commission
- 25 is the version in Arizona of your PSC.

- 1 SENATOR ALEXANDER: PSC. Did they -- were
- 2 they regulated under that commission?
- 3 MR. BONSALL: SRP?
- 4 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Yes.
- 5 MR. BONSALL: In certain ways, yes. In
- 6 certain ways, no. So for pricing purposes, no. And
- 7 that's simply in respect of that bond covenant and
- 8 bond resolution that was in place; however, there were
- 9 other forms of regulation of Salt River Project by the
- 10 ACC. It was probably Arizona Corporation Commission.
- 11 One of them was siting. It's not dissimilar to what
- 12 we're proposing here, that the ACC did have backup
- 13 authority to make that decision ultimately.
- 14 Another, a second, was ACC, Arizona
- 15 Corporation Commission, had authority or authorization
- 16 for the issuance of debt, not issue by issue, but the
- 17 aggregate issuance of debt. That gave the ACC insight
- 18 into Salt River Project's capital improvement program
- 19 and some ability to respond to that.
- I should talk to you a little bit about how
- 21 that was done generally.
- We would coordinate with the ACC. It was a
- 23 formal action adopted by the commissioners of the ACC.
- 24 Generally, we would present our capital improvement
- 25 program and get a year or two or three worth of debt

- 1 issuance approved by the ACC. So we couldn't sell our
- 2 bonds without ACC approval. That's the second
- 3 category.
- 4 Third category was the -- we had a -- kind of
- 5 an omnibus obligation to coordinate with the ACC, and
- 6 now that obligation came about as a result of the
- 7 deregulation years when California deregulated. There
- 8 was a deregulation -- kind of a mirror deregulation
- 9 law passed in the State of Arizona. Part of that
- 10 required SRP to coordinate simply on an annual basis
- 11 with the ACC. Get together, compare notes, and make
- 12 sure that everybody knew what everybody was doing.
- So there were those forms. So the pricing,
- 14 no. But the other forms of regulation, yes.
- 15 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Thank you, sir. And I'll
- 16 get to that in a minute during my second round; but on
- 17 page 14, if I heard, it says the reform plan versus
- 18 the DOA normalization. And I understand, you've said
- 19 you understood that's the case.
- 20 Did I hear you that you were basing this
- 21 chart on 20 years? Or the debt, I guess, the --
- MR. BONSALL: Present value?
- 23 SENATOR ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
- MR. BONSALL: Present value?
- 25 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Yes, sir.

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Yes. That computation is the
- 2 difference between those lines. For the period of
- 3 time, there is a difference between those lines
- 4 discounted at the 3.17 percent rate.
- 5 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Did I hear you say y'all
- 6 considered 15 years and 30 years as well?
- 7 MR. BONSALL: We did look at 30 years, but 30
- 8 years was longer than 20 years. So 15 was closer to
- 9 30. But we could pick something in between. We would
- 10 be in the same ballpark.
- 11 SENATOR ALEXANDER: 20 is what was requested.
- 12 Y'all went with 15?
- MR. BONSALL: We just used the discount rate
- 14 associated with 15. We did the computation on 20
- 15 years. Discount rate was a 15-year rate.
- 16 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Okay. How much solar do
- 17 you have in your mix today in 2020?
- 18 MR. BONSALL: I'm going to have to turn
- 19 around and ask a staff member that question. I think
- 20 we've got -- we've got two -- two 75s and some others.
- 21 Charlie.
- MR. DUCKWORTH: There are -- there's 162, I
- 23 believe, it is. That -- the two 75 megawatt units
- 24 that Mark referred to, they are in the pipeline so
- 25 they're coming; but that's the size at this point in

- 1 time.
- 2 SENATOR ALEXANDER: On page 28 there at the
- 3 top of the -- and just observation. I'll turn it into
- 4 a question.
- 5 This is the part of the continuation of
- 6 moving forward under the coordination agreement,
- 7 page 2 there, resources, planning, and implementation,
- 8 just an observation from that, that Central would work
- 9 side by side with Santee through the planning and
- 10 oversight process as to meet full combined system
- 11 loads.
- 12 Central being the customer, I just, kind of,
- 13 did you know? It kind of stuck out with me that
- 14 sounds like, still wondering about that relationship
- 15 between y'all and Central.
- 16 It seems like Santee Cooper, just observation
- 17 there, that rather than Santee Cooper working for
- 18 Central, you got Central doing all the work. Just
- 19 observation. You're not going to respond to that.
- 20 MR. BONSALL: I wouldn't -- I'm not sure I
- 21 know how to respond to that, but I think they wanted
- 22 to participate with us. So I think that's a good
- 23 thing.
- 24 SENATOR ALEXANDER: It's not part of the
- 25 issue. On the governance Board changes, I was just

- 1 curious. Maybe it needs to be somebody else, again.
- 2 I appreciate you being here.
- 3 Help me understand -- and I would assume all
- 4 of this proposed reform bill has been voted on by the
- 5 Board. Has this had Board approval?
- 6 MR. BONSALL: It has.
- 7 SENATOR ALEXANDER: So the very first thing
- 8 that y'all recommend in your proposal as far as some
- 9 changes, Board changes, is term limits.
- MR. BONSALL: Uh-huh.
- 11 SENATOR ALEXANDER: How does term limits help
- 12 improve the reform of Santee Cooper going forward?
- 13 And how has that caused the -- and maybe it's the
- 14 chair.
- 15 How has the Board -- is this -- or are they
- 16 admitting that this has caused the problems that
- 17 Santee Cooper's facing now? I just find that's
- 18 interesting that of all of the Board changes, the
- 19 first one is term limits.
- 20 MR. BONSALL: The background on this -- two
- 21 pieces of background on this particular suggestion --
- 22 and I can let others respond to the part of your
- 23 question about has this historically been a problem.
- 24 This was a suggestion of the DOA to include
- into our reform plan between November 25 and

- 1 January 3, and we agreed to adopt it. It was one of
- 2 their suggestions. Two, it was borrowed.
- 3 As I understand it, in the State of South
- 4 Carolina, the Ports Authority Board has certain of
- 5 these provisions in it and is viewed as having
- 6 operated well and, perhaps, a model going forward. So
- 7 that was -- that was -- and I think this was --
- 8 SENATOR ALEXANDER: So our understanding is
- 9 that this was the Department of Administration's
- 10 suggestion?
- 11 MR. BONSALL: When we had the conversations
- 12 between November 25 and January 3, I believe one of
- 13 the suggestions that they made was the consideration
- 14 of term limits. It was just conversation. It wasn't
- 15 a hard, you know. You got to do this or anything like
- 16 that. It came up; and they said, "You might want to
- 17 think about term limits." And we said, "Fine." We
- 18 agreed to that.
- 19 SENATOR ALEXANDER: In any of y'all's
- 20 discussions among the Board as far as the makeup of
- 21 the Board and the term limits and things of that
- 22 nature, was there any consideration given to recommend
- 23 a change in the number of the people on the Board or
- 24 whether they need to be for congressional --
- 25 MR. BONSALL: We didn't have that

- 1 conversation. At least I did not participate in that
- 2 conversation, but I'll recognize that that's a
- 3 consideration for you all to make. Is that fair?
- 4 MR. RAY: That is fair.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: I'm going to stop a
- 6 minute. I'm going to stop some more questions.
- 7 The next one up, Mike, is Senator Setzler.
- 8 Senator Setzler, we have 20 minutes; and I guess about
- 9 ten till. And then I'm going to break for the members
- 10 to get lunch for one hour; and then we'll go on the
- 11 floor at two, Mr. President. I think you have
- 12 concerns with us, and come straight back here.
- 13 SENATOR PEELER: That'll be up to the Senate,
- 14 Mr. Chairman.
- 15 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Come straight back here
- 16 after we get off the floor, and very interesting
- 17 questions and answers today.
- 18 SENATOR JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, I want to
- 19 see -- one of the questions I had dealt with the
- 20 reform --
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Senator, use your mic.
- 22 SENATOR JOHNSON: One of the questions I had
- 23 on my list was in reference to reform of the Board. I
- 24 want to know if the Senate can let me just ask that
- 25 one question since we are on that?

- 1 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Absolutely. I yield.
- 2 SENATOR JOHNSON: The only additional
- 3 question I had as related to the Board is if we
- 4 approve or if the concept of term limits is approved,
- 5 how does that affect the current Board members?
- 6 MR. BONSALL: I think the proposal, Senator,
- 7 is that the term limits would apply prospectively; and
- 8 I have been advised that any such statutory changes
- 9 normally apply prospectively only as a normal
- 10 precedent. That's what I have been told. So that's
- 11 what the proposal is. I assume you could change that,
- 12 if you wanted to.
- 13 SENATOR JOHNSON: Just curious. Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Setzler.
- 15 SENATOR SETZLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 16 Mr. Bonsall, thank you for being here; and
- thank you for having your people here, and I'll
- 18 preface as I prefaced every time questioning anybody.
- 19 I've not made a decision. So don't be led to believe
- 20 by my questions I'm either for or against
- 21 restructuring Santee Cooper or any of the other
- 22 proposals.
- I would say to you that I commend you, as
- 24 well as Central, in resolving the Cook litigation. I
- 25 think that's a good move on both parties' part,

- 1 regardless of what happens out of this process.
- MR. BONSALL: Thank you.
- 3 SENATOR SETZLER: I also would like to thank
- 4 Mr. Ray for what he's done publicly since all of this
- 5 broke in July of 2017. Whether we agree with what's
- 6 been done or not done, Mr. Ray has been a breath of
- 7 fresh air in trying to deal with us and addressing
- 8 those issues. So I just want to say -- I'd ask you
- 9 not to break any confidentiality. If I ask you a
- 10 question, just I'm not going to be offended if you
- 11 say, "I can't answer that."
- 12 The chairman asked you when we first started,
- or either Mr. Shealy, his staff, he listed a number of
- 14 people who were asked to be here today who are not
- 15 here; and you said they were not here because of the
- 16 advice of counsel.
- 17 Only two of those people are current
- 18 employees of Santee Cooper; correct?
- 19 MR. BONSALL: No. I think there's -- I think
- 20 there's three. I'm going to have to remember my list.
- 21 You had Chairman Ray, and you had both Marion Cherry
- 22 and Michael Crosby.
- 23 SENATOR SETZLER: Is Santee Cooper paying for
- 24 attorneys for those three individuals?
- 25 MR. BONSALL: As I understand it, Santee

- 1 Cooper is participating or supporting their defense;
- 2 is that correct?
- 3 MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir.
- 4 SENATOR SETZLER: Are you paying in full
- 5 their legal fees?
- 6 MR. BAXLEY: Yes.
- 7 MR. RAY: No.
- 8 SENATOR SETZLER: I'm getting a yes and a no.
- 9 MR. BONSALL: I'm getting a difference here
- 10 in real time. May I?
- 11 MR. RAY: Senator, the reason I said no, I,
- 12 along with the other Board members, have been sued
- 13 personally as part of the Cook litigation.
- 14 SENATOR SETZLER: Which is being settled.
- MR. RAY: Which is being settled. But during
- 16 my deposition questioning, I had my outside counsel
- 17 represent me; and their hourly rate was above what we
- 18 were allowing at Santee Cooper, and I paid that out of
- 19 my pocket.
- 20 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Bonsall, let me cut
- 21 this. Are you paying for the attorneys of the people
- 22 who are no longer current employees of Santee Cooper?
- MR. BONSALL: Yes.
- 24 SENATOR SETZLER: Can you tell us how much
- 25 Santee Cooper has paid since July of '17 to date for

- 1 legal fees for either former or current employees of
- 2 Santee Cooper?
- 3 MR. BONSALL: I can't off the top of my head.
- 4 I'm going to turn to see if staff has the answer. If
- 5 not, we can get it for you. We can provide that.
- 6 We'll have to provide that.
- 7 SENATOR SETZLER: Okay. You'll provide that
- 8 for us.
- 9 Last Wednesday in the hearing with the
- 10 potential purchaser, that there's being referenced to
- 11 some \$500 million of cash on your books and that if
- 12 Santee Cooper was sold, that cash would come to the
- 13 State.
- 14 Is that cash on your books? \$500 million?
- 15 MR. BONSALL: We looked for a \$500 million
- 16 number. We were trying to find what they were focused
- 17 on. We think it might have come from a budget
- 18 projection from the business as usual case that was
- 19 considered in the ICF process for the end of 2020 or
- 20 potentially the end of 2021. So it's not a current
- 21 number, and it didn't come from either the base case
- 22 forecast or the reform plan.
- I can tell you that, in that ballpark, we
- 24 will have cash resources reflected on our balance
- 25 sheet that are in that neighborhood. Periodically

- 1 maybe a little above and maybe a little below, but
- 2 it's not free cash. There's a big chunk of that, and
- 3 I can give you a breakdown of one of our most recent
- 4 cash balances. There's a big chunk of that that are
- 5 nuclear decommissioning funds.
- 6 SENATOR SETZLER: I'm sorry? They're what?
- 7 MR. BONSALL: Nuclear decommissioning funds.
- 8 They're reserves that you're obligated to put in.
- 9 They're segregated funds.
- 10 SENATOR SETZLER: So how much of that 500 is
- 11 that?
- MR. BONSALL: Probably 200 million.
- 13 SENATOR SETZLER: So whether it's 300 million
- 14 or 500 million, Santee Cooper is currently, quote, a
- 15 State agency, end quote. That's the State's money
- 16 anyway, if you go out of business; right?
- 17 MR. BONSALL: Ultimately, I assume so, yes.
- 18 SENATOR SETZLER: So we're not getting
- 19 anything if we get that \$500 million.
- 20 MR. BONSALL: Well, you get the liability
- 21 that goes along with it.
- 22 SENATOR SETZLER: Right. And we don't --
- 23 we'll discuss that when I don't take Senator
- Newberry's time in asking questions, and it comes back
- 25 to me.

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Let me finish it, if I could
- 2 amplify just a little bit.
- 3 So at any point in time that you look at
- 4 those cash balances on Santee Cooper's balance sheet,
- 5 you're going to see those kind of reserves, which are
- 6 prudent and necessary and required, if you have an
- 7 ownership interest in a nuclear generating station.
- 8 You will also see a buildup of as well
- 9 segregated funds that are dedicated to the next
- 10 principal and interest payment that Santee Cooper has
- 11 to make in January.
- 12 I think -- we think that number came from a
- 13 December look. So we've been making payments into the
- 14 debt service fund each month. It peaks at December,
- 15 and that would be another -- I don't know. 150 or 200
- 16 million. They're reserved funds. They're not
- 17 available for --
- 18 SENATOR SETZLER: I understand your answer.
- 19 All right. So you got 200 million customers at Santee
- 20 Cooper; is that correct?
- MR. BONSALL: No, sir. We have about
- 22 190,000, I think, retail customers; and we serve
- 23 wholesale that reaches into the homes of a couple
- 24 million people. I think it's an additional 100,000 or
- 25 so customers. Correct me if I am wrong, staff.

- 1 SENATOR SETZLER: Wait a minute. How many
- 2 homes do you serve counting what goes through Central
- 3 to the co-ops?
- 4 MR. BONSALL: We don't have the number of
- 5 homes on the co-op side of the coin. We have the
- 6 number of homes in our retail system, and the number
- 7 is? 185,000. We do not have the number of homes we
- 8 serve that go out as wholesale.
- 9 SENATOR SETZLER: How much land does Santee
- 10 Cooper own? I'm not talking about the lakes. Don't
- 11 you own a lot of acreage and land, including around
- 12 Volvo?
- 13 MR. BONSALL: It seems to me that we do. We
- 14 own a lot of land. Let me get the land lady up here.
- 15 Could I do that?
- 16 SENATOR SETZLER: Yes, sir. She's a lady.
- 17 As long as we don't talk about retirement 'cause I get
- 18 hyper when we talk about retirement.
- 19 MR. BONSALL: Yeah. Yeah. This is the land
- 20 lady; and this is the lady of the lakes, by the way.
- 21 So if you have questions, Pamela.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
- We do have significant land holdings,
- 24 primarily around Lakes Marion and Moultrie. Some of
- 25 that land is within the FERT license and cannot be

- 1 sold. Some of that land is adjacent to the FERT
- 2 license and is used for recreational opportunities or
- 3 wildlife management areas that we jointly work through
- 4 with DNR.
- We also have some sites, some large sites,
- 6 that are set aside for potential new generating
- 7 stations. So we've got probably close to 1,000 acres
- 8 at the Pee Dee site, and that's one of the places that
- 9 we're looking at perhaps new gas generation --
- 10 SENATOR SETZLER: I'm not looking for all of
- it specifically, but you've got a lot of land.
- 12 MS. WILLIAMS: We have a lot of land, and we
- 13 have made efforts -- because this is one of my
- 14 responsibilities. And we hired an employee with
- 15 expertise in real estate sales to try to liquidate as
- 16 much of the property as we could that we did not need.
- 17 So we are actively marketing property that we
- 18 don't think we need for operations. The Volvo site,
- 19 you're right, that's what we call the Camp Hall
- 20 Commerce Park; and there's about 4,000 acres that
- 21 aren't dedicated to Volvo. About half of that is
- 22 developable. The other half we set aside for
- 23 wetlands, restoration, wetlands preservation, and
- 24 buffers.
- 25 SENATOR SETZLER: How do you value that land

- 1 on your balance sheet today?
- 2 MS. WILLIAMS: So it would be there on the
- 3 price that we paid for it, which was -- Suzanne,
- 4 remind it. I want to say we paid 34 million -- well,
- 5 Berkeley County paid --
- 6 SENATOR SETZLER: I'm not talking about just
- 7 the Volvo site. All the land is on your books at the
- 8 price you paid for it?
- 9 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
- 10 SENATOR SETZLER: So if somebody purchased
- 11 all your assets, they're going to get that land at the
- 12 price you paid for it and not at the value it is
- 13 today. There could be a huge difference between the
- 14 two.
- MS. WILLIAMS: There would be a huge
- 16 difference between the two.
- 17 SENATOR SETZLER: Just want to be sure
- 18 everybody understands that. We talk about it being
- 19 purchased at your purchase price, not at the value in
- 20 2020.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Correct.
- 22 SENATOR SETZLER: Now, the retirement system,
- 23 if Santee Cooper is sold and the employees go with a
- 24 purchaser, whether it's NextEra or somebody else, that
- 25 liability for their retirement stays with the State;

- 1 is that correct?
- MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. To the extent they
- 3 have approved benefits.
- 4 SENATOR SETZLER: And those approved benefits
- 5 are somewhere -- have they not been estimated in
- 6 excess of \$300 million?
- 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. 300 -- slightly in
- 8 excess of 300 million. That comes from the State
- 9 retirement system.
- 10 SENATOR SETZLER: And on your health plan,
- 11 you do not pay your employees' health benefits through
- 12 the trust fund. You pay them directly. You pay that
- 13 directly?
- MS. WILLIAMS: That is correct.
- 15 SENATOR SETZLER: And that bill, I'm told,
- 16 comes to \$700,000 per month, roughly?
- 17 MS. WILLIAMS: For active, roughly. For
- 18 active and retirees.
- 19 SENATOR SETZLER: And retirees.
- 20 MS. WILLIAMS: 700,000.
- 21 SENATOR SETZLER: A month?
- MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 23 SENATOR SETZLER: And if Santee Cooper is
- 24 purchased, if those retirees are going to continue to
- 25 have health insurance, somebody's got to pay that tab.

- 1 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
- 2 SENATOR SETZLER: And there's nobody left but
- 3 the State.
- 4 MS. WILLIAMS: We do have some funds set
- 5 aside in the trust fund that could be tapped into.
- 6 SENATOR SETZLER: How much is that?
- 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Little over 90 -- little
- 8 over 90 million.
- 9 MS. WILLIAMS: Little over \$90 million in the
- 10 trust.
- 11 SENATOR SETZLER: So you got a little over 90
- 12 million, and probably the liability there is estimated
- a year-and-a-half ago of \$350 to \$400 million.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Well, the \$350 to \$400 million
- is the retirement system. That would be the
- 16 retirement --
- 17 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: So the remaining
- 18 liability on the health insurance, the retiree health
- 19 insurance, is about 780 now.
- 20 MS. WILLIAMS: 180 million in addition to
- 21 what we have set aside.
- 22 SENATOR SETZLER: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you, ma'am.
- 24 SENATOR SETZLER: Where is Mr. Bonsall?
- 25 Mr. Bonsall, I'm trying to get my questions in quick.

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Fine.
- 2 SENATOR SETZLER: So you showed us a
- 3 restructuring plan, and I believe you said you would
- 4 have seven direct reports; is that correct?
- 5 MR. BONSALL: That's correct.
- 6 Technically it's six direct reports plus the
- 7 internal general auditor, who has a solid line
- 8 reporting relationship to the Board but a dotted line
- 9 relationship to someone in management; and I prefer
- 10 that someone be me. So it's six solid and one --
- 11 SENATOR SETZLER: When you came to Santee
- 12 Cooper, there was 20 to 24 vice presidents; correct?
- MR. BONSALL: There were a lot of them, yes.
- 14 SENATOR SETZLER: How many have you -- do you
- 15 have today?
- 16 THE WITNESS: We've reduced that by seven.
- 17 Reduced that count by seven.
- 18 SENATOR SETZLER: So seven from what? 24?
- 19 MR. BONSALL: Seven is 30 percent. So in
- 20 that neighborhood, yeah.
- 21 SENATOR SETZLER: Any vice presidents.
- MR. BONSALL: In that neighborhood, yeah.
- 23 SENATOR SETZLER: So you still got 17 vice
- 24 presidents?
- 25 MR. BONSALL: We're working on it, sir.

- 1 We're working on it.
- 2 SENATOR SETZLER: So 1700 employees. You've
- 3 got 17 vice presidents?
- 4 MR. BONSALL: Is that what remains? We'll
- 5 have to check on that. I'll get that for you after
- 6 lunch. I'll have that for you after lunch.
- 7 SENATOR SETZLER: Have any employees been
- 8 terminated since you arrived?
- 9 MR. BONSALL: Yes.
- 10 SENATOR SETZLER: How many?
- MR. BONSALL: Some that were --
- 12 SENATOR SETZLER: Attrition. These are
- 13 either terminated or retirement.
- MR. BONSALL: Oh, quite a few on attrition.
- 15 Fired, 5 to 10, probably. Maybe 15 throughout Santee
- 16 Cooper.
- 17 SENATOR SETZLER: And so in this reform
- 18 package that's come forward, I've seen nothing about a
- 19 succession plan. What if Mark Bonsall is not there?
- 20 What if you quit tomorrow? What if you die tomorrow?
- 21 God forbid. I'm not trying to kill you. But what
- 22 happens seriously? Is there a succession plan in
- 23 place?
- MR. BONSALL: No, there is not. There is not
- 25 one right now, other than -- other than --

- 1 SENATOR SETZLER: Don't you think that's part
- 2 of the reform that --
- 3 MR. BONSALL: Of course, I do. Of course, I
- 4 do. And I mentioned on that last slide, that's one of
- 5 my focuses. It absolutely is one of my focuses. I
- 6 hear you. I understand that.
- 7 Believe me, I understand the need as the
- 8 former CSO of SRP. We need to get into that. My full
- 9 attention has been devoted to developing a reform
- 10 plan; but I did say, "Here are the next steps." And
- 11 on that was, the next steps is, in fact, succession
- 12 planning and death assessment on my part.
- It is a need. I will tell you that the skill
- 14 set there is great. It's sufficient. I don't
- understand it's depth, and I'm not sure that I fully
- 16 have an understanding of what the succession planning
- 17 should be.
- 18 Were I -- well, I'm hopefully not dead; but
- 19 were I to be replaced, I also know everyone in the
- 20 industry -- I can put it to you that way -- and can
- 21 develop an outreach as well to carry on, if it is
- 22 necessary. But I don't think that's going to be
- 23 necessary. I think there's going to be --
- 24 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Can you speak up a
- 25 little bit?

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Yeah. I think there's some
- 2 people inside Santee Cooper. We just need to develop,
- 3 and I need to make that assessment. I just haven't
- 4 had time yet, but that's on my -- that's why I showed
- 5 that chart. I understand it. We need to do that.
- 6 SENATOR SETZLER: So how long does it take
- 7 you to do that?
- 8 MR. BONSALL: I think it takes a while,
- 9 actually, because I've got to get to know people and
- 10 their skill set. I've gotten to know X number of
- 11 people as we've developed the reform plan; but I
- 12 haven't gotten to know as many people as I would like
- 13 to inside Santee Cooper because my focus has been
- 14 limited to, basically, developing the reform plan.
- So I need to know more people on the
- 16 operations side of the coin, et cetera, et cetera,
- 17 those types of things. So I'll get around. I'll do
- 18 it.
- 19 SENATOR SETZLER: So is it Santee Cooper's
- 20 position that Santee Cooper cannot be subjected to PSC
- 21 rate setting because of your bond covenants? Is that
- 22 what I'm hearing y'all imply?
- MR. BONSALL: I think it would, in fact, be a
- 24 conflict of the bond covenants. It's also, as I
- 25 understand it, a conflict in existing state law. You

- 1 could change the state law but the -- but we've got
- 2 bonds, billions of dollars worth of bonds issued under
- 3 a bond covenant, that could not be changed.
- 4 SENATOR SETZLER: So I heard you say you
- 5 think. Do you know that that is a bond covenant that
- 6 the rates could not be set by the Public Service
- 7 Commission rather than the Board?
- 8 MR. BONSALL: I'm going to seek verification
- 9 as we speak? Yes.
- 10 MR. BAXLEY: That's right.
- 11 MR. BONSALL: That is correct.
- 12 SENATOR SETZLER: So that's conveniently as
- 13 to any current bonds.
- MR. BONSALL: Uh-huh.
- 15 SENATOR SETZLER: But if those bonds were
- 16 paid off, then you could change that.
- 17 MR. BONSALL: You could write a new
- 18 resolution and change that. That's true. That's
- 19 fine.
- 20 SENATOR SETZLER: What if the General
- 21 Assembly said the Board can set rates after a
- 22 recommendation from ORS? Is that the -- I'm going to
- 23 ask you two questions in one.
- Is that the reason y'all keep talking about
- 25 ORS making recommendations, being involved, but never

- 1 giving anybody any power other than you? "You" being
- 2 Santee Cooper.
- 3 MR. BONSALL: You mean over prices in
- 4 particular?
- 5 SENATOR SETZLER: Correct.
- 6 MR. BONSALL: Yeah. I think that would be
- 7 a -- very damaging to the credit rating of Santee
- 8 Cooper. I also think the state action could do that.
- 9 One of the state agencies of South Carolina might
- 10 leave the other agencies to question whether the
- 11 General Assembly might want to do that on other state
- 12 agencies, if there's other state agencies that did
- 13 that.
- 14 But what we're suggesting as well, Senator,
- is you look at the resources and have oversight over
- 16 the resources, you're driving the prices. Ultimately,
- 17 you're driving the pricing. I mean --
- 18 SENATOR SETZLER: No offense to the Board
- 19 because some of them are my friends; but I don't think
- 20 the Board, nor the General Assembly, have the
- 21 knowledge to be able to set rates in this state,
- 22 whether it be for Santee Cooper or Duke or NextEra or
- 23 Dominion or anybody else.
- Do you think the Board has the knowledge to
- 25 be able to set the rates?

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Remember, one of the
- 2 suggestions here is -- well, yes, I do eventually.
- 3 That's their obligation but they --
- 4 SENATOR SETZLER: It's their obligation.
- 5 That's not my question.
- 6 My question is: Do you think they have the
- 7 knowledge to be able to set the rates?
- 8 MR. BONSALL: Are they individual rate
- 9 experts? No. No, they're not. But remember the
- 10 suggestions were they impanel an external set of
- 11 experts, and one of them is a pricing expert.
- 12 So it's not management that is hiring that
- 13 person. It's the Board that's hiring that person
- 14 who's a nationally recognized pricing expert to help
- 15 them make that decision.
- 16 We're also subjecting the pricing principles
- to an annual report we would send to the ORS, although
- 18 you can tell us who else to send it to, if you want us
- 19 to. That's just a suggestion. What we are telling
- 20 people, how are we doing? This is our assessment of
- 21 how we're doing on these pricing principles. What's
- 22 yours?
- 23 And it's an external independent view. Those
- 24 are very real. I point you back to them. Those are
- 25 very real, solid pricing principles; and it's a basis

- 1 to create accountability and oversight.
- 2 SENATOR SETZLER: Central has been involved
- 3 in the discussions, as I understand it, relative to
- 4 reform of Santee Cooper.
- 5 Have your direct customers been involved in
- 6 that?
- 7 MR. BONSALL: I don't know the answer to that
- 8 question. Can I ask staff? Have we had -- the
- 9 advisory Board. Okay. Yes. In Myrtle Beach.
- 10 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Chairman, I think
- 11 that's my 20 minutes. I'm not going to go over.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: You're a very good time
- 13 keeper, and I'm going to -- some of our members are
- 14 indicating they're hungry.
- 15 SENATOR SETZLER: Yes, sir.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: We're going to break
- 17 and tell the members to get lunch. Go to the floor at
- 18 two till the President turns us loose and come back
- 19 here.
- MR. BONSALL: Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Thank you. We'll see
- 22 you very soon again after chambers.
- 23 (A recess was taken.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: We'll get started back.
- 25 Apologize. We had something on the floor of the

- 1 Senate that had to be dealt with. We dealt with it
- 2 and came on back.
- 3 Mike, who's next?
- 4 STAFF: Next up is Senator Grooms.
- 5 SENATOR GROOMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 Before we proceeded earlier today, there was
- 7 some discussions over the proposed settlement
- 8 agreement with the Cook case.
- 9 MR. BONSALL: Yes, sir.
- 10 SENATOR GROOMS: And I believe it was said
- 11 that there would be a rate freeze for four years and
- 12 also heard some questions by the chairman about would
- 13 there be any management changes? I believe that's how
- 14 he asked the question.
- 15 Could you talk to me a little bit in the Cook
- 16 case about how you achieve a four -- how you achieve a
- 17 four-year rate freeze. 'Cause I'm assuming that means
- 18 that you're going forward with your resource plan, and
- 19 I believe your resource plan would then have to have
- 20 some sort of approval by the co-ops under the Central
- 21 coordination agreement.
- 22 Could you tell me how all that would work?
- MR. BONSALL: I'll do my best to explain it.
- This is, obviously, going to be the subject,
- 25 Senator, of dotting the I's and crossing the T's and

- 1 the detail of the settlement agreement.
- 2 But they are related; and the four-year price
- 3 freeze is actually less than the price freeze that we
- 4 have anticipated, as I showed you, on our own price
- 5 forecast.
- 6 By the way, when we talk about a price
- 7 freeze, we're talking about the sum total of base and
- 8 fuel. And very important. It's not just base. It's
- 9 base and fuel, which is the total price for fuel that
- 10 a customer pays. So we want to keep that stable for a
- 11 protracted period of time.
- But to a degree, that does, in fact, rely on
- 13 the elements of the reform plan as proposed being
- 14 enacted. Now, there's some flexibility in that
- 15 regard. So that the two are, in fact, related. So we
- 16 are hopeful that whatever actions may be taken in the
- 17 fulfillment of the Cook settlement would not injure
- 18 our ability to deliver on that price freeze.
- 19 Those are the I's that needed to be dotted
- 20 and T's that needed to be crossed in the settlement.
- 21 SENATOR GROOMS: But part of the reform --
- 22 but part of your reform plan, doesn't that include
- 23 some additional generation that would have to be built
- 24 when you idle Winyah?
- 25 MR. BONSALL: It does, and those discussions

- 1 need to be held with Central. They do have certain
- 2 opt-out rights in the coordination agreement related
- 3 to the identification of new resources, and we're
- 4 not -- I want to clarify -- talking about sacrificing
- 5 those opt-out rights.
- 6 The question of how that gets done -- what my
- 7 point is, the question of how that gets done -- and it
- 8 may not be exactly the way that's contemplated in the
- 9 reform plan -- can't injure our ability to deliver on
- 10 the promise that we make in the settlement, and we
- 11 need the cooperation of Central in that regard.
- 12 SENATOR GROOMS: So under the proposed
- 13 settlement, nothing in the proposed settlement changes
- 14 Central's ability to opt out of new generation.
- MR. BONSALL: No. We're not proposing that,
- 16 no.
- 17 SENATOR GROOMS: So the opt-out provision is
- 18 still there in the coordination agreement?
- 19 MR. BONSALL: Yes. Yes, it is.
- 20 SENATOR GROOMS: I understand that NextEra
- 21 has proposed to Central and Central has agreed to a
- 22 power purchase agreement, some of which has now been
- 23 made public. Is the opt-out -- is the co-op opt-out
- 24 provision preserved in NextEra's power purchase
- 25 agreement?

- 1 MR. BONSALL: To my knowledge, it is -- if
- 2 not for the initial 14 years, I think; and then it's
- 3 restored. I believe it's restored in 2034, as I
- 4 understand the terms and conditions of that agreement.
- 5 So it's not in place for a period of time, and then
- 6 it's restored in 2034.
- 7 If I might, let me ask staff to verify that.
- 8 Okay. Is that accurate? 2035. My apologies.
- 9 SENATOR GROOMS: So under the coordination
- 10 agreement with Central, the co-ops can opt out of new
- 11 generation; but under the NextEra plan, should that be
- 12 successful, the co-ops will not be able to opt out of
- 13 new generation until 2035?
- 14 MR. BONSALL: As I understand it, that's
- 15 correct, yes.
- 16 SENATOR GROOMS: And let's talk a little bit
- 17 more about the resource plan.
- 18 MR. BONSALL: Okay.
- 19 SENATOR GROOMS: I heard you earlier today
- 20 and I heard Mr. Robo both speak about modernizing the
- 21 Santee Cooper system.
- Help me have a better understanding. Can you
- 23 compare the capital expenditures going forward under
- 24 each plan to help each plan achieve its goal?
- 25 MR. BONSALL: I can; and I won't go back to

- 1 the charts that we showed, if that's okay with you.
- But, rather, indicate that we had shown a
- 3 chart -- I think Mr. Duckworth showed the chart of the
- 4 capital expenditures that we anticipate going forward
- 5 with our reform plan. And it does affect a
- 6 transformation in the power supply system, but the sum
- 7 total expenditures are some half a billion dollars
- 8 between now and about 2030. And, pretty much, they
- 9 are zero thereafter.
- 10 The reason that we can accommodate an
- 11 approach like that -- so we also talked about at the
- 12 time, which was you enter into power purchase
- 13 agreements. You don't build the bricks and mortar
- 14 yourself, and we think that's a smart way to do it.
- It's a way to de-risk the future. It's a way
- 16 to tap into what the true market price of those kinds
- 17 of resources are without burdening the customers of
- 18 Santee Cooper with the recovery on capital and all the
- 19 risk that goes along with that.
- If you contrast that, if you're asking me to
- 21 contrast that --
- 22 SENATOR GROOMS: So earlier your capital
- 23 expenditures were much less than NextEra's, and I was
- 24 wondering if you would --
- MR. BONSALL: That's right.

- 1 And I believe theirs, they proposed them to
- 2 be in the neighborhood of \$2.3, \$2.4 billion. Now,
- 3 they proposed that level of capital expenditures in
- 4 the very near term.
- We added a little bit in ours, which is about
- 6 20 percent of theirs, a little further out; and we
- 7 just believe that -- and it contemplates the
- 8 construction of a gas-fired power plant.
- 9 But we believe that it can't be
- 10 constructed -- well, our estimate of when it can be
- 11 constructed is conservative and gives enough time to
- 12 get the fuel supply there. So it's about 2-and-a-
- 13 half, 2.3, 2-and-a-half billion within that time frame
- 14 compared to about 500 million. We're relying on our
- 15 purchase agreements. We've got good, solid
- 16 indications that those kinds of agreements are robust.
- 17 We put a lot of documentation of our
- 18 assumptions in the reform plan. The reform plan
- 19 itself is about 100 pages. All told it was total of
- 20 about 440 pages, and many of the additional pages are
- 21 appendices that document some of these various
- 22 assumptions.
- 23 We went out with RFIs for the solar bids. We
- 24 did get price indications on the ability to buy off
- 25 the market toward the late 1920s (SIC) that are solid.

- 1 They're from a credible source.
- 2 So that's, kind of, how you get the
- 3 difference in capital exposure. Capital exposure
- 4 drives debt. Debt drives an electable obligation of
- 5 the customer to repay it. We wanted to lighten that
- 6 ир.
- 7 SENATOR GROOMS: So I'm on page 26. I'm
- 8 looking at your capital expenditures. Over eight
- 9 years would be 547 million.
- 10 MR. BONSALL: Yes.
- 11 SENATOR GROOMS: And then under the NextEra
- 12 proposal, they're proposing 2.3 billion over four
- 13 years.
- MR. BONSALL: Uh-huh.
- 15 SENATOR GROOMS: Did you know that in their
- 16 enabling legislation, instead of four years, they
- 17 actually have a 72-month window instead of the four
- 18 years? So I guess it could be extended longer.
- 19 Could you tell me a little bit about
- 20 reliability? I mean, I -- earlier today you talked
- 21 about Santee Cooper being in the top 1 percent across
- 22 the country in terms of system reliability, and I'm
- 23 sure reliability factors into the placement of your
- 24 resource -- your generation resources.
- MR. BONSALL: Yes.

- 1 SENATOR GROOMS: We heard last Thursday --
- 2 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Senator, can you form
- 3 those in a question as opposed to a statement?
- 4 SENATOR GROOMS: Yes. Senator, I'm getting
- 5 to the question. I thought I'd talk a little bit so
- 6 he would understand what the question would be about.
- 7 The question would be: Isn't that true? But
- 8 let me tell you what I want to hear, isn't that true?
- 9 You have -- last Thursday, we had Dominion who gave
- 10 testimony. Keller spoke to reliability of the system.
- 11 And it was communicated to us last Wednesday
- 12 by Mr. Robo that he plans, not only on closing the
- 13 Winyah plant, but also reducing Cross down to a very
- 14 small percent of its production.
- The question was asked to Dominion, what
- 16 would happen if you were to idle Winyah and idle
- 17 Cross? And his response was, the system would burn
- 18 down.
- 19 Talk to me about reliability in the system,
- 20 your plan versus what Dominion -- your plan versus
- 21 what NextEra has proposed.
- 22 MR. BONSALL: Our plan -- thank you, Senator.
- 23 Our plan was very carefully crafted to preserve
- 24 reliability. So all of the resource moves that we
- 25 make in our proposed plan were, in fact, tested on

- 1 what's called load flow evaluations.
- 2 And you do load flow evaluations to make sure
- 3 that you can, in fact, serve load at any part of your
- 4 system; and the voltage is supported concurrently at
- 5 the same time.
- 6 So I'm not as expert as Mr. Keller. I know
- 7 his name is Keller Kissam. I've met him. He's a
- 8 pistol; but I have a feeling that he's probably
- 9 correct, that you need support to the system in key
- 10 places on the system. And you got to be very careful
- 11 when you shut down a plant.
- I will tell you that the thought pattern
- 13 behind shutting down Winyah is for the very same
- 14 reason. It's used very lightly, and it's used very
- 15 lightly because it's not economic to dispatch.
- 16 But it's important to have that plant
- 17 operating there because it provides what's called
- 18 voltage support which, for all intents and purposes,
- 19 is, kind of, water pressure on the system. And you
- 20 need to keep up the water pressure for energy to
- 21 continue to flow and be delivered on a reliable basis.
- 22 So our plan says, yes, you can shut down
- 23 Winyah; but you need to reinvest in that area with
- 24 certain types of technologies, certain new generating
- 25 resources, not as large. But you have to have

- 1 something there to keep reliability, to keep voltage
- 2 support up on the system.
- 3 So we're very careful in the design of the
- 4 reform plan to make sure that reliability is
- 5 preserved. We take a -- Cross is a huge plant; and
- 6 you take it offline, should we be looking at that over
- 7 the course of time? Over the course of the next 20
- 8 years? Probably.
- 9 But for right now, the system needs that
- 10 plant for reliability; and I think that's, ultimately,
- 11 what you're getting at. You tell me if I'm not
- 12 answering your question.
- 13 SENATOR GROOMS: So, in other words,
- 14 demand -- to meet demand there has to be generation
- 15 resources or transmission resources to supply the
- 16 demand?
- 17 MR. BONSALL: Absolutely.
- 18 SENATOR GROOMS: And without that, the system
- 19 becomes unstable.
- 20 MR. BONSALL: Potentially unstable. They
- 21 have to be in the right places as well physically.
- 22 SENATOR GROOMS: And before generation siting
- 23 and construction occurs, isn't it a normal course of
- 24 business to have these plans reviewed by the Office of
- 25 Regulatory Staff and then approved by the Public

- 1 Service Commission?
- 2 MR. BONSALL: That's what we're proposing,
- 3 yes.
- 4 SENATOR GROOMS: That's what you're
- 5 proposing. Is that not the way it's done for other
- 6 investor utilities in the State if they're proposing
- 7 new generation somewhere?
- 8 MR. BONSALL: I believe that it is, yes. I
- 9 believe it is.
- 10 SENATOR GROOMS: And it seems to me that
- 11 we're being asked as a General Assembly under the
- 12 NextEra plan to preapprove the siting of a plant and
- 13 certain generation sources that may or may not provide
- 14 adequate reliability to the system.
- But you're telling me that the Santee Cooper
- 16 plant, what you have proposed, preserves the
- 17 reliability, the reliability which has earned Santee
- 18 Cooper the less than -- the top of the top.
- 19 MR. BONSALL: Top 1 percent. Amazing.
- 20 SENATOR GROOMS: The top 1 percent of all
- 21 reliability systems in the nation.
- MR. BONSALL: Yeah.
- 23 SENATOR GROOMS: Could you tell me a little
- 24 bit more about -- can you move more expeditiously with
- 25 your resource plan? Why or why not?

- 1 MR. BONSALL: I think the main holdup on
- 2 the -- we believe we're moving as expeditiously as it
- 3 is prudent to move. If we can move faster, we'll
- 4 examine that question. But the key driver there is
- 5 preserving reliability and giving us time to manage
- 6 the human resource implication or shutting down the
- 7 Winyah generating station.
- 8 So it's those two pieces. We believe there
- 9 is a constructability factor that needs to be
- 10 reviewed. We've reviewed it very carefully, and we
- 11 feel that the timing of the resources that we proposed
- 12 are consistent with a doable schedule.
- 13 SENATOR GROOMS: Would Santee Cooper consider
- 14 building a 1200-megawatt base load combined-cycle gas
- 15 turbine facility at the Fairfield site?
- MR. BONSALL: No, sir, we would not. Not
- 17 today. We don't need it.
- 18 SENATOR GROOMS: Why would you not do that?
- 19 MR. BONSALL: It's excess to our needs. It
- 20 would be excess to our needs. We want to shut down
- 21 coal, put the gas-fire resources the right location.
- I think we're contemplating 100 megawatts, as
- 23 Mr. Duckworth alluded to, aeroderivative turbines in
- the Georgetown area followed by about a 500-megawatt
- 25 gas-powered plant within the following three or four

- 1 years.
- Winyah is 1120 megawatts. It is excess to
- 3 the need. We don't need to replace the full 1120
- 4 megawatts because that simply exposes Santee Cooper to
- 5 being long again. Long costs money. Long is not a
- 6 smart thing to do. So size the additions of your
- 7 resources to your actual needs.
- 8 SENATOR GROOMS: Does that go along with some
- 9 of the statements you made earlier about one of the
- 10 problems you saw with Santee Cooper in its having
- 11 excess capacity?
- MR. BONSALL: Absolutely, yes. It's very
- 13 consistent with that.
- 14 We want to remove the excess capacity. We
- 15 need to replace some of it for purposes of
- 16 reliability, but we don't want to replace more of it
- 17 than is necessary for the system to operate reliably
- 18 and conserve the -- so it doesn't replace 100 percent
- 19 of Winyah, by any stretch of the imagination.
- 20 SENATOR GROOMS: So we're spending \$2.3
- 21 billion on a 1200-megawatt combined-cycle gas turbine
- 22 facility is something Santee Cooper probably wouldn't
- 23 want to do?
- MR. BONSALL: Not within that time frame, no,
- 25 sir. We don't need it.

- 1 SENATOR GROOMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Thank you.
- 3 STAFF: Mr. Chairman, Senator Davis is next.
- 4 SENATOR DAVIS: Thank you, sir. Appreciate
- 5 your presentation today. Just a few questions.
- In regard to the regulatory oversight piece
- 7 of the reform proposal, it looks as if there's going
- 8 to be more involvement by the PSC; is that correct?
- 9 MR. BONSALL: Yes, sir, that is.
- 10 SENATOR DAVIS: I want to ask you a few
- 11 questions regarding the degree of that involvement by
- 12 the PSC; and I think I heard you say that, unlike with
- 13 an IOU, the PSC out of the reform proposal would not
- 14 be reviewing and approving or setting rates; is that
- 15 correct?
- MR. BONSALL: That's correct.
- 17 SENATOR DAVIS: And I guess -- is that
- 18 because -- well, two reasons, I think.
- 19 One, current code provides that Santee Cooper
- 20 has the sole authority to set rates to cover its
- 21 expenses and bond service and things of that nature.
- 22 So that's one impediment.
- The second, I guess, would be to the extent
- 24 that that statutory provision has been built into
- 25 existing bond covenants; is that correct?

- 1 MR. BONSALL: That's correct.
- 2 SENATOR DAVIS: What about in regard to new
- 3 indebtedness going forward in regard to new bond
- 4 issues? Would -- does the reform plan contemplate the
- 5 PSC playing a role in approving rates in that context?
- 6 In the context, in other words, in which bond
- 7 covenants would not be breached?
- 8 MR. BONSALL: It really can't. I understand
- 9 your question; but all bonds at Santee Cooper, as they
- 10 were at SRP, are issued under one master covenant.
- 11 And those provisions are in the master covenant.
- 12 So if they were bonds that were issued under
- 13 the same master covenant, they have the same
- 14 limitation. Were there a new master covenant to be
- 15 written, perhaps it could change.
- 16 SENATOR DAVIS: I quess that's my question.
- 17 I mean, I certainly don't want to put you guys in a
- 18 position to where we're enacting legislation that
- 19 breaches existing bond covenants.
- I guess I'm just exploring whether or not
- 21 Santee Cooper would be open. If we're going to bring
- in a more regulatory role for PSC here, would it be
- 23 open to -- in regard to future indebtedness that isn't
- 24 governed by existing bond covenants, would it be open
- 25 to the PSC having regulatory authority over rate in

- 1 that context?
- 2 MR. BONSALL: I'm going to have to give some
- 3 thought to that, Senator. Off the top of my head, I
- 4 would say that would be a difficult thing. It would
- 5 put Santee Cooper's debt out of kilter with the rest
- 6 of the market for public power debt.
- 7 Most all of the public power debt that I'm
- 8 aware of has similar treatment and the relating
- 9 agencies and the market relies upon the ability of the
- 10 Board to, in fact, enact prices that are necessary to
- 11 pay the principal and interest on the debt. So that's
- 12 a tough one to overcome from a credit quality point of
- 13 view. Clearly, you'd be comparing the rating of the
- 14 debt.
- The proposals here, however, talk about the
- 16 PSC overseeing siting and the PSC playing a role in
- 17 the -- and you playing a role in the integrated
- 18 resource planning of Santee Cooper, and I want to
- 19 emphasize and come back to --
- 20 SENATOR DAVIS: That drives price is what
- 21 you're talking about.
- MR. BONSALL: That drives prices. That's
- 23 where to focus your attention.
- 24 SENATOR DAVIS: Let me direct my attention to
- 25 that from over here.

- 1 So you talk about having Santee Cooper
- 2 participating in the Act 62 process --
- 3 MR. BONSALL: Uh-huh.
- 4 SENATOR DAVIS: -- in regard to the IRP.
- 5 Should I infer from that that independent
- 6 power producers would have the right to challenge
- 7 avoided cost methodologies and to offer power purchase
- 8 agreements to Santee Cooper? And if those PPAs
- 9 demonstrate an ability to generate or lessen that
- 10 avoided cost, do you contemplate in that context the
- 11 PSC compelling you to, then, accept those PPAs?
- 12 MR. BONSALL: I think what we're proposing --
- 13 you're talking about the solar additions.
- 14 SENATOR DAVIS: Any independent, whether it's
- 15 biomass, wind, solar, whatever it might be. I mean,
- 16 one of the key components of Act 62 wasn't just the
- 17 approval of an IRP. It was also -- it developed
- 18 avoided cost methodologies and the ability for
- 19 stakeholders to comment on those avoided cost
- 20 methodologies and then obliged the utility to accept
- 21 power purchase agreements providing a ten-year term if
- 22 the cost of generation was less than the avoided cost.
- 23 So I guess my question is: When you talk
- 24 about participating in the Act 62 process, does that
- 25 include that participation in terms of IPPs coming

- 1 forward with proposed power purchase agreements?
- 2 MR. BONSALL: You know, I'm going to have to
- 3 ask you to be patient with me a little bit. I'm not
- 4 familiar with those particular terms and conditions of
- 5 Act 62. I am aware of the IRP provisions in Act 62.
- 6 What this reform plan contemplates is acquiring
- 7 resources by bidding out the resources.
- 8 SENATOR DAVIS: But essentially --
- 9 MR. BONSALL: That's a different -- I think
- 10 that's a different process than you're talking about,
- 11 and I have to get familiar with the process.
- 12 SENATOR DAVIS: It is, and let me tell you
- and give you a little bit of a background; and I'll
- 14 frame it in the form of a question.
- Did you know that what Act 62 truly did was
- 16 give teeth to and implement PURPA? You're familiar
- 17 with PURPA, of course.
- MR. BONSALL: I am.
- 19 SENATOR DAVIS: And there was a history here
- 20 in South Carolina of TSCs not fulfilling the spirit of
- 21 PURPA and not, you know, offering PPAs to independent
- 22 power producers.
- 23 So with that background, do you envision
- 24 participating in Act 62 to obligating Santee Cooper to
- 25 allow independent power producers to, then, submit

- 1 power purchase agreements, you know, challenge your
- 2 avoided cost methodologies within the ambit of PURPA
- 3 for purposes of our discussion here? Does it
- 4 contemplate that?
- 5 MR. BONSALL: If anybody wants to challenge
- 6 our avoided cost methodologies, I don't have a problem
- 7 with that at all. Take it away.
- 8 SENATOR DAVIS: And is there jurisdiction, as
- 9 there is with the PSC over the IOU, is there
- 10 jurisdiction for the PSC to then correct or alter or
- 11 revise your avoided cost methodology?
- 12 MR. BONSALL: That's what I don't know the
- 13 answer to the question to, and I apologize. I just
- 14 don't the answer to the question.
- 15 SENATOR DAVIS: And I guess the reason I'm
- 16 asking this, sir, is that a big part of your reform
- 17 proposal seems to be, "We're going to go ahead and
- 18 bring the regulatory processes of South Carolina that
- 19 we're used to talking about with IOUs, we're going to
- 20 bring those particular things to bear on Santee
- 21 Cooper" and that's a critical part.
- MR. BONSALL: I understand.
- 23 SENATOR DAVIS: And I guess what we're
- learning here is, one, that, no, the PSC isn't going
- 25 to set prices; two, we don't know whether or not

- 1 Santee Cooper is going to have to have avoided cost
- 2 methodology that could be criticized or attacked or
- 3 challenged; and, three, we don't know whether or not
- 4 Santee Cooper would be obliged to accept power
- 5 purchase agreements from IPPs to generate power for
- 6 less than your avoided costs.
- 7 And those are important regulatory aspects,
- 8 what the PSP does for the IOU. So I guess that's why
- 9 I'm asking those questions because I don't want reform
- 10 in name only. And I don't want to bring in a
- 11 regulatory apparatus of our state if we're really not
- 12 accomplishing some of the key objectives for having an
- 13 apparatus involved.
- MR. BONSALL: Well, let me say if we needed a
- 15 resource and we bid it and we got a price that's less
- 16 than our then established avoided cost, why would we
- 17 not agree to that?
- 18 SENATOR DAVIS: I don't know.
- 19 But historically in South Carolina, a lot of
- 20 utilities have not; but they prefer their own power
- 21 generation to what IPPs will supply.
- MR. BONSALL: Well, you've got a very clear
- 23 statement in this reform plan about how we intend to
- 24 go about doing that.
- 25 SENATOR DAVIS: And how is that?

- 1 MR. BONSALL: That is, in fact, to bid that
- 2 out, especially for the solar resources. You are
- 3 assured of getting a market price, as are our
- 4 customers.
- I think that's the best way to establish
- 6 avoided cost is to, in fact, bid it out and get the
- 7 market information related thereto. Not for us. To
- 8 establish it independently. But, rather, bid it out
- 9 and get solid market indications. That's what this
- 10 proposal is all about.
- 11 SENATOR DAVIS: And this is another way of
- 12 asking the same line of questions here, and this is
- 13 betraying my own personal -- what I think is a good
- 14 public policy preference in regard to vertical
- integration, in regard to being a generator, a
- 16 distributor, and a transmitter and instead, opening up
- 17 the energy production side of that to third parties --
- 18 and I'm just trying to explore the degree to which the
- 19 PURPA proposal will lead to that outcome.
- 20 Because I think, ultimately -- and, again,
- 21 did you know that I believe that a consumer is truly
- 22 protected in terms of rates and in terms of
- 23 efficiencies and things in that regard when there's
- 24 competition among power providers as opposed to some
- 25 sort of regulatory apparatus? I just fundamentally

- 1 think that is a more effective way to protect
- 2 consumers.
- 3 MR. BONSALL: I'm glad to hear that. Much of
- 4 the reform plan is dedicated to that very proposition,
- 5 bidding those resources out, not doing it our self.
- 6 SENATOR DAVIS: So to the extent --
- 7 MR. BONSALL: Utilities are not necessarily
- 8 good construction. We've learned that lesson the hard
- 9 way. Bid it out.
- 10 SENATOR DAVIS: So in looking at this
- 11 implementing legislation, legislative changes, Santee
- 12 Cooper would be open to -- I think I hear you say --
- 13 having its avoided cost methodologies criticized,
- 14 challenged, and, if appropriate, determined to be
- 15 different by the PSC. It would be open to having the
- 16 PSC determine whether or not an IPP, power purchase
- 17 agreement, should be accepted by you.
- 18 You would be open to having the PSC regulate
- 19 your prices in regard to future bonds indebtedness to
- 20 the extent it didn't violate existing master
- 21 covenants. Is that a summary of what I'm hearing? Or
- 22 not?
- MR. BONSALL: I did not make those
- 24 commitments, no. But you speculated on them. The --
- 25 again, I'd go back to the gist of the reform plan is

- 1 to bid those resources out so we, you, and the
- 2 customer can be assured of getting a market price.
- 3 I'm a little leery of synthetically-
- 4 established price targets to drive resource
- 5 acquisition decisions without bidding it out and
- 6 getting good, solid market indices. So long as that
- 7 was the methodology behind the decision, hey, I'm good
- 8 with that. I like that a lot; and, again, that's what
- 9 we did at SRP.
- 10 SENATOR DAVIS: But in terms of prices and
- 11 making sure that they're fair to consumers, I would
- 12 not agree with you, as you know, that it is not
- 13 synthetic to have a process whereby stakeholders --
- 14 whether it be ratepayers, whether it be independent
- 15 power producers, whoever it might be -- have a forum
- 16 in which to demonstrate that they could generate power
- 17 more cheaply than Santee Cooper's what it would cost.
- 18 And then theoretically those being passed on to
- 19 consumers.
- I don't think that's a synthetic way of
- 21 setting a price. I think that's what you have in a
- 22 regulated monopoly environment. I mean, ideally, as
- 23 far as I'm concerned, it would be ideal to de-reg
- 24 completely and let the market determine it; but I know
- 25 that's not a possibility here.

- 1 So the next best thing, it seems to me, is to
- 2 have PSC regulation that truly has some teeth and that
- 3 provides this forum for those stakeholders for those
- 4 ads. Would you agree?
- 5 MR. BONSALL: I would agree that getting all
- 6 market participants for developers of solar to bid on
- 7 our resource needs, and we award it to the smallest
- 8 bidder, to the low bidder. I agree with that.
- 9 SENATOR DAVIS: Okay. So you'd be open to
- 10 language --
- MR. BONSALL: I think that sets your avoided
- 12 cost. I don't think it's determined by a mathematical
- 13 calculation. I think the market needs to set
- 14 your costs, and that's precisely what we're proposing
- 15 in this proposal.
- 16 SENATOR DAVIS: Thank you, sir, for answering
- 17 my questions. Mr. Chairman.
- 18 STAFF: Next one Senator Johnson.
- 19 SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you. I think I got
- 20 my questions answered this morning. Thank you.
- 21 STAFF: Senator Johnson had his questions
- 22 answered. Next is Senator Campbell.
- 23 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Good afternoon.
- MR. BONSALL: Good afternoon.
- 25 SENATOR CAMPBELL: I know you've been sitting

- 1 in front of us for a long time. We talked about
- 2 Winyah. You said it's about 1100 megawatts total for
- 3 the four units?
- 4 MR. BONSALL: 1124 units, yes.
- 5 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Okay. You talked about
- 6 shutting it down by '23.
- 7 MR. BONSALL: Uh-huh.
- 8 SENATOR CAMPBELL: And so I was looking at
- 9 your capacity and demand. That'll bring you down
- 10 about 500 or so megawatts, which it does look like it
- 11 brings you back in balance with your demand.
- 12 You also said that the other two units would
- 13 come down in '27. That would give you time for
- 14 transmission. Transmission from where to where? I
- 15 just -- I suspect it's to back up those units, as you
- 16 were talking earlier, to keep the voltage in balance
- 17 or whatever.
- 18 MR. BONSALL: Keep the reliability up. Let
- 19 me ask, if I could, Mr. Duckworth to respond to that
- 20 question?
- 21 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Yes.
- MR. BONSALL: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. DUCKWORTH: Good afternoon.
- 24 The second two Winyah units, when they would
- 25 retire in '27, there would be transmission needed

- 1 to -- for the replacement. And the time necessary to
- 2 do that would depend on where you would put it.
- 3 The -- it's six to eight -- five, six, seven, eight
- 4 years I said earlier today. That's about what it
- 5 would take. It's permitting, and it's actually the
- 6 construction of that project.
- 7 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Transmission.
- 8 MR. DUCKWORTH: Transmission, yes.
- 9 It's a long lead time. Transmission takes
- 10 time. It depends on where you do it, how long it is,
- 11 lots of -- depends on the specifics of that.
- 12 The other thing going on relative to
- 13 replacing a resource like that is gas transportation.
- 14 Where you place it and what does it cost to transport
- 15 the gas there? If you need a new pipeline extension
- or a new pipeline to get gas to a particular site,
- 17 that takes time.
- 18 We believe it's four years-ish; and it
- 19 depends on the specifics, how long, the length of the
- 20 line. But 51 months is about as soon as we believe
- 21 that would take.
- 22 SENATOR CAMPBELL: I think NextEra was
- 23 talking about -- they were talking about providing
- 24 cycle units near the Fairfield site, and they were
- 25 also talking about going 17 miles to transfer the

- 1 pipelines. So it was a fairly short pipeline to get
- 2 service. I think that's why they located there.
- 3 But it has to come from somewhere to replace
- 4 that capacity off of Winyah. So I was just curious
- 5 about that. And maybe you're the best one to answer
- 6 this too.
- 7 MR. DUCKWORTH: Can I address the Fairfield
- 8 site?
- 9 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Sure.
- 10 MR. DUCKWORTH: We looked at that site as a
- 11 Plan B. Our reform plan includes that additional
- 12 common cycle plant at Pee Dee, and that is dependent
- on the Atlantic Coast Pipeline being completed.
- And, of course, we don't -- we're not sure --
- 15 no one is -- relative to how likely or probable that
- 16 line will be completed. So we wanted a backup plan.
- 17 We want optionality. Told you that was one of our
- 18 fundamental principles.
- 19 So we looked at that Fairfield site. Our
- 20 belief is that there is a small line 17 miles
- 21 basically from that, but it's full in terms of gas.
- We went to Transco and asked what could be
- 23 done and what would it cost? It would take an
- 24 extension of about 60 miles to get that done, and
- 25 that's why -- that's part of why the timeline is what

- 1 it is. That's our belief.
- 2 SENATOR CAMPBELL: I do think you're right
- 3 about the east coast pipeline. We need that in South
- 4 Carolina for a lot of reasons.
- 5 What does the -- you said it wasn't
- 6 economical, and it wasn't economical at Winyah.
- 7 What's the cost to generate at Winyah compared to
- 8 Cross?
- 9 MR. DUCKWORTH: Cross is -- has four
- 10 different units, and those costs vary. The two units
- 11 that are most competitive at Cross are fairly
- 12 competitive with the natural gas market. They're in
- 13 the \$30 a megawatt hour range, plus or minus.
- 14 Winyah -- and Mr. Bonsall talked a little bit
- 15 about this. Because it's run so few megawatt hours so
- 16 little of the time, the dollar per megawatt hour cost,
- 17 which is what I just quoted, is quite high at Winyah.
- 18 \$100 a megawatt hour.
- But remember that at this point, it's there
- 20 for reliability reasons. It's because it only runs
- 21 10 percent of the time, and you're taking that 180 --
- 22 185 employees and you're spreading it over very few
- 23 kilowatt hours.
- 24 That's why it makes sense -- and this is
- 25 happening across the nation -- to look at retiring

- 1 those plants because natural gas prices are so low,
- 2 and you can avoid those fixed costs and generate the
- 3 power from a cheaper source and a cleaner source.
- 4 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, what would Rainey
- 5 cost? The Rainey site?
- 6 MR. DUCKWORTH: Rainey's in the high \$20 a
- 7 megawatt hour.
- 8 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Have you looked at another
- 9 generator at Rainey? It's right on the pipeline.
- 10 MR. DUCKWORTH: We did look at Rainey, and I
- 11 believe that there would -- that it's a little bit
- 12 into resource planning speak, and I apologize for
- 13 that.
- 14 The heat rate at Rainey, it's an older plant.
- 15 The efficiency of that plant -- how much fuel you have
- 16 to put in to get a kilowatt hour is not as good.
- 17 So if you build a new plant and you can do it
- 18 at the prices that they're available for today, it has
- 19 a better heat rate, a lower heat rate; and, therefore,
- 20 produces power at a better -- a lower cost. So we
- 21 believe Rainey could -- is a spot you might be able to
- 22 do that, but we believe the economics support
- 23 somewhere else.
- And a part of all that, when you look at
- 25 those issues -- and I talked a little bit about this

- 1 this morning. You're basically saying if I'm going to
- 2 retire something, what do I need to do to replace it?
- 3 You need to look closely at the transmission system,
- 4 that reliability, when you do that.
- 5 You need to look closely at the natural gas
- 6 pipeline that I just talked about; and you need to
- 7 look at the machine itself, the economics of that
- 8 machine, what it would cost to build it from a capital
- 9 perspective, and the efficiency, what it would produce
- 10 power for in terms of dollars per kilowatt hour; and
- 11 the combination of those three elements, all of them
- 12 together, the reform plan placement at Pee Dee turned
- 13 out to be the better option.
- 14 SENATOR CAMPBELL: What's the cost of solar?
- 15 I know the technology's improved significantly.
- 16 What's the cost of solar per megawatt hour?
- 17 MR. DUCKWORTH: The request for information
- 18 we went out to and Mark -- Mr. Bonsall alluded to
- 19 that. We went out and asked these developers what
- 20 would you be willing to do? It was an informational.
- 21 We did not ask for an actual proposal. We would need
- 22 to go back and do that.
- When we went out for that, we got 80 -- we
- 24 got a lot of bids from developers. They're willing
- 25 and able to do this. The cost of that was about \$27 a

- 1 megawatt hour. We believe we could do slightly better
- 2 than that if we went out again with an RFP.
- 3 So solar is, as I said earlier, becoming more
- 4 and more competitive in the market.
- 5 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Come down a good bit.
- 6 MR. DUCKWORTH: It has.
- 7 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Technology's gotten better
- 8 with it. So you're going to actually go out and find
- 9 someone to build your solar capacity?
- 10 MR. DUCKWORTH: That's correct.
- 11 SENATOR CAMPBELL: You're really only going
- 12 to go that small amount, that's the 500 and whatever
- 13 it was, 567 or whatever --
- 14 MR. DUCKWORTH: 547. That's correct.
- 15 SENATOR CAMPBELL: So you're going to have a
- 16 limited amount of actual dollars tied up in the
- 17 capacity?
- 18 MR. DUCKWORTH: That's correct.
- 19 SENATOR CAMPBELL: I think NextEra was
- 20 talking about building it themselves, but they'd have
- 21 a much bigger investment in the capacity.
- MR. DUCKWORTH: That's correct. 2.3 billion
- 23 compared to the 547 million.
- 24 SENATOR CAMPBELL: But you are somewhat
- 25 limited on future. I know that in the power -- if you

- 1 have a long-term contract, you're probably okay with
- 2 the cost of some of it; but it would take something to
- 3 make sure you kept the right spreads down on somebody
- 4 else's discretion on --
- 5 MR. DUCKWORTH: Part of the reason that we
- 6 built our resource plan in a very modular fashion, we
- 7 added smaller incremental resources going along was so
- 8 if things change like that, if the solar prices
- 9 continue to fall or if some new technology we don't
- 10 even understand at this point in time comes along and
- 11 there's a better option, there's room in the plan to
- 12 put it in. That's why we built it that way.
- 13 SENATOR CAMPBELL: It looks like all of
- 14 natural gas is going to be in that 2.50 to \$3 range
- 15 for a while. Is that what you're seeing?
- 16 MR. DUCKWORTH: You know, natural gas,
- there's a lot of opinions for where those will end up.
- 18 It's \$2 per MMPTU right now. That's very, very low
- 19 based on historical standards. Right now the Ford
- 20 curves the projections looking at the market show that
- 21 you don't get into the \$3 range until the '30s. Now,
- 22 that's again today. That can change and will change.
- 23 SENATOR CAMPBELL: You can't hedge.
- MR. DUCKWORTH: That's exactly where I was
- 25 going. You can hedge out ten years. We've done that.

- 1 We did that at Salt River Project, something very
- 2 valuable to do; and by doing that, you can lock in the
- 3 prices that exist today.
- 4 The -- you don't -- there's a lot more room
- 5 for gas to go up than go down. Might still go down
- 6 more. That's a fact. Fracking has fundamentally
- 7 changed natural gas; but there's certainly a lot more
- 8 room to go up than down, given what it is now.
- 9 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Right. Fracking could
- 10 depend on what happens this year.
- 11 MR. DUCKWORTH: The election? Might have
- 12 something to do with that, yes. Yes, sir.
- 13 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Thank you.
- MR. BONSALL: If I could, with your
- 15 permission --
- 16 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Just a moment,
- 17 Mr. Bonsall.
- 18 MR. BONSALL: Okay.
- 19 SPEAKER LEATHERMAN: We have all had a full
- 20 day. We've had a full day. I have a couple comments.
- 21 Then we'll get one more committee member questioning,
- 22 and we'll quit for the day and be back here at 9
- 23 o'clock in the morning.
- Before we do that, though, am I correct in
- 25 understanding that two current Santee employees chose

- 1 not to come?
- 2 MR. BONSALL: They were advised by their --
- 3 you're talking about Michael Crosby and Marion Cherry;
- 4 and they were, in fact, advised by their attorneys
- 5 because of their participation in the DOJ process not
- 6 to attend today.
- 7 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: So I'm getting ready to
- 8 propose to the committee, we ought to subpoena those
- 9 and get them here, as they could be very helpful to
- 10 this committee.
- 11 MR. BONSALL: Let me -- if I might, let me
- 12 ask a question because I think we worked -- we went
- 13 to -- with staff you want to address what we had?
- 14 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: You talking about
- 15 depositions?
- MR. BAXLEY: Yes.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: That's not adequate.
- MR. BONSALL: Okay.
- 19 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: We're going to subpoena
- 20 so we can sit down and talk about Mr. William Cherry,
- 21 Jr., and Michael Crosby. Give y'all a couple minutes
- 22 to think about that, but I'm going to ask you to go to
- 23 subpoena those; and we'll get them here.
- 24 Particularly, I want to get Mr. Cherry here. He was
- 25 the site representative; is that correct, sir?

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Yes.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: He built V. C. Summer 2
- 3 and 3. I think the gentleman has an awful lot of
- 4 knowledge we need to hear about.
- 5 I want to go there though. The Joint
- 6 Resolution says that the OA's recommendation comes to
- 7 Finance Committee and Ways and Means Committee; and
- 8 that's why we have it here, our jurisdiction. We are
- 9 the committee at this point.
- Once we make our decision, then the General
- 11 Assembly and the other committees can do whatever they
- 12 choose to do with it. But until that time, they don't
- 13 have any jurisdiction over it.
- 14 So with that, I'm going to ask y'all to do
- 15 this, this committee to allow us to bring this up with
- 16 William Cherry, Jr., Mr. Michael Crosby. Take a vote
- 17 on that. I'm asking you please to let us do that.
- 18 SENATOR CROMER: Mr. Chairman, before you
- 19 vote on that, though, before you vote on that, we've
- 20 got the Board chairman with us today.
- 21 I was sort of surprised that the Santee
- 22 Cooper Board was not here, the entire Board. I mean,
- 23 this is such an important decision that we're making;
- 24 and whether they needed to ask any -- I mean, answer
- 25 any questions or whatnot, I just thought they ought to

- 1 be here to hear the questions that were asked by the
- 2 Senate Finance Committee.
- 3 So that was one of my questions to
- 4 Mr. Bonsall was why did the remainder -- I know we
- 5 didn't request them, based on what you read earlier;
- 6 but just their position, I would have thought would
- 7 have warranted them being here today.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: I totally agree with
- 9 you, and hopefully maybe they'll be here tomorrow.
- 10 Maybe they will come to find out what's going on.
- 11 MR. ALEXANDER: Mr. Chairman, could I
- 12 clarify? We do have several. We got the chairman.
- 13 We've got one, two, three, four.
- 14 SENATOR CROMER: Oh, I didn't see that.
- 15 SENATOR ALEXANDER: Yes. So we've got five.
- 16 I believe that's a total of five that we have here.
- 17 SENATOR CROMER: Out of ten.
- 18 SENATOR ALEXANDER: And they were recognized.
- 19 SENATOR CROMER: It was 11 now. It was 10 on
- 20 the internet, but we were missing two that had not
- 21 been appointed.
- But -- and I apologize 'cause when I heard
- 23 Mr. Bonsall introduce them, I thought he introduced
- 24 them as being employees of Santee Cooper. I didn't
- 25 pick up on the status.

- 1 MR. BONSALL: Yeah. I apologize. I did not
- 2 introduce them. Chairman Ray introduced them. And do
- 3 you want Chairman Ray to respond to that question?
- 4 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Not really.
- 5 MR. BONSALL: Okay. Okay.
- 6 Is it possible that I could expand a little
- 7 bit on the response to Senator Grooms and Senator
- 8 Campbell as well? I've got -- if you're willing for
- 9 me to compare the resource plans.
- 10 SENATOR GROOMS: Yes. I'm going to ask you.
- MR. BONSALL: May we have a couple of minutes
- 12 to be able to do that?
- 13 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, yes, absolutely.
- 14 MR. BONSALL: And I'm going to need some tech
- 15 support because I don't know how to pull these charts
- 16 up here.
- 17 This graphic will show us the L&R plans, what
- 18 we call L&R plans, loads and resources plans. It's
- 19 really supply and demand.
- 20 As we understand it, the Santee Cooper reform
- 21 plan versus the NextEra bid so the -- you saw the top
- 22 one here before, which is we're getting back down to
- 23 balance; and then we're adding resources in small
- 24 increments going forward.
- 25 And NextEra's bid of -- goes, kind of, in an

- 1 opposite direction; and it stays there for a good long
- 2 period of time. More than likely, I was looking at
- 3 that lower chart. I would think that they would take
- 4 some steps to rebalance; but I think this is, kind of,
- 5 a stark difference between the two. And we're trying
- 6 to build our reform plan with a reduced fixed cost
- 7 basis and modular, very careful, over the course of
- 8 time so that we have the ability concurrently to
- 9 reduce our debt, which is what, ultimately, our
- 10 customers are on the hook for.
- 11 So we did a comparison as well. Senator
- 12 Grooms, if you're okay, I can draw this comparison.
- 13 SENATOR GROOMS: (Nods head.)
- MR. BONSALL: Thank you.
- That is fast-forwarding five years from today
- 16 accounting for a lighter capital expenditure program
- 17 for Santee Cooper versus the 2.3 billion for SCPNL of
- 18 the NextEra bid. We're intentionally accelerating the
- 19 reduction of debt so that that, which the customer is
- 20 on the hook for, ultimately, declines and declines
- 21 quickly.
- If you put another 2-and-a-half, \$2.3 billion
- 23 in your bricks and mortar, then you're increasing that
- 24 which the customer is responsible for. That, as well,
- 25 is a stark difference. We're not being critical of

- 1 anything. We are trying to illustrate that we're very
- 2 intentionally, A, trying to decrease debt quickly; and
- 3 B, going with a light expenditure program.
- 4 And those are very real differences
- 5 between -- and I think that's responsive to your
- 6 question. I apologize for not bringing that up
- 7 before.
- 8 SENATOR GROOMS: May I follow up on that?
- 9 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Shortly. Very shortly.
- 10 SENATOR GROOMS: This was what I was really
- 11 trying to get to earlier; and those are the members on
- 12 your Santee Cooper reform plan backup slides. You can
- 13 find this slide.
- 14 So what you're saying is under the Santee
- 15 Cooper reform plan, in year 2025 the customers of
- 16 Santee Cooper ratepayers would then be responsible for
- 17 the \$5.6 billion Santee Cooper would then have
- 18 outstanding?
- 19 MR. BONSALL: \$1 billion less than today.
- 20 That's true.
- 21 SENATOR GROOMS: And in that same year,
- 22 customers of Santee Cooper would then be supporting
- 23 financial obligations under the NextEra plan of
- 24 10.2 billion.
- 25 MR. BONSALL: And that is drawn directly from

- 1 this comparison and the plans that are set forth in
- 2 the two.
- 3 SENATOR GROOMS: One plan's 5.6 billion of
- 4 financial obligations, and the other plan is \$10.24
- 5 billion in financial obligations.
- 6 MR. BONSALL: And part of the significance of
- 7 the 5.6, if I may be so bold, is that somebody buys
- 8 Santee Cooper, they're assuming debt. That's part of
- 9 the purchase price. The seller, you, get the
- 10 difference.
- 11 With less debt, same price, you get a -- you
- 12 get -- the seller nets more money. There's more
- 13 equity in the system, and we're trying to build more
- 14 equity in the system with this approach. That's
- 15 precisely what we're trying to do.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Thank you, Senator
- 17 Grooms.
- 18 SENATOR PEELER: Mr. Chairman, could we go
- 19 back to the two gentlemen that you are going to ask to
- 20 subpoena?
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir.
- 22 SENATOR PEELER: Who are they again and
- 23 just --
- 24 SPEAKER LEATHERMAN: William Cherry.
- 25 (Chairman Leatherman and President

- 1 Peeler have a discussion.)
- 2 SENATOR PEELER: Those two gentlemen are
- 3 employees of Santee Cooper. We do have the authority
- 4 to subpoena them; correct?
- 5 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Correct.
- 6 SENATOR PEELER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 7 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: With that, I'm going to
- 8 ask you to give me a vote. I ask you to allow us to
- 9 subpoena. Understanding that we'll talk more about
- 10 one and --
- 11 SENATOR GROOMS: Mr. Chairman --
- 12 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir.
- 13 SENATOR GROOMS: -- before we take a vote,
- 14 has any effort been made to contact them directly?
- 15 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir. We asked
- 16 them to come; and they sent word that, on advice of
- their attorney, they couldn't come.
- 18 SENATOR GROOMS: I heard from Santee
- 19 Cooper -- I'm sorry.
- MR. BAXLEY: No. Excuse me.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir.
- MR. BAXLEY: Mr. Chairman, may I respectfully
- 23 make a suggestion to the committee?
- When we received the invitation yesterday,
- 25 there was concern that, among the attorneys for the

- 1 parties, that this may be going back into some history
- 2 that is -- was -- is being touched upon in the DOJ
- 3 investigation, which would put these individuals at
- 4 some difficulty in answering questions publicly.
- 5 My understanding today -- and I heard you say
- 6 a few moments ago -- is that you wish to talk to them
- 7 about what's going on at the site now because of
- 8 their --
- 9 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: No, sir. No, sir. We
- 10 didn't limit it to that.
- 11 MR. BAXLEY: All right, sir. All right.
- 12 Well, what I was going to suggest to you is that we
- 13 will be more than happy, to prevent the committee from
- 14 issuing a subpoena, to use our good offices and the
- 15 best persuasion that we can with their attorneys as
- 16 well as with the two employees for Santee Cooper to
- 17 come tomorrow to the committee and be here without the
- 18 necessity of a subpoena being issued.
- 19 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Baxley, I
- 20 appreciate that. Want to work with you.
- 21 MR. BAXLEY: Yes, sir.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: If they're not here
- 23 tomorrow, I promise you, they're going to be
- 24 subpoenaed.
- 25 MR. BAXLEY: That will be on me if they're

- 1 not here tomorrow. So we will have them here
- 2 tomorrow.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: We will use that as
- 4 they'll be here tomorrow. So we will start at 9
- 5 o'clock.
- 6 MR. BONSALL: Thank you.
- 7 SENATOR SETZLER: Mr. Chairman --
- 8 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Yes, sir.
- 9 SENATOR SETZLER: -- let me get some
- 10 clarification. When we start at 9 o'clock though,
- 11 we're going to finish with Mr. Bonsall and Miss Ray
- 12 and all of these other folks before we go to them;
- 13 correct?
- 14 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Absolutely.
- 15 Absolutely.
- 16 With that -- one more first round. Before we
- 17 go there, let me say something. Senator Hess is with
- 18 us. He's been shuffled around the table trying to
- 19 find a room. I've invited him here because it's
- 20 special in a committee, if it's wanted, for the
- 21 utilities of Santee Cooper and with SCANA. And he was
- 22 co-chair of that committee. I'm going to ask him to
- 23 sit with us and ask any questions you want, Senator.
- 24 We can do that.
- So with that, I guess, Mike, who is the next

- one? Let's do one more, and then we're going to quit
- 2 for the day.
- 3 STAFF: Last one I have on the list for first
- 4 round questions is Senator Matthews.
- 5 SENATOR MATTHEWS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 My question will be quick and to the point. Senator
- 7 Campbell asked my two questions so I pass over there.
- 8 What I want to know is what you plan to do
- 9 with the Cross plant and what's their mix and makeup?
- 10 The second question would be: What are your
- 11 plans for those two water plants that produce water in
- 12 areas that I serve? And they're very critical.
- 13 The third question: Are you seeing any
- 14 potential change in the leaseholders' agreement or
- 15 leaseholders around there? Around the lake?
- 16 MR. BONSALL: Answer to the third question is
- 17 no.
- 18 SENATOR MATTHEWS: Okay.
- 19 MR. BONSALL: The answer to the second
- 20 question, the water plants we intend to continue. The
- 21 water plants prefer to do that, and I think the
- 22 agencies that run those water plants would prefer us
- 23 to do that as well. So we don't anticipate any
- 24 changes in the water plants.
- 25 And the answer to the first question, as to

- 1 the future of Cross, we have Cross in the entirety of
- 2 the plan going out 20 years. In part, it serves the
- 3 role as a hedge against natural gas. It serves the
- 4 role as a reliability resource, and it serves a role
- 5 as a diversification of energy source. So it's
- 6 strategically important in that regard.
- 7 That Cross plant itself is four units. Two
- 8 of them were built in the '70s. Two of them were
- 9 built shortly after the year 2000. Those newer ones
- 10 are really good plants and pretty economic plants; but
- 11 because of the economic posture of the first two
- 12 plants, we're going to have to examine that question
- over the course of time. But this plan proposes no
- 14 change in the status of the Cross Generating Station
- 15 at this point.
- 16 And I want to point out how proud I am that
- 17 you asked three questions, and I actually remembered
- 18 what the three questions were; and I think I got them
- 19 answered. So, thank you, Senator.
- 20 SENATOR MATTHEWS: While you were answering
- 21 three questions, I -- one more I need an answer to.
- MR. BONSALL: Oh, no. You only get three
- 23 questions. Mr. Chair said only three. I'm kidding.
- 24 I'm kidding.
- 25 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Go ahead, sir.

- 1 SENATOR MATTHEWS: My fourth question would
- 2 be in the construction of those water plants, the
- 3 Corps of Engineers made a valuable partner. The grant
- 4 money that they've been getting to do that work, does
- 5 it flow through you or it flow through the county?
- 6 MR. BONSALL: I'm going to ask our lady of
- 7 the lake to come up and answer that question, Pamela
- 8 Williams.
- 9 Pam.
- 10 MS. WILLIAMS: Senator Matthews, the Corps
- 11 coordinates those funds. They're actually held -- a
- 12 lot of funds are held by the COG, but those funds are
- 13 held by the Corps; and we work with the Corps to
- 14 manage the construction.
- 15 SENATOR MATTHEWS: So they never wound up
- 16 in -- in your --
- MS. WILLIAMS: We do not get -- no, sir. We
- 18 do not get the federal funding, unless we fronted a
- 19 cost with the agreement they're going to pay us back;
- 20 but we only get federal funding if we've done
- 21 something to earn it.
- 22 SENATOR MATTHEWS: But a lot of it was -- a
- lot of you guys did front some costs.
- MS. WILLIAMS: We did initially, and we've
- 25 issued some debt primarily on the Lake Moultrie

- 1 system.
- 2 There's about 25 million, 24 million now that
- 3 they have asked us to front for them. So that system
- 4 was not built with Corps funds. It's the lake -- the
- 5 Lake Marion system that was built mostly with Corps
- 6 funds and local match; and we have about a million
- 7 dollars in debt outstanding, short-term debt
- 8 outstanding, for that system.
- 9 SENATOR MATTHEWS: Thank you for answering my
- 10 fifth question.
- 11 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. And just -- and we plan
- 12 to continue operating those plants, and they are
- 13 growing. And they're growing, especially the one on
- 14 Marion.
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 SENATOR MATTHEWS: That's it.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Mr. Corbin, you asked
- 18 to ask a question trying to close out Round 1. Could
- 19 you hold that till tomorrow Round 2?
- 20 SENATOR CORBIN: They're kind of quick.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Okay. Ask away.
- 22 SENATOR CORBIN: Yes. The Winyah plants that
- 23 are going to be retired, how long have they been
- 24 operating?
- 25 MR. BONSALL: I believe in -- and, Staff,

- 1 correct me if I am wrong. They were built as well in
- 2 the late '70s and the early 1980s. So a long time.
- 3 Those are not young plants; correct?
- 4 SENATOR CORBIN: Are they still in pretty
- 5 good shape, though, as far as producing --
- 6 MR. BONSALL: I think we've kept them in
- 7 pretty good shape. The issue is the economic dispatch
- 8 is very limited for Winyah with all of that capacity
- 9 relative to what you can purchase off the market for.
- 10 So it's not being used very heavily; and when
- 11 you have a heavy fixed cost base load unit, like
- 12 Winyah or Cross, and you're not using it much, you're
- incurring a lot of fixed costs spread over very few
- 14 units of production. So it drives your per unit cost
- 15 up and makes it economic -- uneconomic to operate the
- 16 plant.
- 17 So that's, kind of, the economic; and it's
- 18 excess. One of the reasons that it's not used heavily
- 19 is because it's excess to our needs. So we want to,
- 20 again, bring supply into balance with --
- 21 SENATOR CORBIN: Well, how would that be --
- 22 would it be cheaper to go with gas and solar than the
- 23 Winyah?
- MR. BONSALL: Yes. That's what we're saying.
- 25 SENATOR CORBIN: Even though you've got the

1	plant there?
2	MR. BONSALL: Yes.
3	SENATOR CORBIN: Because when I was taking
4	notes, you said to replace Winyah, and I quote. You
5	said, "it's not cheap" when you go to replace it.
6	"Not cheap." Those were your words.
7	MR. BONSALL: I'll tell you what. We will be
8	prepared tomorrow morning to give you the numbers
9	associated with that analysis and be happy to do so.
10	SENATOR CORBIN: Okay. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Looks like we're about
12	to line up today. I know y'all have to be doing that.
13	We're going to be back here in the morning at
14	9 o'clock, and we'll pick up right where we are.
15	Finish with the Santee Cooper.
16	We will get the two gentlemen Mr. Baxley

- 17 will get those two gentlemen and ask whatever
- 18 questions you want to ask them. So with that,
- 19 anything else for business this afternoon?
- 20 (No response.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN LEATHERMAN: Y'all have a good
- evening. We'll see you in the morning at 9 o'clock.
- 23 (The hearing concluded at 5:15 p.m.)
- 24 - -

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	
4	I, Ronda K. Blanton, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public for the State
5	of South Carolina at Large, do hereby certify:
6	That the foregoing hearing was taken before me on the date and at the time and location
7	stated on Page 1 of this transcript; that the proceedings made at the time of the hearing were
8	recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; that the foregoing proceedings as typed
9	are a true, accurate, and complete record of the proceedings to the best of my ability.
10	I further certify that I am neither
11	related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.
12	Witness my hand, I have hereunto
13	affixed my official seal this 26th day of February, 2020, at Myrtle Beach, Horry County, South Carolina.
14	
15	LDTC4.0
16 17	(Aprola Cal Barrela
18	Ronda K. Blanton, Registered Professional Reporter,
19	Notary Public
20	State of South Carolina at Large My Commission expires: May 15, 2028.
21	1101 137 2020.
22	
23	
24	
25	

					Page 208
	1 22.0	11 142 21 22	100.16	117.01.110.1	
A	22:9	added 43:21,22	198:16	117:21 118:1	allowing 141:18
a.m 1:4	account 78:18	51:25 52:8	advised 6:8 76:4	122:24 135:6	allows 50:23
A1 18:12	104:17	122:10 131:14	139:8 191:2,4	158:8,21	alluded 79:7
ability 29:15	accountability	163:5 189:7	adviser 101:14	159:1 160:2	169:23 187:18
67:2 83:11	20:18 31:14	adding 51:20	advisory 157:9	160:18,22,25	alongside 54:9
132:19 159:18	31:15 40:25	194:23	aeroderivative	161:4,10	61:14 64:25
160:9,14	157:1	addition 10:5	51:20 169:23	179:17 201:14	alter 176:10
163:24 173:9	accountable	26:22 149:20	Affairs 22:3	203:19	Amazing
174:9,18	31:15,20	additional 10:1	affect 34:16	agreements	168:19
195:8 207:9	40:23 41:2,8	10:18 18:7,11	121:20 122:18	52:15 58:10	ambit 176:2
able 9:17 10:6	41:11 88:25	25:15 75:22	123:11 139:5	112:25 113:5	amended 72:14
12:9 16:24	accounting	108:23 139:2	162:5	122:2 123:20	American 12:24
17:2 26:12	11:16 195:16	144:24 159:23	affirmatively	162:13 163:15	amount 25:18
27:24 30:5	accrual 129:21	163:20 184:11	101:8	163:16 174:8	28:13 44:2
31:25 32:5	accruing 47:5	additions 52:3,6	affixed 207:13	174:21 175:1	56:12 94:3
58:8 65:3	accumulate	52:6 58:9	afoot 27:11	176:1 177:5	111:7 121:17
66:16 70:4	98:18 102:3	170:6 174:13	afternoon 3:4 26:9 124:15	agrees 110:23 111:2	188:12,16
78:1,3 79:1,4	accurate 90:9 161:8 207:9	address 73:5 85:23 86:13		ahead 90:24	amplify 144:2
81:8,20 88:11			181:23,24 182:23 206:19	121:19 176:17	analysis 206:9
88:16 106:24	achieve 32:1 54:11 87:14	86:18,19 184:7 191:13	agencies 22:3	202:25	analyzing 45:7 and/or 50:4
107:1 108:12	126:10 158:16	addressing	155:9,10,12	air 140:7	Anecdotally
127:8 128:9	158:16 161:24	140:7	155:12 173:9	airplane 51:22	101:4
155:21,25	acquiring 175:6	adequate 49:23	201:22	Alexander 1:9	announced
156:7 161:12	acquisition	168:14 191:17	agency 14:22	8:17 95:8,17	89:10
186:21 187:25	180:5	adherence	42:3 73:4	95:20,23 96:4	annual 41:18,22
194:12	acreage 145:11	69:15	100:14,21	96:8,16,20	74:3,8 133:10
absolutely	acres 146:7,20	adjacent 146:1	101:11 143:15	97:7,15,20	156:17
139:1 152:5 167:17 170:12	act 21:4,7 30:5	adjustment	aggregate 38:5	124:13,14,19	annually 71:3
194:13 200:14	48:24 60:19	111:5	120:12 132:17	125:9,12,17	94:12
200:15	71:4 72:13	administer	aggressive	128:17 129:5	answer 7:9 9:17
absorb 128:3	74:6 79:10,16	105:2	27:20 34:17	129:17,23	25:4,5 40:23
ACC 132:10,12	112:15,16	administration	35:21	130:5,9,16,18	64:10 89:24
132:14,17,22	174:2,16,24	22:6 84:7	ago 24:22 35:6	130:21,24	97:22 101:11
132:23 133:1	175:5,5,15,24	111:14,18	56:10 57:3	131:15,20	102:19 104:8
133:2,5,11	acting 5:12,19	122:22 125:15	75:9 99:20	132:1,4	113:6,20
accelerate 57:24	action 96:9	Administratio	149:13 199:6	133:15,23,25	114:1,2,22
accelerating	110:19,21	137:9	agree 62:12,14	134:5,11,16	118:13 125:6
47:9 195:18	132:23 155:8	administrative	67:25 73:13	135:2,24	125:8 140:11
accept 174:11	actions 81:2	110:13	92:22 109:17	136:7,11	142:4 144:18
174:20 177:4	159:16	admitting	109:24 111:9	137:8,19	157:7 176:13
accepted 17:17	active 13:8 92:2	136:16	128:3,4 140:5	139:1 193:11	176:14 184:5
179:17	92:24 93:11	adopt 137:1	177:17 180:12	193:15,18	192:24 201:16
accepting 114:8	93:16 94:11	adopted 41:5	181:4,5,8	alignment 89:12	201:19,25
accidentally	148:17,18	71:10 132:23	193:8	ALLEN 1:14	202:21 203:7
118:6	actively 146:17	adopting 31:2 ads 181:4	agreed 3:9 4:18	allergies 59:8	answered 89:21
accommodate	actual 36:11 95:18 129:13	ads 181:4 advance 69:8	60:19 77:11 107:4,7,23	alleviates 117:5 allocated 38:18	181:20,22 202:19
81:21 162:10	170:7 187:21	advance 69:8 advances 47:9	114:3,12	38:24 39:1	answering
accomplish 45:2	188:16	47:20	114:3,12	allocation 66:18	90:22 167:12
52:3 60:4 65:8	Adams 79:15	advantage	137:1,18	allow 29:6 50:19	181:16 199:4
88:24	Adams' 125:18	18:15 39:25	160:21	52:1 53:15	202:20 204:9
accomplished	adapt 47:17	47:14 53:16	agreement	57:24 111:16	answers 104:23
58:10	56:19 61:17	54:6 57:22	52:17 59:15	175:25 192:15	138:17
accomplishing	add 44:21 45:4	advice 6:7 7:7	59:15 77:6	198:8	anticipate 18:7
41:8 83:13 177:12	45:5 46:16	7:11,23,24	107:15 111:23	allowed 29:1	162:4 201:23
accomplishme	47:1 49:9	8:19 68:23	113:3 115:3	40:10,11	anticipated
accompnishing	131:7	78:4,7 140:16	115:19 117:8	75:11 87:19	159:4
	l	·	l	l	

					Fage 209
	100 21 111 21	140 12 14	00 10 100 11	152 0 155 5	. 24.12.12
anticipation	108:21 111:21	140:12,14	89:19 122:11	173:8 175:5	bars 34:12,13
75:20	133:2 136:5	158:14 165:15	126:17 152:9	awful 192:3	58:5
anybody 2:18	158:20 174:17	168:11 184:22	173:23,24		base 21:3,7 33:8
139:18 155:1	approvals	187:19 193:1	attentively	B	33:10 46:10
155:23 176:5	108:25	198:15 201:7	58:23	B 1:10,14	110:25 142:21
anyway 106:22	approve 21:6	202:17 204:3	attitude 128:25	184:11 196:3	159:7,8,9
117:1 143:16	31:11 97:3,11	204:17	attorney 7:7,11	back 2:18 3:10	169:14 205:11
apologies 25:5	97:18 111:20	asking 3:19 9:13	78:7 107:20	3:16 8:25	based 3:11
161:8	139:4	44:25 49:12	198:17	11:16,22	46:11 66:22
apologize 3:2	approved 38:21	101:3 124:6	attorney's 111:7	19:12 25:24	103:22 114:6
6:14 32:13	86:11 95:10	143:24 162:20	111:8 120:13	27:1 38:22	115:20 189:19
59:9 65:16	96:4,6,17	176:16 177:9	attorneys 6:7,11	40:17 42:16	193:5
104:20 157:25	133:1 139:4	178:12 192:17	6:13 7:25	42:20 46:25	bases 126:12
176:13 186:12	148:3,4	aspects 177:7	120:18,23	59:25 62:9,10	basic 30:14 85:9
193:22 194:1	167:25	Assembly 66:5	140:24 141:21	63:7 70:9 73:4	basically 17:13
196:6	approving	66:9,12 70:23	191:4 198:25	73:11 75:11	27:1 28:16,24
APPA 22:12	171:14 172:5	73:22 114:15	199:15	77:11 87:20	51:23 89:2
apparatus	April 18:8 105:3	154:21 155:11	attribute 38:13	89:20,21	126:18 131:5
177:11,13	architects 21:12	155:20 168:11	attributes 21:25	100:5 102:16	153:14 184:21
178:25	archive 69:8	192:11	attrition 81:16	107:5 111:23	187:1
appear 6:14,15	archiving 69:6	asserted 119:25	81:18 151:12	115:5 119:2	basing 133:20
7:8,12,23 11:6	area 47:21 50:2	assessing 54:19	151:14	119:18 124:8	basis 6:13 13:19
76:4	50:4,6,17	assessment 46:3	audience 10:6	125:10 126:15	40:2 41:22
appearance	51:10,25	88:7 152:12	78:25 89:5	126:20 130:15	42:7 53:10
4:23	53:22 68:20	153:3 156:20	auditor 69:2	138:12,15	61:1 111:4
appendices	71:11 166:23	assets 147:11	150:7	143:24 156:24	122:24 129:9
163:21	169:24	assist 12:9 67:6	authority 20:2	157:18,24	129:20,21
apples 40:2,2,3	areas 22:21	assisted 16:23	70:18 91:16	158:2 161:25	133:10 156:25
40:3	67:10 68:15	associated	97:3 100:25	173:19 179:25	166:21 195:7
applied 17:16	74:1,11 101:1	51:19 54:5	131:23 132:13	182:11,15	basket 30:16
40:5 127:12	146:3 201:12 arena 44:5	55:11 79:4 134:14 206:9	132:15 137:4 171:20 172:25	187:22 190:22 194:22 197:19	batteries 28:9
apply 39:6 139:7,9	Arizona 12:19	association	198:3	199:1 203:19	45:23 53:18 53:19 54:5
appointed 67:22	16:12 17:6,7	12:24 19:16	authorization	206:13	57:14,16,19
193:21	17:12,18	20:6	132:15	background	57:21,25
appointment	23:10,12,18	assume 46:7	available 45:4	16:22 17:5,22	131:7,8,8
68:9	26:9 27:17	127:11 136:3	47:24 54:1	17:23 136:20	battery 53:25
appreciate 16:5	43:9,11,12	139:11 143:17	69:6 94:20	136:21 175:13	57:16
16:14 19:20	48:18 49:3	assumed 37:9	95:11 127:16	175:23	Baxley 5:13
43:5 124:15	51:1 53:6 59:3	56:5	144:17 186:18	backup 76:23	6:10,21,22,23
128:19,24	59:6 74:15	assuming	average 18:14	77:14 132:12	6:24 8:10
136:2 171:4	82:1 86:7	127:18 158:17	22:1 30:8	184:16 196:12	105:23 107:17
199:20	130:6 131:24	197:8	37:19	bad 25:5	108:3,8
appreciated	131:25 132:10	assumption	avoid 186:2	balance 25:24	113:12,16,19
20:10	132:14 133:9	39:1 45:18	avoided 128:14	27:1,8 30:12	114:4,13,18
appreciates	arrangements	46:11	174:7,10,18	46:25 54:21	114:22 115:5
128:25	9:2 64:15	assumptions	174:19,22	66:23,25	116:1,13
appreciation	arrived 20:24	46:2,5 114:8	176:2,6,11	94:18 98:19	117:3,11
20:5	151:8	163:18,22	177:1,6,16	131:6 142:24	118:3,8,13,17
approach 66:22	aside 146:6,22	assured 178:3	178:6 179:13	144:4 147:1	118:19,23,25
162:11 197:14	149:5,21	180:2	181:11	182:11,16	119:3,6,10,22
appropriate	asked 2:5,21 4:7	ate 124:2	award 23:7	194:23 205:20	119:25 120:8
11:15,20 42:3	4:8,13 19:24	Atlantic 184:13	181:7	balances 143:4	120:10,12,15
66:25 67:1,6	21:2 24:20,21	attacked 177:2	Award-winning	144:4	120:20,22
73:1 179:14	25:2 31:10	attend 78:7	22:10	balancing 66:13	121:3,13,21
appropriately	33:24 64:3	191:6	awards 18:16	ballpark 134:10	121:25 122:20
51:11 approval	102:18 117:12 118:10 126:18	attention 33:15 42:12 48:13	aware 83:1 100:13 114:5	142:23 bar 97:20 121:4	123:16 124:11 141:3,6
approvai	110.10 120.10	72.12 40.13	100.13 114.3	vai 97.20 121.4	171.5,0

					Page 210
154 10 101 16	(1.11.62.2	1.4.17.5.01.17	1.11.107.7	145 12 10	h . 44 26 5
154:10 191:16	61:11 62:3	bit 17:5 21:17	bold 197:7	145:13,19	bottom 26:5
198:20,22	66:22 77:19	27:5 28:12	bond 67:3 73:17	149:24,25	32:3,19 36:2
199:11,19,21	83:14,24	32:12 35:13	73:19 132:7,8	150:1,5,13,19	38:4 41:12
199:25 206:16	117:15 158:23	37:13 39:11	153:21,24	150:22,25	83:18 129:25
Baxley's 84:6	178:5 181:1	43:7 45:10	154:3,5	151:4,9,11,14	Boudreau 14:7
Beach 1:23 12:2	184:5 199:15	46:17 57:14	171:21,25	151:19,24	85:17,19
22:19 85:2	207:9	59:7 61:24	172:3,6,19,24	152:3 153:1,8	bought 127:11 128:13
157:9 207:13 bear 21:14,14	bet 86:21	114:2 132:20 144:2 152:25	bonds 126:23 133:2 154:2,2	153:23 154:8 154:11,14,17	bounds 80:7
111:12 176:20	betraying 178:13		154:13,15		
	better 24:2 42:9	158:15 161:16 163:5 164:19	172:9,12	155:3,6 156:1 156:8 157:7	Bowls 17:9
becoming 188:3 beef 50:3	44:6 48:1,15	165:5 168:24	172.9,12	157:20 158:9	box 85:15 boxes 84:9
began 19:1	59:1,9 60:4	175:3,13	bones 24:5 88:3	158:23 159:25	boy 16:12 17:7
20:24	61:4,18,18,21	185:14 186:11	Bonsall 1:16	160:15,19	18:5
beginning 66:7	87:9,10	186:25 188:5	2:24 5:10,24	161:1,14,18	Boykin 3:19
66:10 109:15	161:22 186:19	194:7	6:3,5,17,19,20	161:25 162:25	Boykin's 4:23
behinds 117:12	186:20 187:13	bits 30:14	7:1,6,10,20,22	164:10,14,25	boys 18:2
118:10	188:1,7	Blanton 1:19	8:1,5,9,13,21	165:22 167:17	brain 18:2,3
belief 96:12	189:11	207:4,18	9:1,4,8,11	167:20 168:2	breached 172:7
184:20 185:1	beyond 10:14	blend 34:19	10:8 11:3,8	168:8,19,22	breaches 172:19
believe 2:8 3:5	72:20 108:20	blue 32:1 34:12	12:15,15,20	169:1,16,19	break 42:16,21
10:7 16:7	127:2	34:13	13:12 14:3,15	170:12,24	138:9 140:9
35:15 41:15	biased 89:15	Board 5:12,18	16:1,3 19:19	171:9,16	157:16
48:9 83:13	bid 27:16,19	11:13,25 12:6	25:1 33:18	172:1,8 173:2	breakdown
90:1 91:1,8	131:4 177:15	12:9,25 19:4	36:13,14,17	173:22 174:3	143:3
92:19 93:14	178:1,6,8	20:13 21:2,6	36:23 37:2,6	174:12 175:2	breath 140:6
95:15 101:13	179:9 180:1	22:25 23:11	39:15,19,22	175:9,18	bricks 27:12
105:23,24	181:6 194:21	24:9 31:10	75:18 76:25	176:5,12,22	162:13 195:23
124:1,2	194:25 195:18	41:5 67:10,20	77:3,6,10 78:3	177:14,22	briefly 31:6
134:23 137:12	bidder 181:8,8	68:2,7,13,18	78:10,13 80:5	178:1 179:3,7	bring 21:8
139:19 150:3	bidding 175:7	69:1,3,4,7,8	80:9 82:15,16	179:23 181:5	26:25 46:25
152:7 158:10	179:5 180:5	70:19,20 71:2	82:20 83:3,6	181:11,24	66:20 125:9
158:13,19	bids 27:20 39:9	71:3,20,23	86:2,7,12,18	182:4,7,18,22	172:21 176:18
161:3 163:1,7	53:7 163:23	73:8,12,19	86:21 89:23	185:14 187:18	176:20 177:10
163:9 168:8,9	187:24	89:5,13 91:16	90:3 106:6,7	190:14,17,18	182:9 192:15
169:2,8	big 13:10 24:18	92:3 96:1,4,6	106:10,13,16	191:2,11,18	205:20
178:21 183:18	28:13,13 45:5	96:9,15,17	107:9,12,21	192:1 193:4	bringing 83:23
183:20 186:11	89:6 143:2,4	97:2,9,11	107:23 108:1	193:23 194:1	196:6
186:21,22	176:16	108:21,25	108:7 124:18	194:5,11,14	brings 15:21
188:1 193:16	bigger 40:25	109:1,1	125:4,11,16	195:14 196:19	182:11
204:25 belongs 44:10	188:21 biggest 84:25	124:21 125:9 135:25 136:5	125:22 128:21 129:10,19,24	196:25 197:6 200:6,11	broad 70:21 broader 91:9,10
beneficial 59:19	bill 111:16	136:5,9,15,18	130:8,14,17	200.6,11	broadest 110:12
benefit 15:20	122:7 136:4	137:4,20,21	130:30,14,17	202:22 203:6	Brogdan 5:19
35:20 89:25	148:15	137:23 138:23	130:20,22	204:25 205:6	6:9 8:15,20,22
91:11,14	billion 25:7 38:5	139:3,5	132:3,5	205:24 206:2	8:22
94:13 95:25	39:8 56:16	141:12 150:8	133:22,24	206:7	broke 140:5
96:14 97:14	79:3 162:7	154:7,21	134:1,7,13,18	bonus 103:3	brought 15:15
103:21,22	163:2,13	155:18,20,24	135:20 136:6	104:9,10	21:13,14
benefits 15:14	164:12 170:21	156:13 157:9	136:10,20	bonuses 103:1,9	122:3 126:16
65:9,9 91:15	188:22 195:17	173:10 192:20	137:11,25	104:5,14,15	Brown 14:8
92:2,4 93:11	195:22 196:17	192:22,22	139:6,16	books 142:11,14	budget 78:18
94:20,22,23	196:19,24	Board's 13:11	140:2,19,25	147:7	81:10 129:10
148:3,4,11	197:3,5	67:21 96:18	141:9,20,23	born 16:12 17:7	129:12 142:17
BENNETT 1:13	billions 154:2	Boards 38:21	142:3,15	43:9 74:16	budgeted 81:13
100:4,8	bills 121:23	111:19	143:7,12,17	borrow 38:1	buffers 146:24
Berkeley 147:5	biomass 174:15	body 67:21,25	143:20 144:1	borrowed 137:2	build 25:25
best 40:9 54:21	birds 21:4	70:17 73:1	144:21 145:4	boss 34:23	27:16,19
	•	•	•	•	•

					Page 211
	I	1	1	1	1
50:11 51:24	194:18	Carolina 1:1	48:22 54:9,15	chairman 1:6	171:3 181:17
52:11,18	called 3:10 9:22	15:10,16,23	58:19,21	2:2,7,8,10,23	190:16 191:7
162:13 186:17	28:23 82:18	16:11,15 22:2	59:12,18,21	2:25 3:1,13,17	191:14,17,19
187:8 188:9	126:22 166:1	22:3,20 23:9	60:2,7,16,21	3:23,25 4:1,17	192:2,18,20
195:6 197:13	166:17	26:10 41:16	60:23 61:4,8	4:20,24,25 5:1	193:8,11,12
building 25:13	calls 28:10 52:6	59:8 74:16	61:25 62:10	5:9,12,21,23	194:2,3,4,13
25:14,15	52:23 53:17	75:13 82:18	62:12,18,19	6:10,21 7:4,9	196:9 197:16
58:11 169:14	54:2,7 79:12	124:17 137:4	63:14,21 64:1	7:16,24 8:2,11	197:18,21,25
188:20	Camp 84:3	155:9 175:20	64:24 65:4	8:24 9:3,5	198:5,6,7,11
buildup 144:8	146:19	176:18 177:19	69:23 70:24	10:3,18,21,23	198:12,15,21
built 28:25 50:1	Campbell 1:11	185:4 207:5	72:17,24 85:8	11:1,5,20	198:22 199:9
159:23 171:24	97:25 98:2,3,7	207:13,19	87:10,16,19	14:13 16:2,4	199:19,22
189:6,12	98:11,20,24	carry 15:3	106:9,14,19	19:17 24:23	200:3,7,8,14
192:2 202:8,9	99:1 181:22	25:24 32:2	106:24 109:2	33:14 37:5	201:5 202:25
204:4,5 205:1	181:23,25	152:21	110:4 111:15	39:13,16,20	204:17,21
bullet 64:21	182:5,8,21	carrying 25:21	114:8,24	42:14,20,23	206:11,21
bumps 128:23	183:7,22	32:6	115:19,20	42:25 48:11	challenge 24:13
burdening	184:9 185:2	Carter 5:16	135:8,12,15	58:22 59:2	124:17 174:6
162:17	186:4,8	7:21,22 8:13	135:18,18	62:16,21 63:1	176:1,5
burn 165:17	187:14 188:5	8:14	139:24 145:2	63:13,16,20	challenged
busier 79:9	188:7,11,15	case 8:21 21:3,7	157:2 158:20	63:24 65:12	177:3 179:14
business 11:18	188:19,24	37:6 59:6	160:1,11,21	65:17 69:19	challenges
12:10,17 13:8	189:13,23	93:18 108:6	160:21 161:10	69:22 70:6	64:12,17
14:24 16:25	190:9,13	108:12 110:17	Central's 62:4	76:24 77:25	chambers
17:14,22 21:1	194:8 201:7	110:20 116:6	160:14	78:8,12 80:3,6	157:22
21:5 30:25	candidate 15:8	122:4 123:17	cents 33:6,20,24	82:15,17,20	championship
32:9 65:6 79:1	cap 33:13 60:9	123:18 133:19	33:25	83:1,5 86:1,3	17:10
80:14 114:10	120:16,17	142:18,21	century 31:2	86:9,16,20	chance 68:23
117:19 119:7	capacity 25:12	158:8,16	CEO 11:13	89:18,23 90:1	chances 78:8
126:7 142:18	25:14,15,16	cases 112:14	12:20 91:15	90:5,18,24	change 20:16
143:16 167:24	25:17,17,21	116:10 120:6	91:16 93:24	91:19,22 92:6	25:10 46:13
206:19	25:24,25	123:22	96:1,1,7,8,13	92:7 95:6,8,23	56:20 61:16
busy 79:9	26:20 170:11	cash 23:25	97:1 102:12	97:8,15,23	84:6 137:23
button 2:14	170:14 182:9	29:17 30:3	104:24 131:2	98:2,24 99:3	139:11 154:1
buy 29:2 60:25	184:4 188:9	121:24 122:14	certain 99:12	100:2,4,11	154:16,18
61:2 127:3	188:17,21	122:16,16	111:16 112:8	101:18,22	172:15 189:8
163:24	205:8	123:3,12	127:24 132:5	102:14,15	189:22,22
buy/ 117:25	capital 27:10,13	129:8,11,14	132:6 137:4	103:10 104:22	201:14 202:14
buying 128:2	37:19,22	129:20,22	160:1 166:24	105:8,12,15	changed 61:19
buys 197:7	52:18 53:2	130:1 142:11	166:24 168:13	105:18,21	66:10 106:18
	58:1,2,4,5,16	142:12,14,24	certainly 82:8	106:2,4	113:25 154:3
<u>C</u>	58:18 64:16	143:2,4 144:4	88:11 105:25	107:22 108:5	190:7
C 1:9 2:1 5:16	130:4 131:4	catch 35:17	123:16 129:5	113:8,14,17	changes 31:7,24
11:9,11 15:4	132:18,24	category 39:12	131:15 172:17	114:1,16,17	47:14,15
24:7,12 116:5	161:23 162:4	133:3,4	190:7	118:17,21,24	49:10,11,16
116:19 192:2	162:18,22	Cattle 17:15	certainty	119:1,4,8,12	54:17,18,21
Cal 12:3	163:3 164:3,3	cause 4:17	127:22	119:13,14,20	59:19 65:2
calculation	164:8 187:8	56:17 82:22	CERTIFICA	120:9 121:6	66:12 67:11
181:13	195:16	96:17 100:22	207:1	124:1,7,10,12	67:20 68:1
California 75:6	caps 60:16	115:18 145:17	certify 207:5,10	124:14 138:5	72:2 84:21,25
133:7	card 29:3,3,5,6	158:17 193:22	cetera 17:15	138:14,15,18	109:21 113:2
call 3:16 5:21	29:10,10	207:11	79:13 88:25	138:21 139:14	135:25 136:9
14:6 36:15	career 30:22	caused 136:13	88:25 153:16	139:15 140:12	136:9,18
66:5 104:10	careful 122:11	136:16	153:16 CEO 04:1 105:2	140:21 152:24	139:8 158:13
104:14 106:25	166:10 167:3	cease 26:24	CFO 94:1 105:3	157:10,12,16	160:13 179:11
110:18 126:22	195:7	Central 22:24	105:3	157:21,24	201:24
126:24 127:7	carefully 165:23	39:12 40:7,9	chair 5:18 12:23	158:5,12	changing 47:11
129:17 146:19	169:10	40:12,16,19	136:14 202:23	165:2 171:1,2	characterized
	-	-	-	-	-

					1 age 212
125:20 128:19	chosen 35:20	closing 75:21	184:3 188:5	commonality	comply 67:2
charge 17:3	37:12	112:24 125:14	191:1 193:10	126:11	complying 71:9
24:18 28:5	Chris 14:7	165:12	198:16,17	communicated	comprying 71.9
76:6	chunk 143:2,4	closure 81:17	199:17 203:7	165:11	73:17 119:7
charges 20:19	claim 110:18	co-chair 200:22	comes 26:17	communication	components
CHARLEST	111:14,18	co-op 85:18	143:24 148:8	2:23 80:11	97:13 113:21
1:23	112:10 116:15	145:5 160:23	148:16 189:10	communicatio	116:17 174:16
Charlie 1:17	116:20,22,22	co-ops 22:5,24	192:6	60:22	compressed
12:15 21:23	119:25 122:9	85:8 145:3	comfortable	communities	79:17
31:4 42:6,13	claiming 116:16	158:20 161:10	101:8	71:1	COMPUSCR
43:5 67:18	claims 110:2,3,9	161:12	coming 16:13	community	1:21
69:11 79:7	110:13,16,22	coaches 101:6	42:14 88:15	17:8 23:7	computation
81:20 106:11	111:25 112:6	coal 44:3 49:18	134:25 174:25	companies 13:9	134:1,14
127:15 134:21	112:8,16,18	51:1 55:10,13	commander	company 13:8	concentrated
chart 23:5 26:21	112:19,20	55:14 79:8	13:8	13:22,23,24	50:2 51:25
32:11 34:16	116:4,8 120:2	169:21	commend	14:17 15:4	concept 139:4
35:6,11,25	clarification	coal- 26:22	139:23	28:8 75:11	concern 117:6
37:8 38:8 58:3	200:10	coast 184:13	comment 31:6	76:23 77:13	127:4,9,25
80:18 86:4	clarify 160:4	185:3	72:9 73:2,10	83:9,10 89:4	198:25
133:21 153:5	193:12	code 171:19	74:5 90:21	98:21 117:14	concerned
162:3,3 195:3	Clark 12:2	codified 72:5	95:6 174:19	compare 36:6	88:10 180:23
chart's 32:12	class 110:19,21	COG 203:12	comments	133:11 161:23	concerns 66:24
charts 26:18	111:13	coin 145:5	13:16 62:8,11	194:9	80:22 115:8
35:8,16 162:1	classes 66:19	153:16	73:2,4,5 112:2	compared	126:19 138:12
194:15	70:25	cold 59:8	124:20 125:14	163:14 185:7	conclude 65:10
CHAUNCEY	cleaner 48:4	collect 73:20	129:7 190:20	188:23	113:5
1:12	186:3	collected 2:13	Commerce	comparing	concluded
cheap 50:13	clear 20:14	college 17:9,11	23:11 146:20	173:13	206:23
206:5,6	95:24 104:20	COLUMBIA	commercial	comparison	conclusions
cheaper 30:20	104:21 112:25	1:23	22:22	37:10 39:4	20:23
186:3 205:22	127:9 177:22	combination	commission	40:3 195:11	concur 62:7
cheaply 180:17	clearing 116:25	105:6 187:11	22:4 68:14	195:12 197:1	concurrently
check 9:1,1	clearly 41:9	combined 61:10	73:15 131:24	compelling	34:12 79:10
122:6 151:5	131:4 173:13	135:10	132:2,10,15	174:11	166:4 195:8
cheek 116:23	Clemson 12:3	combined-cycle	154:7 168:1	competition	condition 18:12
Cherry 5:15 7:8	100:24 clerk 36:21	52:5,8,13,20 169:14 170:21	207:20	178:24	18:19 24:2 conditions
7:13,18 8:3 76:4 140:21	click 2:14 5:5	come 3:20 4:14	commissioners 68:15 132:23	competitive 57:7,11	38:23 46:9
191:3,20,24	Client 1:22		commitments	185:11,12	161:4 175:4
191.3,20,24	climate-contr	21:18,20 29:7	179:24	188:4	conduct 80:20
Chicago 15:7	76:9	39:5 42:22	committee 1:3	complaining	conducted
chicken 17:15	climb 18:11	47:14 55:4,8	2:11,16 3:10	40:10	12:13
chief 5:17,19	clock 10:13	57:1,20 61:20	4:15 5:3,5	complete 87:20	conducting
21:20 65:20	42:17	64:12 65:2	16:5 19:13,22	89:12 207:9	79:10
84:2,18 85:1	close 21:21	70:9 71:25	19:23,24,25	completed	conducts 12:18
108:10	27:10 76:20	73:18 75:8,11	42:24 65:13	108:15 184:13	conference
children 18:2	79:20 146:7	75:17 78:2,3,9	67:23 69:4	184:16	79:12
choice 34:18	204:18	82:22 89:20	96:24,25	completely	confidence
45:14 62:13	closed 44:3	89:21 90:4	190:21 191:8	113:13 180:24	113:10
choices 32:8,10	81:25 82:10	99:20 101:25	191:10 192:7	completing	confident 28:7
32:14 44:13	82:10,14 83:7	102:8,12,23	192:7,9,15	17:17	confidential
44:16 45:1,7	92:3 93:13	103:8 111:8	193:2 198:23	complex 88:1	77:1
45:12 46:4	112:17	120:13 122:9	199:13,17	128:16	confidentiality
48:2 61:18	closely 39:5	124:7 131:22	200:20,22	compliance	140:9
choose 74:6	50:7 187:3,5	138:12,15	committees	41:19 71:8	confines 77:4
192:12	closer 38:3	142:12,17,21	192:11	74:3	confirm 96:11
chose 35:1 97:1	39:25 40:2	151:18 157:18	common 101:15	complicated	96:15
190:25	47:2,2 134:8	173:19 182:13	111:8 184:12	32:12	confirmation
	1	1		1	1

					Page 213
-	1	1	1	1	1
confirmed	29:11,12	30:2	112:5,7,20,21	coordinate	47:17,18
67:23	consumption	conveniently	114:25 115:9	87:16 132:22	53:16 57:8,10
conflict 7:14,15	29:17,21	154:12	115:10,16	133:5,10	58:2 60:18
153:24,25	contact 65:15	conversation	116:7,16	coordinates	61:11 94:2,12
confusion	198:14	119:2 137:14	117:10,15	203:11	111:13 120:10
103:14	contacted 19:7	138:1,2	120:1,5	coordination	128:1 130:11
congeniality	contemplate	conversations	121:12,14,16	59:14 60:22	174:7,10,18
16:14	172:4 174:10	87:19 137:11	122:15 123:2	135:6 158:21	174:19,22,22
congressional	176:4	converted 27:18	123:3,13,19	160:2,18	176:2,6,11
137:24	contemplated	Cook 35:5,7,12	125:2,13,19	161:9	177:1,16
connection	160:8	38:14,16 89:9	126:6,18	copy 10:5	177:1,10
43:15	contemplates	106:25 110:18	128:2,13	130:19	180:17 181:12
conservation	163:7 175:6	123:17,18,23	129:9 130:2,4	Corbin 1:13	183:14 184:23
29:9		139:24 141:13			
	contemplating 169:22		135:16,17	99:3,4,11,17	185:7,16
conservative		158:8,15	136:12 139:21	204:17,20,22	186:5,20
163:11	contention 83:7	159:17	140:18,23	205:4,21,25	187:8,14,16
conserve 28:17	context 67:16	coolant 76:23	141:1,18,22	206:3,10	187:25 189:2
28:18 170:18	73:9 172:5,6	Cooper 2:12	141:25 142:2	Corner 74:15	195:6 203:19
consider 3:19	173:1 174:10	5:15,19,20 7:3	142:12 143:14	74:20,24,25	205:11,14
73:3 88:21	contingent	8:4,8 9:16	144:10,20	75:12	costs 25:8,18
169:13	108:25	10:4 11:10	145:10 147:23	corporate 84:8	27:23 28:19
consideration	continuation	13:13,17,18	148:23 150:12	Corporation	32:5 45:22,22
25:7 137:13	135:5	14:2,12 15:7	151:16 153:2	131:24 132:10	53:12 54:5
137:22 138:3	continue 23:22	15:12,15,20	153:13,20	132:15	56:22,25
considered	29:11 45:23	16:6,16,18	155:2,8,22	Corps 203:3,10	57:12,16
134:6 142:19	53:14 57:3,12	19:5,6,11,12	157:4 161:21	203:13,13	73:20,25
consist 109:7	57:20 59:16	19:14 20:3,6,7	162:18 164:21	204:4,5	170:5 177:6
consistent	69:3 75:16	20:8,14 21:2	168:15,18	correct 2:6,7	181:14 185:10
109:17 169:12	81:15 148:24	21:24 23:14	169:13 170:4	6:2,3,5 7:19	186:2 203:23
170:13	166:21 189:9	23:20,24 24:4	170:10,22	7:20 39:18,22	205:13
consistently	201:20 204:12	25:3,11,22	171:19 172:9	63:14 92:14	cotton- 43:9
30:8 34:3	continued 57:22	27:13 28:1	172:21 173:18	92:16,17	council 12:23,25
constant 37:19	continuing	30:11 31:11	174:1,8	95:24 96:2,3	19:13,22
37:21	115:8,9	34:5 38:10,19	175:24 176:21	99:8 100:10	counsel 5:13
construct 23:19	contract 189:1	39:10 40:1	177:1,4	104:3,6	8:19 111:10
constructability	contracted 76:7	41:13 49:14	179:12 192:22	107:24 114:23	122:3 140:16
169:9	contractor	50:17 61:8	193:24 194:20	115:25 116:1	141:16 207:11
constructed	52:12	62:9,12 64:9	195:17 196:12	118:7 119:5	count 81:8,10
163:10,11	contracts 27:24	65:5,20 66:3,4	196:15,16,17	119:24 121:13	81:13,15
construction	87:5	66:16 71:9	196:22 197:8	140:18 141:2	150:17
64:16 67:19	contrast 162:20	73:13 74:7,16	198:3,19	144:20,25	counting 145:2
112:17 116:18	162:21	74:18,19,25	199:16 200:21	147:21 148:1	country 26:7
163:8 167:23	contribute	75:2,10,11	206:15	148:14 150:4	164:22
179:8 183:6	26:16 110:24	76:5 78:15,19	Cooper's 37:18	150:5,12	county 1:1
203:2,14	contributes	80:13 81:22	38:14 39:4	154:11 155:5	112:14 147:5
construed	103:16	82:12 83:22	55:3 58:4 62:4	161:15 166:9	203:5 207:13
110:11	contribution	84:5 85:2	66:7 72:15	171:8,15,16	couple 22:19
consulted 6:11	98:10,17	86:24 88:12	104:17,20	171:25 172:1	32:10 35:8
consume 28:18	100:8 102:22	88:17 89:3,6	109:12 113:24	176:10 188:10	47:10 55:17
30:7	103:19,23	90:11 92:24	115:20 136:17	188:14,18,22	144:23 190:20
consumed 29:16	104:2,16	94:2 95:1,3,13	144:4 153:19	190:24 191:25	191:21 194:11
30:7	contributions	98:15 101:20	173:5 180:17	198:4,5	course 2:16
consumer	98:15,17	102:10,20,23	cooperation	200:13 205:1	18:25 34:3,13
178:21	102:7,19	103:7 104:5	160:11	205:3	35:14,18
consumers	103:18	105:7 106:8	Cooperative	correctly 3:6	75:23 82:6
179:2 180:11	control 29:17,21	109:1,9,16	109:2	cost 21:25 24:17	89:14 108:11
180:19	68:8,9 122:4	110:4,5 111:2	cooperatives	32:2 34:11	108:21,24
consuming	convenience	111:14,24,25	72:18	44:17 47:10	110:3 117:14
Ŭ I		<u> </u>		1	

122:8,22	3,14 5,16 :1,25 4,16 0:9 de ,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
123:10 124:24 193:17,19 Crosby 5:13 44:1 28:5,15 35:18 190:20 45:23,24 30:12 46 47:3,22 195:7 202:13 140:22 191:3 29:24,25 32:6 29:23,14 35:6 29:23,14 35:6 29:22 108:19 29:13,14 35:6 29:22 108:19 29:23 57:16 29:22 108:19 29:22 10	3,14 5,16 :1,25 4,16 0:9 de ,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
152:3,3 167:7 167:7,23 6:25 7:6,10,19 customers 190:20,22 45:23,24 30:12 46 195:7 202:13 140:22 191:3 29:24,25 32:6 day-to-day 42:7 57:22,24 167:14,3 14:20 cross 32:3 165:13,17 154:3,5 165:13,17 167:5 185:8,9 172:14 185:11 201:9 62:4 64:19 172:14 73:18,19 153:21,24 171:25 172:7 172:19,24 171:25 172:7 179:21 cross-claim 179:21 cross-claim 179:21 110:4,5 179:21 crossing 158:25 crafted 165:23 crafted 165:2	5,16 :1,25 4,16 0:9 de ,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
167:7,23	11,25 4,16 0:9 de ,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
175:17 184:14	4,16 0:9 de ,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
195:7 202:13	0:9 de,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
court 111:21 191:21 192:16 34:7 35:19 days 21:8 29:13 81:15 182:9,13 114:20 Crosby's 7:1 47:24 48:1,22 29:13,14 35:6 declined 53:13 194:19 covenant 132:7 165:13,17 58:15 60:12 108:20 109:11 declines 34:3 demand-3 172:10,11,13 167:5 185:8,9 60:18 61:11 de-reg 180:23 53:16 54:6 28:10,13 172:14 185:11 201:9 62:4 64:19 de-risk 27:13 127:20 195:20 48:8 54: covenants 67:4 202:1,1,7,14 65:7 70:24 28:8 162:15 195:20 54:14 60 153:21,24 cross-110:2 87:4,15 111:1 Deadline 1:22 54:5 57:18 46:21 172:19,24 110:4,5 122:9 130:3 101:19 140:7 143:5,7 demonstr. 179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 declined 53:12 14:25 17 cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 144:9 146:21 14:25 17 crack 73:12 CSO 152:8 196:15,22 196:15,22 <td>0:9 de,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9</td>	0:9 de,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
114:20 Crosby's 7:1 47:24 48:1,22 29:13,14 35:6 declined 53:13 194:19 covenant 132:7 cross 32:3 53:4 56:15,17 99:22 108:19 56:23 57:16 demand-3 154:3,5 165:13,17 58:15 60:12 108:20 109:11 declines 34:3 demand-3 172:10,11,13 167:5 185:8,9 60:18 61:11 de-reg 180:23 53:16 54:6 28:10,13 172:14 185:11 201:9 62:4 64:19 de-risk 27:13 127:20 195:20 48:8 54: covenants 67:4 202:1,1,7,14 65:7 70:24 28:8 162:15 declining 34:7 46:21 73:18,19 205:12 72:6 85:3,10 dead 152:18 Deadline 1:22 54:5 57:18 46:21 171:25 172:7 cross-claim 111:17 121:24 101:19 140:7 decrease 196:2 46:21 179:21 crossed 38:23 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 77:23 83:12 180:16 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Departments crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt	de ,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
covenant 132:7 cross 32:3 53:4 56:15,17 99:22 108:19 56:23 57:16 demand-3 154:3,5 165:13,17 58:15 60:12 108:20 109:11 declines 34:3 28:10,13 172:10,11,13 167:5 185:8,9 60:18 61:11 de-reg 180:23 53:16 54:6 28:10,13 172:14 185:11 201:9 62:4 64:19 de-risk 27:13 127:20 195:20 48:8 54: covenants 67:4 202:1,1,7,14 65:7 70:24 28:8 162:15 195:20 54:14 60 73:18,19 205:12 72:6 85:3,10 dead 152:18 Deadline 1:22 54:5 57:18 demand-section 171:25 172:7 cross-claim 111:17 121:24 Deadline 1:22 54:5 57:18 decommission Dembla 1-4 179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 dealt 19:6 decrease 196:2 dedicated 35:12 180:16 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department of 12:2 crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10	de ,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
154:3,5 165:13,17 58:15 60:12 108:20 109:11 declines 34:3 demand-s 172:10,11,13 167:5 185:8,9 60:18 61:11 de-reg 180:23 53:16 54:6 28:10,11 172:14 185:11 201:9 62:4 64:19 de-risk 27:13 127:20 195:20 48:8 54: covenants 67:4 202:1,1,7,14 65:7 70:24 28:8 162:15 195:20 54:14 60 73:18,19 205:12 72:6 85:3,10 dead 152:18 declining 34:7 demands 171:25 172:7 cross-claim 111:17 121:24 deal 36:20 63:14 decommission Dembla 1-4 179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 dealt 19:6 decrease 196:2 14:25 17 cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department of 20:2 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department of 20:2 crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10	de ,20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
172:10,11,13 167:5 185:8,9 60:18 61:11 de-reg 180:23 53:16 54:6 28:10,11 172:14 185:11 201:9 62:4 64:19 de-risk 27:13 127:20 195:20 48:8 54: covenants 67:4 202:1,1,7,14 65:7 70:24 28:8 162:15 195:20 54:14 60 73:18,19 205:12 72:6 85:3,10 dead 152:18 declining 34:7 demands 153:21,24 cross- 110:2 87:4,15 111:1 Deadline 1:22 decommission 46:21 172:19,24 110:4,5 122:9 130:3 101:19 140:7 143:5,7 demonstr 179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 dealt 19:6 decrease 196:2 14:25 17 cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department of 12 and 12 and 12 and 12 and 13 and 12 and 12 and 12 and 12 and 12 and 12 and 13 and 12 and 12 and 12 and 13 and 12 and 13 and 14 and	;20 7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
172:14 185:11 201:9 62:4 64:19 de-risk 27:13 127:20 195:20 48:8 54: covenants 67:4 202:1,1,7,14 65:7 70:24 28:8 162:15 195:20 54:14 60 73:18,19 205:12 72:6 85:3,10 dead 152:18 declining 34:7 demands 153:21,24 cross-110:2 87:4,15 111:1 Deadline 1:22 54:5 57:18 decommission 172:19,24 110:4,5 122:9 130:3 101:19 140:7 143:5,7 demonstr 179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 dealt 19:6 decrease 196:2 14:25 17 cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 77:23 83:12 Departments CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Departments crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10 15	7,10 :13 3:24 :7 te 4:9
covenants 67:4 202:1,1,7,14 65:7 70:24 28:8 162:15 195:20 54:14 60 73:18,19 205:12 72:6 85:3,10 dead 152:18 declining 34:7 demands 46:21 153:21,24 cross-110:2 87:4,15 111:1 Deadline 1:22 54:5 57:18 decommission Dembla 14:25:17 172:19,24 110:4,5 122:9 130:3 101:19 140:7 143:5,7 demonstr 179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 dealt 19:6 decrease 196:2 14:25 17 cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 77:23 83:12 180:16 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Departments crack 73:12 CSO 152:8 196:15,22 death 152:12 144:9 146:21 6:12 23: crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10 15	:13 3:24 :7 te 4:9 nt
73:18,19 205:12 72:6 85:3,10 dead 152:18 declining 34:7 demands 46:21 153:21,24 cross-110:2 87:4,15 111:1 Deadline 1:22 54:5 57:18 46:21 171:25 172:7 cross-claim 111:17 121:24 deal 36:20 63:14 decommission Dembla 1-4 172:19,24 110:4,5 122:9 130:3 101:19 140:7 decrease 196:2 demonstr 179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 dealt 19:6 decrease 196:2 14:25 17 cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 77:23 83:12 180:16 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department of 12 and 12 an	3:24 :7 te 4:9
153:21,24 cross-110:2 87:4,15 111:1 Deadline 1:22 54:5 57:18 46:21 171:25 172:7 cross-claim 111:17 121:24 deal 36:20 63:14 decommission Dembla 1:4 172:19,24 110:4,5 122:9 130:3 101:19 140:7 decrease 196:2 143:5,7 demonstrates 179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 dealt 19:6 dedicated 35:12 180:16 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department of 12:2 crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10 15	:7 te 4:9 1t
171:25 172:7 cross-claim 111:17 121:24 deal 36:20 63:14 decommission Dembla 1-12:19 140:7 172:19,24 110:4,5 122:9 130:3 101:19 140:7 143:5,7 demonstrates 179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 dealt 19:6 decrease 196:2 14:25 17 cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 dedicated 35:12 180:16 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department of 12:23 crack 73:12 CSO 152:8 196:15,22 death 152:12 144:9 146:21 6:12 23:25 crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10 15	te 4:9 1t
172:19,24 110:4,5 122:9 130:3 101:19 140:7 143:5,7 demonstrates 179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 dealt 19:6 decrease 196:2 14:25 17 cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 dedicated 35:12 180:16 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department of the properties crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10 15	te 4:9 1t
179:21 crossed 38:23 144:19,22,25 dealt 19:6 decrease 196:2 14:25 17 cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 dedicated 35:12 180:16 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department of the control	4:9 nt
cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 dedicated 35:12 180:16 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department crack 73:12 CSO 152:8 196:15,22 death 152:12 144:9 146:21 6:12 23: crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10	n t .0
cover 171:20 159:20 157:5 162:17 138:19 158:1 dedicated 35:12 180:16 CPO 131:14 crossing 158:25 178:4 195:10 158:1 77:23 83:12 Department crack 73:12 CSO 152:8 196:15,22 death 152:12 144:9 146:21 6:12 23: crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10	0
crack 73:12 CSO 152:8 196:15,22 death 152:12 144:9 146:21 6:12 23:25 24:1 crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10 13:1	0
crack 73:12 CSO 152:8 196:15,22 death 152:12 144:9 146:21 6:12 23:25 24:1 crafted 165:23 cue 10:16 customers' debt 23:25 24:1 179:4 112:10 13:1	0
	25 15
	۷ 3: 15
128:1 131:10	3:2
157:1 curious 130:9 cycle 183:24 35:13,17,21 deem 67:6 73:1 190:10	
created 19:23 131:20 136:1 184:12 73:21 77:24 deep 18:17 dependen	e
28:23 33:12 139:13 184:4 79:4,6 88:7 deeply 23:18 56:3	
83:25 95:15 current 8:4,8,16 D 126:17 127:6 deeply-imbed dependen	
creating 127:1 80:21 92:13 D 1:13 2:1 129:9,12,15 30:18 56:14 18	
creation 15:11 94:23 139:5 daddy 74:25 129:16,24 defend 101:12 depends 8	
127:9 140:17 141:22 daily 13:19 132:16,17,25 defendants 183:10,1	
credible 164:1 142:1,20 damaging 155:7 133:21 144:14 109:6,6 depict 32:	
credit 14:21 154:13 171:19 Dan 1:17 5:11 164:4,4 173:5 defense 141:1 34:13 35	
18:13 121:23	
122:7 155:7 currently 41:13 DANIEL 1:10 195:9,19 defined 91:11 depicts 37	
173:12 68:21 90:12 DANNY 1:10 196:2 197:8 94:13 95:25 deposition	
credits 122:5 92:2 93:7 data 95:18 197:11 203:25 98:10,16 141:16	
123:12 94:17 143:14 date 2:14 204:7,7 102:18,22 deposition	š
critical 74:11 Curtis 84:18 117:21,22 decade 56:23,25 103:19,21,23 191:15	
99:19 114:25 curve 53:13 118:6 141:25 57:3 59:13 104:2,16 depth 44:2	0
128:10,11 57:4,15,18 207:6 December definitely 49:8 88:13 15	
176:21 195:25 curves 47:10 dates 59:23 144:13,14 58:17 deregulate	
201:12 189:20 99:14 decide 99:21 definitive 133:7	
critically 64:2 customer 13:20 daughter 18:4,6 decided 38:25 108:15 112:25 deregulation	n
69:14 88:16 18:17 22:13 daughter-in- 93:24 106:19 113:5 122:2 133:7,8,	
criticized 177:2 22:20,23 23:3 18:5 decision 17:20 degree 17:13 describe 2	
179:13 28:20 29:20 daughters 43:16 67:5,11 73:8 40:21 76:15 123:17	
Cromer 1:10 29:20 37:21 David 12:1 73:10,14 78:21 88:14 design 22:	6,17
106:4,5,15,17 37:25 39:12 Davis 1:11 74:12 132:13 125:23 159:12 26:12 16	
107:10,19 44:17 46:1 171:3,4,10,17 139:19 156:15 171:11 178:18 designed 6	
108:9 115:4 47:22 66:18 172:2,16 180:7 192:10 Delano 15:8 64:17 63	
116:9,23 70:24 85:1,6 173:20,24 192:23 deliver 27:13 desire 17:	
117:4,18 85:16,16,22 174:4,14 decisions 42:9 28:8 31:20 78:10 12	
118:4,12,15	
121:7,15,22	
121:7,13,22	
123:7,25	0:5
124:1,4,9 customer-own 179:10 180:10 91:15,17 delivery 13:20 21:1,5	٠.٠

					Page 215
	1	1	1		
detail 9:18	37:13 55:25	76:18 89:8	94:3 185:16	182:23 183:8	11:11 30:5
159:1	56:4 59:24	116:21 127:12	dollars 56:16	184:7,10	87:10
details 101:7	71:24 91:13	137:20 157:3	100:6,9 154:2	185:9 186:6	effects 32:20
determine	91:14 117:25	158:7 159:25	162:7 187:10	186:10 187:17	33:20 34:2
179:16 180:24	124:19 127:5	dismissal	188:16 204:7	188:6,10,14	35:7 38:14
determined	175:9,10	109:25	Dominion 38:19	188:18,22	efficiencies
122:1,13,21	179:15 185:10	dispatch 166:15	109:8,10	189:5,16,24	178:23
179:14 181:12	difficult 79:17	205:7	110:5,23	190:11	efficiency 43:25
develop 20:25	173:4	display 29:11	111:24,25	due 55:14 79:12	47:22 67:2
52:2 53:8	difficulties	disposal 67:8	112:6,8,19,21	Duke 155:22	186:15 187:9
57:12 152:21	80:12	dispose 76:18	115:23,25	duration 71:12	effort 13:2,3
153:2	difficulty 24:24	dissimilar	119:23 120:5	duties 83:19	48:25 68:2
developable	59:10 199:4	131:11 132:11	121:19 155:23	duty 13:8 84:5	198:14
146:22	diligence 79:12	distributed	165:9,15,20		efforts 146:13
developed 16:25	direct 50:16	60:10	Dorchester	E	eggs 30:16
20:5 24:19	68:25 106:6	distribution	102:17	E 2:1,1	eight 9:12,15
44:14 50:18	110:2 150:4,6	85:3,4,12	dotted 38:23	earlier 87:7	12:20 33:10
60:17 79:2	157:5 173:24	distributor	150:8 159:19	101:17 110:9	44:15 50:11
153:11 174:17	directed 113:11	178:16	dotting 158:25	112:2 125:12	51:14 92:16
developer 53:4	direction 16:24	diverse 22:2	double 18:12,12	158:6 161:19	92:20 164:8
developers 28:7	17:3 20:16	55:23 56:19	Drafting 67:6	162:22 164:20	183:3,3
53:8 58:13,14	41:17 69:12	diversification	dramatic 20:15	170:9 182:16	either 139:20
64:19 181:6	195:1	202:5	20:17 29:19	183:4 188:3	140:13 142:1
187:19,24	directly 84:11	diversity 64:23	dramatically	193:5 196:11	142:21 151:13
developing 21:5	84:15,23	dividends 34:6	29:22 53:13	early 26:7,10	electable 164:4
28:1 48:23	148:12,13	divisions 101:1	draw 99:22	53:22 205:2	election 190:11
62:10 72:18	196:25 198:14	DNR 146:4	195:12	earn 75:15	electric 12:19
152:9 153:14	Director 8:9	DOA 13:16	drawing 93:2	203:21	20:2 68:16
development	12:1,2,2,3	33:23 36:1,5	95:2,3	earned 168:17	109:2
15:22 23:8,10	Directors 5:12	37:9 38:9,25	drawn 196:25	earnings 98:18	electricity 47:25
23:12,15,17	31:10 71:3	79:5 101:13	drive 27:22	102:3	48:2
23:20 24:16	111:19	126:20 133:18	84:23 180:4	earns 103:25,25	electrifying
31:23 40:19	disagreements	136:24	driven 1:22	ease 111:17	15:9
47:4 53:3 56:5	80:19	DOA's 126:17	32:24 44:14	Easley 12:2	element 52:13
58:12 66:17	discount 37:12	doable 169:12	84:20,21		52:20 57:25
70:2 71:1	37:13,15,17		driver 169:4	east 185:3	64:21
72:24 83:20	39:6 134:13	document 104:12 163:21	drives 73:24	economic 15:22	
				23:8,9,11,15	elements 45:8
83:21 84:3	134:15 discounted	documentation 96:21 97:10	164:4,4 173:20,22	23:17,20	76:12 159:13
developments				24:16 30:5	187:11
60:11	134:4	163:17	205:14	66:17 70:25	eligible 91:3
devoted 152:9	discretion 96:13	documents	driving 33:5	84:3 166:15	else's 131:5
DHEC 23:7	97:2 189:4	79:11 97:12	99:17 155:16	202:10,11	189:4
dialogue 60:24	discuss 70:1	108:16	155:17	205:7,15,17	embrace 44:22
die 151:20	108:12 143:23	doing 27:22	drove 45:6	economical	47:12 48:7
difference 13:10	discussed 59:18	41:23 50:15	Duckworth	185:6,6	emissions 44:9
36:10 37:12	61:3 64:24	60:16,17 61:9	1:17 12:15,16	economics	emphasis 31:7
38:7 39:8,9	69:22 111:6	66:13 69:17	13:1,12 14:3	84:20 186:22	31:14 35:11
70:10 99:23	113:22	71:17 74:8	21:9,18 27:4	187:7	83:23
101:16 134:2	discussion	80:24,24	42:10,22,23	economies	emphasize
134:3 141:9	31:13 63:8	87:13,22	43:1,2,5 48:11	31:25 87:14	36:10 173:19
147:13,16	64:8 112:3	128:14 131:19	48:14 58:22	economy 34:9	emphasizing
164:3 195:5	128:9 176:3	133:12 135:18	58:25 59:5	education 15:18	30:13
195:25 197:10	198:1	156:20,21	62:19,23 63:3	Edwards 20:4,4	employ 60:12
differences	discussions	177:24 179:5	63:15,18,22	effect 105:16,17	employee 8:14
76:21 196:4	40:12 45:21	190:2 206:12	64:2 83:17	105:19	8:15,15 51:6
different 28:17	59:24 60:1	DOJ 191:5	106:12 127:15	effective 59:15	100:8 103:16
29:8 34:20,22	62:23 63:4	199:2	134:22 162:3	179:1	103:18 104:6
34:25 35:3,3	64:5 75:22	dollar 33:3,3	169:23 182:19	effectively	104:13 146:14
	ı		ı		

					Page 216
	I	I		I	
employees 8:4,8	engagement	essentially	170:2,11,14	12:8	34:10 169:9
8:12,16 50:22	67:12 70:11	175:8	205:18,19	expert 13:4 78:5	factors 35:24
50:23,24 51:4	engine 51:22	establish 178:5	exchanges	82:23 156:11	54:22 164:23
51:11 76:5	engineer 12:22	178:8	59:20,25 63:4	156:14 166:6	failure 11:12,12
82:8,12 89:5	engineering	established 66:9	excuse 109:6	expertise 42:2	124:21
89:13 92:1,2	17:13,22	94:19 177:16	116:4 120:25	68:3,15	failures 13:18
92:24 93:3,10	Engineers 203:3	180:4	198:20	146:15	fair 11:10 36:9
93:11,16	engines 23:15	estate 84:3	execs 25:2	experts 68:18	66:18 106:20
94:12 102:20	enhanced 72:4	146:15	execute 13:13	68:21,23 69:1	122:11 138:3
103:8,8	72:15	estimate 163:10	13:19	156:9,11	138:4 180:11
112:16 140:18	enhancement	estimated	execution	expires 207:20	Fairfield 112:13
141:22 142:1	91:12 95:25	105:18 148:5	109:11 117:21	explain 70:5	169:15 183:24
147:23 151:2	enjoyed 81:1	149:12	executive 5:17	158:23	184:7,19
151:7 185:22	enormous 25:18	estimation	5:20 12:8 20:3	explaining 55:2	fairly 32:3
190:25 193:24	ensure 49:23	84:15	82:19 90:10	86:5	184:1 185:11
198:3 199:16	60:17	et 17:15 79:13	101:14 103:1	explore 178:18	fall 189:9
employees'	ensuring 44:17	88:25,25	104:1	exploring	familiar 38:16
148:11	entail 107:6	153:16,16	exempt 19:23	172:20	72:13 98:20
employer 100:6	enter 27:24 92:5	eternally 75:14	exempted 4:13	exposes 170:4	175:4,11,16
100:9	110:7 162:12	evaluate 3:15	exemptions 4:6	exposure 164:3	family 17:14
employment	entered 115:19	evaluations	112:11	164:3	43:10,13,14
17:19 19:2	enterprise	166:1,2	exhibits 2:15	expression 34:4	75:12
Empower 28:23	31:21	evening 206:22	exist 83:24	extend 109:19	fancy 51:21
enable 74:1	entire 33:9	event 109:22	190:3	extended	84:8
enabled 83:10	108:6 192:22	118:7	existence 66:8	164:18	far 123:8,14
enables 46:18	entirely 29:23	events 207:11	75:1	extension	125:20 136:8
enabling 164:16	entirety 202:1	eventually	existential	183:15 184:24	137:20 180:23
enact 173:10	entities 23:13	111:20 156:2	123:18	extensive 31:5	205:5
enacted 159:14	108:22 111:20	everybody	existing 52:15	extent 111:15	farming 17:8
enacting 172:18	entitled 98:18	16:12 133:12	82:24 153:25	148:2 171:23	43:10
enclosed 76:11	entity 23:12	133:12 147:18	171:25 172:19	179:6,20	fashion 189:6
encountered	100:14,18	ex 33:13	172:24 179:20	external 69:2	fast 20:4 45:22
80:12	130:4	exact 23:14	exists 15:21	156:10,23	45:24
encourage	entrance 97:13	59:23	expand 194:6	extraordinarily	fast-forwarding
89:14,15	envious 23:18	exactly 38:17	expansive 116:3	79:17,18	195:15
endorsement	environment	40:4 87:13	expect 41:3	extraordinary	faster 169:3
65:22	180:22	100:20 101:9	106:25	109:23	father 74:18
ends 33:19 42:9	environmental	160:8 189:24	expeditiously	extremely 61:2	favor 39:9
72:1 85:4	23:6 44:18	examine 169:4	168:24 169:2	79:21	favorable 34:8
energy 5:14 7:2	71:1 84:4	202:12	expenditure	eye 65:15	favorably 73:11
15:18 22:6	environments	example 28:22	195:16 196:3		February 1:4
28:3,13,18	76:10	48:6 55:10	expenditures	$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{F}}$	59:22 207:13
29:3,6,12,12	envision 69:18	56:2,6 60:24	27:10,14	fabulous 22:7,9	federal 105:21
29:16 30:8	175:23	examples 47:11	130:4 131:4	faced 126:12	203:18,20
43:23,24,24	EPC 52:11	55:17 126:15	161:23 162:4	facility 131:22	feed 17:14,15,15
47:22 53:9,10	equal 94:23	exceed 94:22	162:7,23	169:15 170:22	17:15
53:17,25 54:2	equipment 76:1	excellence 14:25	163:3 164:8	facing 136:17	feel 101:8
55:3,3,4,6,8	76:3,6,9,10,12	18:17 85:22	expense 111:17	fact 23:19 28:2	169:11
55:11 60:10	76:16,19,22	excellent 88:12	expenses 32:25	38:24 53:21	feeling 166:8
60:23,24 62:6	78:13	122:1	32:25 33:1,5	80:16 82:13	fees 111:7,8
62:8 72:20,21	equity 197:13	exceptions	129:23,24,25	83:25 85:15	120:13 141:5
110:6 166:20	197:14	109:20	171:21	112:3 152:11	142:1
178:17 202:5	eradicate 15:15	excess 25:12,13	expensive 25:22	153:23 159:12	felt 114:13
engage 48:22	escape 118:20	25:15,16,17	experience	159:15 165:25	Ferry 19:4
66:16 68:18	118:21	25:17,21,24	12:16 15:2,4	166:3 173:10	FERT 145:25
68:21	escrow 77:12	25:25 26:20	30:15 85:18	178:1,6 190:6	146:1
engaged 19:5	especially 178:2	77:17 148:6,8	130:5	191:4	fifth 204:10
68:22 76:17	204:13	169:19,20	experienced	factor 33:23	figure 57:9
<u> </u>					

					Page 217
	ı	1	1	1	1
78:22 120:13	98:12 106:23	football 17:10	51:17,19,24	141:4 152:8	48:5 51:22
120:16	108:14 115:18	101:6	75:9 78:25	170:3 184:21	52:5,7 56:4,8
figured 59:3	128:6 136:7	Forano 101:13	89:4	190:19,20	56:11,11,14
128:5	136:19 140:12	forbid 151:21	founding 19:15	Fuller 76:7	56:16 60:25
filed 116:15	200:16 201:3	force 22:2	19:21	fully 51:14	61:2 87:3,3
120:24	201:25 202:11	Ford 189:19	four 11:25 12:4	59:15 127:23	127:14,17,19
filtered 68:24	fit 57:9,13 66:12	forecast 16:25	38:12 39:2	127:24 152:15	146:9 169:14
final 74:12	five 19:15,21	32:18 33:11	45:10 67:10	function 59:17	170:21 183:13
108:17 124:3	29:13 50:10	35:16 36:2	74:11 88:6	84:14	183:15,16
finality 126:10	90:12 92:13	79:2 80:14	104:15 158:11	functioning	184:21 185:12
finalize 118:2	92:23 94:2	126:7 142:22	158:16 164:12	59:16	186:1 187:5
finalized 62:24	104:15 120:22	159:5	164:16,17	functions 84:6	189:14,16
63:10	131:2 183:3	forecasts 127:22	169:25 182:3	fund 94:20	190:5,7 202:3
finally 74:6	193:15,16	foregoing 207:6	183:18 185:9	104:25 110:24	205:22
finance 1:3 2:11	195:15	207:8	193:13 202:7	111:8,11	gas-fire 169:21
4:15 5:3,5	five-year 60:6	forget 17:25	four-year	144:14 148:12	gas-fired 163:8
12:21 19:24	fixed 18:24 28:6	form 19:2 70:12	109:19 158:17	149:5	gas-powered
20:3 68:20	186:2 195:6	95:12,14	159:2	fundamental	169:25
122:22 130:3	205:11,13	165:2 175:14	fourth 203:1	24:5 46:24	geared 68:16
131:6,12	flavor 70:6	formal 41:14	Fracking 190:6	49:22 50:21	Gees 95:5
192:7 193:2	fleet 27:11	132:23	190:9	51:13 184:18	general 5:13
finances 18:25	130:10	formalized	frame 38:2,2,3	fundamentally	10:13 66:5,9
financial 21:20	flexibility 46:18	63:10	49:21,22	178:25 190:6	66:12 70:23
23:23 24:2	67:1 159:14	formed 19:13	50:22 53:12	funded 104:4	73:22 114:14
58:17 65:20	flexible 56:19	former 5:17,18	54:3 79:18	funding 101:25	150:7 154:20
66:15 84:2	131:9	5:19 8:16 25:2	81:19 95:16	203:18,20	155:11,20
196:23 197:4	floor 138:11,16	25:9 81:6 92:1	108:18 130:25	funds 40:4	168:11 192:10
197:5	157:17,25	93:10 142:1	163:13 170:24	101:23 102:3	generality
find 8:3 19:5	flow 23:25	152:8	175:14	111:2 143:5,7	130:13
21:23 75:4	123:3 129:22	forms 132:9	Franklin 15:8	143:9 144:9	generally
80:6,20,23	130:1 166:1,2	133:13,14	free 143:2	144:16 149:4	132:21,24
136:17 142:16	166:21 203:5	forth 59:25 63:7	freeze 109:17,20	203:11,12,12	generate 32:6
188:8 193:10	203:5	87:21 119:2	114:5,6	204:4,6	174:9 177:5
196:13 200:19	flows 29:18 30:3	197:1	158:11,17	furnish 91:24	180:16 185:7
fine 36:9 137:17	FLOYD 1:6	fortunate 17:23	159:3,3,7,18	91:25	186:2
150:1 154:19	focus 22:14 23:1	41:14	fresh 140:7	further 112:20	generated
finish 11:21	23:9 39:11	fortunately 35:4	friend 20:4	118:14 125:1	129:11
144:1 200:11	40:6 44:17	forum 180:15	friends 90:7	163:6 207:10	Generates
206:15	67:10 71:11	181:3	155:19	Furthermore	23:24
finished 97:23	71:16,16	forward 3:20	front 14:16	111:12	generating
98:25 100:2	76:15 83:21	23:22 26:2,4	37:11 182:1	future 14:1 15:3	25:19 26:23
finishes 90:22	85:21 153:13	27:8 31:14,25	203:23 204:3	18:20 20:16	45:11 81:25
fired 26:23	173:23	35:1 42:15,22	fronted 203:18	25:7 46:6,9,15	131:3,7 144:7
151:15	focused 1:22	46:4,23 58:20	fruit 87:12	47:16 61:16	146:6 166:24
firm 19:4	31:21 40:21	61:1,13 68:12	fuel 32:25,25	79:23 80:2	169:7 202:14
firms 120:22	131:1 142:16	78:9,14 79:22	33:1,5,10	87:4 88:17	generation 13:6
first 10:3,10,12	focuses 88:18	80:1 84:17	129:25 159:8	89:3 92:5	14:23 60:13
18:7 19:12,22	152:5,5	87:15 88:19	159:9,9	162:15 172:23	73:23 84:18
22:2,11 29:15	FOIA 67:21	114:9,10	163:12 186:15	179:19 188:25	84:21 88:15
30:21 32:22	70:17	128:15 135:6	fuels 14:23	202:1	88:15 146:9
38:12 39:1	folks 12:5	136:12 137:6	112:14		159:23 160:14
41:6 49:17,22	115:24 118:6	151:18 158:18	fulfilling 175:20	G	161:11,13
52:7 63:11	200:12	161:23 162:4	fulfillment	G 1:8,11 2:1	164:24 167:14
78:19 84:9	follow 75:18	172:3 175:1	159:17	Gaffney 74:21	167:22 168:7
85:15 87:2,16	102:16 196:8	194:24	full 11:16 52:9	74:23 121:8	168:13 174:22
87:17 89:22	followed 169:24	found 17:1,19	61:10 87:20	gallon 29:2	177:21
89:24 90:17	following 49:12	20:9 21:24	89:12 110:1	games 17:10	generator 51:23
92:12 98:4,11	169:25	29:9 49:3 50:9	111:13 135:10	gas 32:25 45:20	178:15 186:9
	I	I	I	l	

					rage 216
	l	l		l	
gentleman	111:16 119:21	138:9 140:10	12:12 20:17	102:4,7,10	185:25
192:3	121:19 122:9	140:20 142:4	21:21 39:18	158:4,5,10	happens 73:7
gentlemen	123:8,14	144:5 147:11	39:19,20	159:21 160:12	140:1 151:22
15:24 108:9	126:15 127:5	148:24 152:22	48:20 66:1,2,4	160:17,20	190:10
197:19 198:2	131:9 138:10	152:23 154:8	66:6,10 67:9	161:9,16,19	happy 9:4 42:4
					110
206:16,17	143:16 145:8	154:22 157:11	69:25 106:18	162:22 164:7	199:13 206:9
Georgetown	147:23 157:11	157:13,16	107:25 108:2	164:11,15	hard 36:18
169:24	157:17 161:25	158:18,24	113:18,21,24	165:1,4	65:14 79:16
getting 16:8	170:8 176:17	161:23 162:4	114:4,10,11	167:13,18,22	130:18 137:15
25:18 85:22	177:24 179:25	171:7 172:3	114:20,21	168:4,10,20	179:8
107:4,5 117:5	187:22 188:8	172:21 173:2	115:2 119:9	168:23 169:13	harder 73:5
141:8,9	188:12 190:5	175:2 176:17	119:11 135:25	169:18 170:8	harvested 81:7
143:18 165:4	190:5,5,8	176:19,24	governed	170:20 171:1	HARVEY 1:8
		· ·			
167:11 178:3	191:22 192:5	177:1 183:12	172:24	194:7,10	hate 76:24
180:2,6 181:5	197:18 200:12	183:25 187:1	government	195:12,13	head 1:23 12:21
191:7 194:22	200:17 202:25	188:8,11,15	101:1	196:8,10,21	81:8,10,13,15
203:4	205:22 206:5	189:7,14,25	Governor 67:22	197:3,17	83:18 142:3
Gillens 14:8	goal 41:7,9 67:4	191:19,22	68:8	198:11,13,18	173:3 195:13
girl 18:2	161:24	192:14 193:10	Graham 20:3,4	ground 16:21	headhunter
girls 18:7	goals 46:24	194:10,14,24	grandchild 18:4	20:24	20:12
gist 179:25	God 151:21	196:3 197:19	grandchildren	group 70:12,16	health 148:10
give 16:22 28:22		198:7 199:1,7	18:8	71:7 72:22	148:11,25
~	goes 40:1 73:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· ·
48:12 65:23	126:24 143:21	199:12,23	granddaughte	88:3	149:18,18
70:8 91:6	145:2 162:19	200:11,22	43:16	groups 71:24	hear 26:2 33:16
93:24 106:24	194:25	201:1 202:2	grandfather	growing 17:8	36:19 41:20
107:1 143:3	going 2:9 3:8,14	202:12 203:6	74:18,20	204:13,13	58:24 65:21
173:2 175:13	10:12 13:25	203:19 204:23	grandson 18:24	grown 55:18	65:24 71:23
175:16 182:13	15:25 21:16	206:13	grant 203:3	guess 106:6	74:14 133:20
191:21 198:8	21:18,19,21	going-forward	graphic 194:17	124:5 131:18	134:5 152:6
206:8	22:14 23:22	63:12	grateful 75:14	133:21 138:8	165:8 179:3
given 17:3 35:10	26:2,4 27:7	good 8:17 11:4	great 5:6 13:7	164:18 171:17	179:12 192:4
79:19 81:22	29:13 31:14	11:4 16:2,3	13:19,22,23	171:23 172:16	193:1
112:12 137:22	31:24 34:20	23:19 24:4,5,5	13:23,24,24	172:20 174:23	heard 59:11
190:8	35:1,8,19	25:6 35:2 37:3	15:6 16:10	176:15,23	60:2 67:18
gives 163:11	36:20 38:18	37:4 42:23	18:12,18 23:8	177:8 200:25	69:10 92:18
giving 33:14	39:3,13 40:4	54:10 55:24	23:19 31:14	guidance 13:5	95:24 101:13
90:8 104:5	40:17 41:19	59:14 60:1	90:3 152:14	87:2	101:16 115:7
155:1 169:5	42:10,15	62:23 65:25	greater 35:11	guys 172:17	115:12 116:9
glad 113:6	44:16,19	75:10 88:22	41:1 68:3,3	203:23	121:11,18
179:3	45:23 46:4,23	90:2,18,19	69:18 81:11		124:20 133:17
Glendale 17:7	47:10 48:19	91:1,8 99:22	127:21	Н	154:4 158:12
Glibowski	49:7 53:13	113:19 121:1	greatly 72:15	half 49:19,19	161:19,20
110:21	61:13 65:13	123:8,13	green 36:1	52:9 55:11	165:1 171:12
global 110:15	68:12 70:13	124:15 135:22	38:11	146:21,22	193:22 198:18
0				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
115:1,18,22	71:5 77:3 78:1	139:25 157:12	greener 30:20	162:7 163:13	199:5
115:23 116:2	80:11 82:21	163:15 178:13	30:23 47:8	Hall 84:4	hearing 24:25
116:6,8 119:2	87:14 88:5,18	179:8 180:6,7	56:24 75:5,7	146:19	77:2 86:8
120:4	88:19 99:18	181:23,24	GREENVILLE	hand 6:18 9:25	103:15 142:9
go 2:18 5:4 10:8	100:5 104:17	182:23 186:16	1:23	122:16 207:12	153:22 179:21
10:14 20:22	105:19 106:10	188:5 195:1	GREG 1:12	handle 2:9	206:23 207:6
29:1 36:5	106:23 114:10	199:14 202:10	GREGORY	handout 2:22	207:7
37:25 38:22	115:12 118:4	205:5,7	1:12	hands 73:22	hearings 1:3
50:15 53:5	118:19 121:8	206:21	grew 43:9 75:3	handshake	2:13 11:21
56:6,12 62:9	121:17,19,23	Gore 14:9	grocery 29:1	113:3	71:20 72:7
62:10 72:2,3,5	126:22 127:2	gotten 94:17	Grooms 1:9 4:1	happen 56:14	heart 63:14,20
72:20 73:4					
	128:14 134:18	153:10,12	4:2,3,11,19	165:16	heat 186:14,19
82:1,4 89:19	135:19 136:12	188:7	100:11,13,22	happened 19:3	186:19
90:24 98:17	137:6 138:5,6	governance	101:18,21,23	happening	heavily 56:14
	1	1	1	1	1

					Page 219
205:10,18	holdup 169:1	ideas 60:21 63:2	improve 60:22	independence	83:19,20
heavy 205:11	home 18:3 29:4	63:3,6 83:24	87:14 136:12	68:4,4,5	innovative 23:8
		identification			
hedge 127:13 189:23,25	75:8,12 84:24	160:3	improved 44:9	independent 68:18,19	input 49:5
202:3	homes 28:14	idle 159:24	187:15	69:16 156:23	inputs 53:7
	144:23 145:2		improvement		inputted 79:21
hedged 127:18	145:5,6,7	165:16,16	20:17 132:18	174:5,14	ins 100:21
127:23	Hometown 17:7	III 1:10	132:24	175:21,25	inserts 111:16
hedges 128:1,5	hook 56:15	illustrate 196:1	inattentive	180:14	inside 153:2,13
held 160:1	117:6,23,25	illustrated	78:16	independently	insight 74:1
203:11,12,13	118:5 195:10	32:11,14	incentive 91:2,6	178:8	132:17
help 13:13 17:1	195:20	imagination	93:25	indicate 162:2	install 28:25
84:23 85:23	hooked 85:3,10	170:19	include 4:5	indicated 7:12	instances 85:6
90:7 106:11	hope 3:8 4:15	imbedded 33:22	12:11 32:20	78:19,21	institution
136:3,11	4:21 43:18	40:7	39:18,19	127:15	20:10,16,18
156:14 161:22	75:15 128:25	impact 74:2	108:2 109:1	indicating 65:24	22:10 23:24
161:24	hopeful 159:16	123:10	110:4 113:17	157:14	34:5 41:8,11
helped 16:23	hopefully 10:14	impanel 156:10	114:7 119:8	indications	instruments
helpful 9:6	37:6 74:14	impediment	119:10 136:24	27:25 163:16	127:16
191:9	128:21,22	171:22	159:22 174:25	163:24 178:9	insurance
helping 41:14	129:2 152:18	implement	included 5:11	indices 180:6	148:25 149:18
48:1	193:9	57:24 58:3,6	107:15,24	individual	149:19
helps 65:1 130:3	Horry 207:13	61:15,19 64:9	110:25 112:10	14:16 37:20	integrated 44:4
HEMBREE	horse 17:15	64:25 65:8	includes 2:15	97:13 156:8	61:22 62:5
1:12	hosted 17:9	87:21 175:16	53:11 110:2	individual's	70:12,14
hereunto	hour 28:3 33:6	implementation	184:11	90:15	72:16,19
207:12	33:20 42:15	61:7 64:12	including 23:25	individuals 7:7	173:17
heritage 15:6	131:9 138:10	135:7	70:22,24	7:11 76:3	integration
Hess 200:17	185:13,16,18	implemented	100:23 120:3	91:14 140:24	178:15
hey 180:7	186:7,16	65:4	124:21 145:11	199:3	integrity 66:15
high 17:11	187:10,16	implementing	income 103:23	industrial 85:16	intend 40:13
22:13,21	188:1	54:10 74:9	incorporated	industry 19:12	86:25 177:23
64:16 185:17	hourly 141:17	83:15 84:12	11:18	19:15 83:24	201:20
186:6	hours 28:5	179:11	incorporates	152:20	intended 110:15
higher 37:18	185:15,23	implication	57:21	infer 174:5	intention
38:12 56:5	house 18:24	40:15 169:6	increase 33:8,10	inflation 32:20	122:23 123:17
hills 18:11	housekeeping	implications	56:16 122:25	33:21,21,23	intentionally
HILTON 1:23	2:10	82:7	increased 92:4	34:1,2 41:7	195:18 196:2
hire 86:24,24	HR 81:2,3	imply 153:22	increases 33:4	influence 34:9	intents 46:24
hired 17:4 19:4	huge 147:13,15	importance	41:6	information	79:19 166:18
78:17 89:3	167:5	84:20	increasing	2:13 4:5,14	interest 92:9
146:14	HUGH 1:6	important	195:23	9:23 17:6 22:6	103:25 116:17
hiring 12:14	human 22:3	28:16 46:7	increasingly-f	30:2,3 47:23	129:15 144:7
156:12,13	81:3 84:9,10	55:24 56:18	34:9	53:7 59:21	144:10 173:11
historical	84:13 169:6	57:25 63:19	incredible 22:9	63:5 90:9	interested 26:18
189:19	humbled 11:15	63:25 64:3,7	incremental	94:16 100:1	33:15 60:3
historically	hundreds 44:1	67:16 69:12	32:5 64:13,14	107:1 128:20	207:11
136:23 177:19	hung 88:2	69:14 73:16	189:7	178:7 187:17	interesting
history 85:19	hungry 157:14	75:3 80:10,25	increments	informational	136:18 138:16
86:14 175:19	hyper 145:18	84:14 88:17	44:21 46:17	187:20	interests 62:3
199:1		111:21 159:8	47:1 194:24	initial 88:6	interim 60:8
hit 16:21 18:3	I	166:16 177:7	incur 28:18	161:2	internal 150:7
20:24	I's 38:23 158:25	192:23 202:6	incurring	initially 92:21	internally-gen
hold 31:19 41:8	159:19	importantly	205:13	93:15 203:24	129:21
41:10 43:3,18	i.e 76:13	24:17	indebtedness	injure 159:17	internet 193:20
71:2,20 72:7	ICF 142:19	impossible	172:3,23	160:9	interrupt 3:2
78:22 204:19	idea 15:9 104:24	56:11 79:20	179:19	innovation	14:14 39:13
			indemnification	44:22 47:4,12	76:25 86:4
holdings 145:23	ideal 180:23	impression	muciminication	11.22 1/.1412	70.23 00.4
holdings 145:23 holds 88:25				-	
holdings 145:23 holds 88:25	ideally 180:22	103:18	112:18	48:7 60:10	interview 24:9

					Page 220
	I	1	1		
interviewed	129:3 140:8	keeper 157:13	75:18 77:22	19:13,22 22:3	16:2 19:17
20:13 22:25	172:4 186:25	keeping 76:15	78:10 82:23	46:20 64:15	24:23 33:14
introduce 11:25	issuing 199:14	86:5	83:3,6 85:24	146:5 166:25	39:13,16,20
193:23 194:2	It'll 18:1	Keller 165:10	86:25 87:7	207:5,19	42:14,20,25
introduced	items 2:11 3:7	166:6,7	97:5 99:19	LARRY 1:9	48:11 58:22
193:23 194:2	63:8	KENT 1:7	100:20,21	Lastly 15:6	62:16,21 63:1
invested 102:3		kept 44:9 80:10	101:2,7,10,10	late 26:9 163:25	63:13,16,20
investigation	J	80:25 128:22	116:9 117:18	205:2	63:24 65:17
199:3	Jacksonville	128:24 189:3	119:15 122:8	launch 88:3	69:19,22 70:6
investment	20:1	205:6	125:4,8 129:1	law 18:6 72:5	70:10 77:25
27:12 188:21	January 59:22	KEVIN 1:15	135:13,21	97:18 109:22	78:8,12 80:3,6
investor 168:6	126:9 137:1	key 13:10,12	137:15 138:24	133:9 153:25	82:15,17 83:1
invitation 20:12	137:12 144:11	46:13 74:10	144:15 152:19	154:1	83:5 86:1,3,9
198:24	JD 18:16	166:9 169:4	153:9,10,12	LAWRENCE	86:16,20
invite 72:8 78:6	Jeff 14:9	174:16 177:12	153:15 154:5	1:9	89:18 90:1,5
invited 6:1,5	Jim 5:19	kicked 76:2	157:7 164:15	lawsuit 106:25	90:18,24
11:22 200:19	job 51:5,7 75:9	kidding 202:23	166:6 175:2	107:6 108:23	91:19,22 92:7
involve 49:1	75:10 78:23	202:24	175:15,21	115:21,25	95:6 97:23
involved 6:12	80:9,24 82:5	kids 15:12,17	176:1,12,25	120:24	98:2,24 99:3
61:25 78:5	jobs 24:16	kill 151:21	177:3,18	lawsuits 117:7	100:2,11
96:18 154:25	JOHN 1:7,14	killing 21:4	178:21 180:12	lawyer 76:25	101:18,22
157:2,5	Johnson 1:15	kilowatt 28:3,5	180:24 181:25	78:4	102:15 103:10
177:13	4:25 5:2,6,8	33:6,20	187:15 188:25	lawyers 38:20	104:22 105:1
involvement	138:18,22	185:23 186:16	189:16 193:4	107:16 118:1	105:8,12,15
97:9 171:8,11	139:2,13	187:10	194:15 201:8	lay-down 76:13	105:18,21
IOU 18:15	181:18,19,21	kilter 173:5	206:12	Layton 5:17	106:2 107:22
171:13 176:9	join 20:21	Kim 84:7	knowing 124:24	Le 110:20	108:5 113:8
177:8	joint 2:12 80:7	kind 21:13	knowledge 20:9	lead 178:19	113:14,17,20
IOUs 176:19	87:6 192:5	25:14 27:25	155:21,24	183:9	114:1,11,17
IPP 179:16	jointly 146:3	30:10 40:11	156:7 161:1	leader 12:8	118:17,21,24
IPPs 174:25	jointly- 60:16	42:6,8 54:11	192:4	leaders 13:10,13	119:1,4,8,12
177:5,21	jot 99:5	56:12 62:21	knows 38:17	14:2,17 18:20	119:14 121:6
IR 72:22	Jr 1:7,8,11,14	88:14 104:25	40:4	leadership	124:1,7,10
IRP 48:24 70:12	191:21 192:16	116:7 126:13	Korn 19:4	11:12,12 12:7	138:5,15,21
70:13 71:4	judge 108:11	128:15 130:25	Kristofer 12:2	13:18 14:11	139:14 152:24
72:14 88:3	judgment 66:23	133:4,8	KV 71:14	15:3 78:17	157:12,16,21
174:4,17	July 16:21	135:12,13		124:21	157:24 165:2
175:5	20:23 140:5	144:5 164:2	L	leading 23:11	171:2 190:16
IRS 94:19,22	141:25	166:19 194:25	L 1:14,15	leads 127:20	190:19 191:7
issuance 132:16	jump 43:7	195:4 204:20	L&R 194:17,18	leaner 30:19,23	191:14,17,19
132:17 133:1	jurisdiction	205:17	ladies 108:9	47:7 56:24	192:2 193:8
issue 22:24	114:21 176:8	kinds 25:21	lady 145:14,16	learned 11:14	194:4,13
25:16 40:8	176:10 192:8	27:24 31:19	145:20,20	11:18 15:2	196:9 197:16
63:15,21 71:7	192:13	44:25 64:6	203:6	179:8	197:21,24,25
82:11 86:14	Justice 6:12	127:16 162:16	lake 201:15	learning 30:18	198:5,7,12,15
87:7 92:9 95:9	38:22 107:6	163:16	203:7,25	176:24	198:21 199:9
114:19,24	107:16 108:10	Kissam 166:7	204:4,5	leaseholders	199:19,22
132:16,16	111:22	knew 21:12	lakes 145:10,20	201:15	200:3,8,14
135:25 205:7		74:22 101:3	145:24	leaseholders'	202:25 204:17
issued 79:2	K	115:11 133:12	land 12:3 145:9	201:14	204:21 206:11
126:23 154:2	K 1:6,9,12,19	know 6:12	145:11,14,14	Leatherman 1:6	206:21
172:10,12	207:4,18	17:10 22:19	145:19,23,25	2:2,8 3:1,13	leave 15:1 47:13
199:18 203:25	KARL 1:14	22:23,24 23:1	146:1,11,12	3:23 4:17 5:1	48:7 51:9 91:7
issues 51:19	keep 80:11	23:13 33:1,16	146:25 147:7	5:21 6:21 7:4	91:19 103:17
63:22 78:16	105:19 154:24	40:8 44:25	147:11	7:9,16,24 8:11	117:12 118:9
81:4 85:24	159:10 166:20	58:23 66:3	language	8:24 9:3,5	118:18 155:10
116:24 125:24	167:1,1	67:20 68:22	181:10	10:18,21,23	leaves 123:13
126:9,12	182:16,18	73:21 74:12	large 12:18,23	11:1,20 14:13	led 13:2,8,9 44:3
	I	I			

					Page 221
	ı	1	1	I	1
139:19	Lightsey 120:3	67:14 71:5	141:22 164:18	183:11	44:17 60:23
ledger 123:21	120:24	89:15 90:6	longest 57:6	Lott 84:7	60:24 68:5,19
leery 180:3	liked 74:22	132:20 143:1	Lonnie 5:16	loud 36:24	68:22,24
left 3:22 15:5	likewise 94:6	143:1 144:2	look 2:18 41:5	louder 37:7	71:19 84:13
18:2 83:17	limit 41:6	149:7,7,9,11	41:12 45:13	love 11:16	89:13 131:12
112:17 116:18	199:10	152:25 158:15	45:19 47:11	loved 17:18	146:3 150:9
117:6 149:2	limitation	161:16 163:5	55:22 56:7	low 21:25 58:4,4	156:12 158:13
legal 7:14,23	172:14	163:6 164:19	67:9,15 79:22	58:16 61:2	manner 61:9
67:3 105:25	limitations	165:5 168:23	80:1 84:9	97:20 127:17	Manning 12:4
118:14 141:5	126:5	175:3,13	99:21 115:1	181:8 186:1	Marion 5:14
142:1	limited 68:10	180:3 185:14	134:7 144:3	189:18	76:4 140:21
legislation 67:7	87:18 153:14	185:16 186:11	144:13 155:15	low-cost 65:6	145:24 191:3
164:16 172:18	188:16,25	186:25 194:6	182:10 185:25	low-hanging	204:5,14
179:11	205:8	live 42:7 127:3	186:10,24	87:12	Mark 1:16
legislative 5:4	limits 68:6,7,8	live-stream 69:5	187:3,5,7	lower 22:1 38:5	12:14 43:8,17
70:17 179:11	136:9,11,19	live-streaming	looked 44:24	38:12 40:18	43:19 44:7,12
Legislature	137:14,17,21	69:4	50:6,7,14	47:16,18	47:1,6,23 53:5
106:18 124:23	139:4,7	lived 48:18 75:6	51:16,18	58:17 186:19	53:20 58:19
Legislature's	line 32:1,3,19	75:6	81:17,18	186:20 195:3	69:11 134:24
113:23	33:7,19,22	lives 45:17	142:15 184:10	lowered 44:9	151:19 187:18
length 183:19	36:1 38:10,11	load 47:3 50:2,5	184:19 186:8	lowest 61:11	Mark's 46:17
lengthy 76:17	39:4 68:25	52:1 61:10	looking 45:2	lunch 138:10	49:16 59:12
lessen 174:9	69:2 130:1	166:1,2,3	46:14 54:6,20	151:6,6	market 52:15
lesson 30:14	150:7,8	169:14 205:11	64:5 74:11	157:17	162:16 163:25
179:8	178:12 183:20	loads 45:25	94:16 104:13	137.17	173:6,9 178:3
lessons 11:14,17	184:16,20	49:13 135:11	117:14 123:8	M	178:7,9 180:2
let's 42:16	206:12	194:18	123:9 146:9	M 1:7,13	180:6,24
161:16 201:1	lines 32:17 39:5	local 18:15	146:10 164:8	ma'am 95:18	181:6,13
letter 5:10 112:4	134:2,3	77:14 204:6	167:6 179:10	149:22,23	185:12 188:4
119:18,22	link 2:11	located 184:2	182:8 189:20	machine 187:7	189:20 205:9
120:7	liquidate 146:15	location 169:21	195:2	187:8	marketing
level 11:13 14:2	list 6:1 18:23	207:6	looks 25:11	main 110:18	146:17
78:22,23 85:3	40:20 45:12	lock 87:3 127:14	57:22 61:10	169:1	married 17:24
85:12,13	89:19 91:23	127:21 190:2	66:6 171:7	maintain 25:22	43:12
163:3	116:11 119:19	locked 46:22	189:13 206:11	maintained	Marsh 110:20
levels 91:14	138:23 140:20	47:18	loose 157:18	50:20 76:7,14	Marsha 79:15
94:23 96:14	201:3	locking 127:25	Lord 7:14,22	maintaining	128:22
97:14	listed 24:15 31:3	128:11	lose 82:5	27:8	Marty 14:7
Lexington 4:7	119:18 120:6	logic 128:4	loss 76:13	major 30:24	Mary 17:25
liabilities	140:13	long 3:14 25:11	lost 123:18	67:18 71:11	18:22
115:10,11,15	listen 58:23	45:12,13	lot 11:14 26:2	71:12 73:6	master 172:10
117:23 123:8	literal 97:21	50:11 74:17	28:14 31:7	89:8 117:9	172:11,13,14
123:15 128:11	litigation	79:16 92:9	36:19 44:12	makeup 137:20	179:20
liability 127:2	114:19,19	145:17 153:6	45:18 56:7,17	201:9	match 98:4,8,9
127:10 143:20	116:5 119:17	170:5,5,5	57:4,19 77:21	making 44:16	98:14,15
147:25 149:12	139:24 141:13	180:6 182:1	79:22 80:1	46:5 62:1,14	204:6
149:18	litigations	183:9,10,19	85:20,20	66:16 67:11	matches 98:22
liable 123:15	116:11 117:9	195:1 204:23	87:17 117:5	76:6 120:2	matching 47:2,3
license 145:25	little 16:22 17:5	205:2	124:2 145:11	144:13 154:25	materiality
146:2	18:5,6 21:17	long-term 34:1	145:14 146:11	180:11 192:23	123:23
lieu 112:13	27:5 28:12	35:21 44:19	146:12 150:13	malaria 15:14	materials 69:7
life 74:17 75:3	29:10,24 31:1	45:15 46:5	163:17 177:19	15:15	mathematical
light 123:14	32:12 35:13	64:22 127:10	180:8 185:4	manage 29:16	181:12
125:5 196:3	37:13 39:11	128:11 189:1	187:24 189:17	32:9 169:5	matters 19:12
lighten 164:5	43:7 44:20	longer 8:13,14	190:4,7 192:3	203:14	Matthews 1:7
lighter 195:16	45:10 46:17	8:15 36:21	203:12,22,23	management	201:4,5,18
lightly 166:14	55:1 57:14	45:14 126:25	205:13	19:5 28:10,11	202:20 203:1
166:15	59:7 61:24	127:1 134:8	lots 79:12 82:7,7	28:21 30:10	203:10,15,22
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	
-	-				

					Page 222
	1	1	1	1	1
204:9,16	43:22 44:1	191:3,21	89:20 96:16	197:12 203:4	109:22 110:19
maximize 83:15	51:2,20,25	192:16	124:2 138:8	monopoly 29:20	names 99:14
maximum	52:21,24	microphone	157:11 191:21	180:22	nation 168:21
83:11	53:11,18 54:2	36:20,22 37:7	194:11	month 59:21,23	185:25
mean 14:13	71:13 169:22	65:14,25	mirror 133:8	94:23 144:14	national 12:13
32:13 45:14	170:2,4 182:2	mid 19:11	mislead 102:24	148:16,21	nationally
54:24 56:9	182:10	Mike 2:4 3:3 5:2	missing 193:20	months 9:15	156:14
68:4,4 71:12	member 10:4,8	5:8,13,13 14:8	mission 79:20	183:20	natural 45:20
73:21 97:8,17	10:11,15	14:8 77:25	missions 65:5	Moore 5:18	48:5 52:5,7
103:17 107:10	96:10 125:10	84:6,25 85:1	misunderstan	morning 8:17	56:4,8 60:25
118:4 128:23	134:19 190:21	85:14 105:23	126:1	11:4,4 16:2,3	61:2 87:3,3
130:25 155:3	members 5:24	106:5 138:7	mitigation 24:8	26:8,11,14	127:14,17,19
155:17 164:20	5:25 9:12	158:3 200:25	24:13	42:23 53:22	185:12 186:1
172:17 174:15	11:25 16:4	miles 183:25	mix 34:19 55:3	181:20 187:1	187:5 189:14
180:22 192:22	19:15,21,25	184:20,24	55:6 84:22	190:23 206:8	189:16 190:7
192:24	20:14 21:2	milk 29:2	134:17 201:9	206:13,22	202:3
meaning 110:12	24:24 33:14	milling 17:14	mixes 34:20,22	mortar 27:12	nature 116:7
112:20	42:15,24	million 24:1	MMPTU 56:9	162:13 195:23	137:22 171:21
means 25:12	48:12 58:23	29:24 38:7,19	56:11 189:18	mortgage 37:24	Navajo 51:1
53:23 65:15	61:5 65:13	38:19 39:8	mobilize 77:18	46:22	81:25
68:19 114:7	66:11 68:7,10	58:6,7 77:16	model 137:6	Moultrie 145:24	near 123:22
158:17 192:7	68:13 70:25	79:5 94:18	moderate 33:4	203:25	163:4 183:24
meant 103:17	87:11 92:13	102:11 109:5	65:1	mountains	nearly 43:12,23
103:20	92:16 99:8,13	109:7,8,10,12	moderated	18:11	necessarily
measure 26:13	100:23 138:9	109:14,14,14	64:20	mouth 30:1	26:16 37:20
31:18 41:7,10	139:5 141:12	111:9 115:13	moderates	move 3:3 17:23	179:7
69:13 129:14	157:13,17	115:13,15	64:22	31:23 41:17	necessary 50:8
measured 22:5	196:11	120:12 121:10	modern 21:12	58:20 75:20	50:10 52:18
30:8,16,17	membership	121:12,15,18	modernization	84:16 99:1	58:6 68:1
129:20,20	96:13	121:22 122:16	130:10	106:22 139:25	84:16 144:6
measures 41:2	memory 3:5	123:4,5,5	modernizations	168:24 169:3	152:22,23
meat 88:2	mention 13:15	129:13 142:11	130:11	169:3	170:17 173:10
mechanism	mentioned 17:6	142:14,15	modernizing	moved 43:10	183:1
81:24 83:25	47:23 48:16	143:12,13,14	161:20	74:20,23,24	necessity 199:18
84:22	49:16 54:12	143:19 144:16	modular 30:13	74:24 75:4	need 4:21 8:24
media 112:4	77:25 110:9	144:19,24	30:13,13	moves 165:24	23:1 25:13
mediation 62:14	112:2 121:10	147:4 148:6,8	33:13 51:24	moving 77:18	36:8 40:25
76:21 77:1,4	125:12,13,14	149:8,9,12,13	189:6 195:7	78:13 114:9	41:1 45:21,25
medium 122:5	152:4	149:14,20	Molly 14:9	135:6 169:2	47:2 49:23
meet 14:1 29:17	met 15:8 17:24	163:14 164:9	moment 40:7	Mudge 12:3	50:3 52:19
44:3 46:20	20:13 43:11	188:23 204:2	118:22 190:16	multiple 120:2	54:1,9,14 57:8
61:4,5 71:3	75:1 166:7	204:2,6	moments 79:25	munis 22:5	62:7 63:11
135:10 167:14	meter 28:25	mind 13:10	199:6	mutual 110:8	66:2,3,13,17
meeting 20:15	29:7	minds 20:15,20	momma 75:1	mutually	70:17 71:15
20:20 55:12	methodologies	mine 80:10,24	Moncks 74:15	111:25	71:16 74:10
61:10 107:14	174:7,18,20	minimal 27:11	74:20,24,25	Myrtle 1:23	77:2 78:22
meetings 59:20	176:2,6	minimize 64:11	75:12	12:1 22:18	86:24 87:12
67:21 69:5,5,6	179:13	64:17	monetary 39:17	85:2 157:9	87:17,21 88:2
69:8,25 71:2	methodology	minimum 28:6	113:11,13	207:13	88:5,15 96:15
71:22	176:11 177:2	102:18	119:5,6		96:20 107:19
meets 72:12	180:7	minus 185:13	money 25:18	N	108:21 116:25
megawatt 28:12	metrics 23:23	minute 11:9	33:2 48:3,6	N 2:1	137:24 146:16
52:7 134:23	69:13	44:20 53:14	58:2 71:14	name 14:6	146:18 152:7
185:13,15,16	mic 19:18 24:24	133:16 138:6	87:5 94:14	17:25 65:18	152:8,13
185:18 186:7	33:16 43:2	145:1	98:14 103:24	65:19 95:21	153:2,3,5,15
187:16 188:1	48:12 138:21	minutes 10:16	104:17,20	104:14 166:7	160:1,11
megawatts	Michael 8:10	42:16 47:11	107:24 110:23	177:10	166:9,20,23
28:11 43:21	76:4 140:22	48:19 49:7	143:15 170:5	named 20:3	169:17 170:3
	1	I	I	I	I

					Page 223
170 2 15 25	1 202.0	64.466.22	66 1 1 1 40 10	21 12 40 5	46 12 10 56 1
170:3,15,25	newer 202:9	64:4 66:23	offended 140:10	open 31:12 49:5	46:13,18 56:1
183:15 185:3	newest 83:23	94:10 99:12	offense 155:18	60:23 67:21	184:17
187:2,3,5,6,21	newspaper	127:13 137:23	offer 51:4 174:7	89:17 172:21	options 47:23
192:4 194:14	105:2 109:4	140:13 142:16	offered 51:7	172:23,24	48:9 50:14
202:21	NextEra 2:21	142:21 144:12	60:15 72:25	179:12,15,18	51:18 57:7
needed 12:7,7	3:12 38:9,25	145:4,6,6,7	offering 28:16	181:9	order 27:3
44:3 52:3 76:9	102:11 115:14	153:10	175:21	opening 124:20	131:10
76:11 91:6	117:13 147:24	numbers 36:3,4	Office 41:21,24	178:16	org 23:5 83:8
159:19,20	155:22 160:20	36:4,6,11,11	62:6,9 71:21	Openness 41:18	86:22 87:1
177:14 182:25	161:11 164:11	36:12 38:10	72:9,20,21,25	operate 22:18	organization's
192:24 needless 39:5	165:21 168:12	38:11 93:9	74:5 167:24 officer 5:17,20	115:8 170:17	13:11
needs 9:9 38:20	183:22 188:19	99:5 115:12 206:8	,	205:15	organizational 12:11 22:16
	194:21 195:18 196:23		21:20 65:20	operated 137:6	
38:21,22		numerous 3:7 3:11	81:5 84:2,7,18 85:1	operating 68:16	22:16 83:12
46:10,12,21	NextEra's 3:22			81:11 129:25	originally 92:15
55:12 61:5,5 136:1 167:9	36:7 160:24 162:23 194:25	nutshell 25:10 112:23	offices 199:14 official 207:13	166:17 204:12 204:24	121:16 ORS 73:9,13
169:9,19,20	nice 36:16	112.23	officially 92:3	operation 17:14	154:22,25
170:7 181:7	nice 36:16 nicely 16:12	0	offline 167:6	22:18 24:6	154:22,25
181:13 205:19	NICHOLSON	O 2:1	offset 24:14	39:21 85:3	ought 191:8
needy 32:14	1:6	o'clock 3:5	27:2 32:5	112:15	192:25
negative 123:9	night 125:25	190:23 200:5	Oh 151:14	operational	outcome 44:6
negotiations	NIKKI 1:8	200:10 206:14	193:14 202:22	67:2	54:23 62:2
14:22	nine 33:11	206:22	okay 5:6 6:4	operations	178:19
neighborhood	nodding 31:3	OA's 192:6	25:1 28:2 37:7	14:21 23:3,4	outcomes 117:7
129:13 142:25	Nods 195:13	oath 4:9 92:20	77:5,9 90:3	24:16 26:24	outline 49:15
150:20,22	nominal 32:17	objective 25:23	101:22 113:14	50:17 68:3,17	output 28:4
163:2	32:18,19 38:6	objectives	123:25 124:8	84:1 85:2	outreach 152:21
neighboring	normal 29:19	177:12	124:9 125:11	146:18 153:16	outs 100:21
87:6	139:9 167:23	obligated 143:8	130:17 134:16	opinion 36:25	outside 77:4
neither 207:10	normalization	obligating	142:7 149:22	70:10 99:19	83:23 141:16
net 27:7 129:18	37:9 133:18	175:24	157:9 161:8	opinions 189:17	outstanding
129:21	normalized	obligation	161:18 162:1	opportunities	73:21 79:6
nets 197:12	36:8,9,12 37:8	105:25 133:5	181:9 182:5	60:14 146:2	116:12 196:18
network 85:4,8	38:6,9,11	133:6 156:3,4	182:22 189:1	opportunity	204:7,8
85:10	normalizing	164:4	190:18 191:18	10:12 11:6,7	overall 57:8
never 154:25	36:6	obligations 3:21	194:5,5	16:5 27:6	overcome
203:15	normally 18:21 117:20 118:1	67:3 196:23	195:12 201:18	30:19 43:6	173:12
new 16:24 17:2		197:4,5	204:11,21	47:13,19 48:1 49:1 51:4,7,9	overly 116:3
19:5 21:1,3,5 21:6 22:15,16	126:21,25 139:9	obliged 174:20 177:4	206:10 old 18:5 55:5,9	60:12 72:7	overseeing 173:16
23:5 24:19	Notary 207:4,19	observation	55:10,19	75:15,16	oversight 21:21
25:3,4 27:12	note 38:4 123:1	125:1 135:3,8	126:23	81:23 88:21	31:5 41:1 61:9
45:4 47:16	notes 133:11	135:16,19	older 186:14	88:23	66:2,25 67:9
52:18 55:5,9	206:4	obvious 12:6	omnibus 133:5	opposed 103:20	67:13 72:11
55:13 56:3	notice 4:4 72:6	obviously 11:10	once 25:25	165:3 178:24	73:23,25
78:17 79:3	111:13,16	17:21 24:7	86:13 90:21	opposite 195:1	135:10 155:15
82:10 87:25	noticed 71:19	40:1 68:7	96:8 123:20	opt 160:14	157:1 171:6
126:23 146:6	November	78:17 158:24	192:10	161:10,12	overview 21:17
146:9 154:17	136:25 137:12	occupied 85:17	one-time 103:3	opt-out 160:2,5	owe 75:1
160:3,14	nuclear 5:14 7:2	occupy 23:16	103:4 104:9	160:17,23,23	owned 117:22
161:10,13	24:1 25:15	occur 77:1	ones 2:16 8:18	optimize 26:1	owners 31:20
166:24 168:7	112:14 116:8	occurred 57:5	41:12 45:3,4	27:7	ownership 34:6
172:2,3,14	116:15 143:5	occurs 26:17	54:20 64:3	option 47:16,18	116:17 144:7
183:15,16	143:7 144:7	167:23	126:24 129:4	48:4,6 187:13	owning 58:11
186:17 189:9	number 21:24	Oconee 96:23	202:9	189:11	owns 11:10
Newberry's	24:4 27:21	October 112:5	ongoing 116:21	optionality	
143:24	41:16 59:18	119:18,23	OPED 3:21	44:18 45:11	P
	•	•	•	•	

					Page 224
	l	l	l	,,,,	
P 2:1	82:10,25	107:7 121:19	93:7,8,19,22	personal 16:9	16:6,7,25 21:6
p.m 206:23	90:12 92:5	122:10,20	93:24 94:2	178:13	21:17 24:19
package 151:18	181:6	128:12 148:11	97:1 99:20	personally	24:21 25:3,4,6
page 130:10,19	participate 6:8	148:12,12,25	124:6 137:23	141:13	25:7,9 27:14
133:17 135:2	71:4,22	173:11 203:19	139:17 140:14	personnel 84:17	28:1,10 30:18
135:7 164:7	111:15 112:21	payable 112:7	140:17 141:21	persons 8:6	31:8 34:21
207:7	135:22 138:1	payday 121:1	144:24 153:2	perspective	35:22 36:2,11
pages 163:19,20	participates	paying 129:8,12	153:9,11,12	58:3 187:9	37:11 38:5
163:20	100:15	140:23 141:4	153:15 156:20	persuasion	40:8,16 41:9
paid 56:10 79:3	participating	141:21	percent 15:12	199:15	43:6 44:13
94:23 103:2,4	141:1 174:2	payment 23:25	18:14 22:1,7,8	phase 82:6	46:8 47:7,13
103:7,9 109:8	174:24 175:24	46:22 101:6	22:21,22 30:8	phenomena	47:15,17 48:8
109:8,11	participation 72 0 0 1 10	104:9 109:5	33:25 37:14	85:9	48:9 49:4,12
111:3 120:4	72:9 91:10	111:3 121:24	37:18 39:7	phone 90:8	49:13 50:19
121:14 122:17	174:25 191:5	122:14 123:1	43:23 55:20	phonetic 3:4	52:6,14,21,23
141:18,25	particular	123:12 129:14	55:21 56:6,7	14:10 101:13	53:11,17 54:2
147:3,4,5,8,12	21:15 45:3	144:10	56:16 57:2,2	110:20	54:7,16 55:5,5
154:16	62:13 63:15	payments	57:17 78:18	physically	55:9,9,10,13
Pam 203:9	71:15,16	109:13,24	78:20 81:4,9	167:21	55:19 56:2,3 57:11 21 22
Pamela 1:18	115:21 124:25	120:1 123:4	81:11,12 85:5 85:6 90:15	pick 55:16 134:9 193:25	57:11,21,23 58:3 4 6 21
21:19 41:20 48:18 61:23	136:21 155:4 175:4 176:20	144:13 pays 159:10	100:6 102:6	206:14	58:3,4,6,21 59:12,14 60:6
65:11,19	183:16	pays 139:10 peak 26:6,6,10	102:23 104:9	picture 56:21	
75:19 84:1	particularly	26:11,14,16	102.23 104.9	picture 30.21 pie 55:7,18	61:15,15,17 61:20 62:3,5,5
86:19 87:24	47:21 191:24	26:17 28:21	111:10 120:1	piece 171:6	62:7,10 64:9
90:4,24	particulars	44:2 54:1	120:14,15	pieces 30:14	64:11,16,23
145:21 203:7	26:25	peaks 26:7	129:15 134:4	76:8,10,12,22	65:1,3,8,9
Pamela's 49:6	parties 69:16	53:21 144:14	150:19 163:6	77:17 116:18	66:20 70:4
panels 15:19	108:22 109:24	PEBA 3:20	164:21 165:14	136:21 169:8	72:19,19,21
paper 35:5	110:2,7,14	Pee 146:8	168:19,20	Pinlan 14:9	72:24 73:24
105:5,10	111:6,9 113:4	184:12 187:12	170:18 185:21	pipeline 134:24	74:7,9 77:23
papers 109:12	113:4 120:17	Peeler 1:8 11:5	perfect 18:19	183:15,16	79:2 80:15
122:15	178:17 199:1	36:13,15,18	20:20	184:1,13	82:18,19
parents 8:23	parties' 139:25	36:25 37:4	perfectly 37:10	185:3 186:9	83:11,16
43:10	partner 203:3	59:2 74:22	performance	187:6	84:12,16,21
Park 146:20	partnership	120:10,14,18	58:14 64:20	pipelines 184:1	88:4,20,22
part 6:6 17:23	54:15	120:21 121:1	period 12:23	pistol 166:8	89:7,14 90:11
23:15,21	parts 14:24	121:5 138:13	32:22 33:9	place 18:18	90:14,15,16
32:25 46:3	116:17	197:18,22	37:24 38:13	22:11 23:5	90:17,18,19
54:4,15 56:24	party 9:9,21,22	198:1,2,6	40:18 43:4,21	48:25 78:20	91:1,8,8,9,11
60:5,25 64:23	110:7 207:11	pendency 122:8	45:13 46:1	83:22 86:23	91:11,12,13 91:17 92:3,12
74:17 75:3 77:16 80:12	pass 18:20 201:7	pending 110:3 110:10,13	59:21,24 109:13 130:24	91:8 108:17 130:12 132:8	92:21,25 93:6
106:1 109:16	passed 2:19	110:10,13	134:2 159:11	151:23 161:5	93:12,12,19
111:4 114:24	110:25 133:9	116:6,6	161:5 195:2	183:14	93:21,22,23
117:13 133:9	180:18	123:22 207:11	Periodically	placed 2:22	94:3,6,12,14
135:5,24	passing 125:25	Pennsylvania Pennsylvania	142:25	111:3	94:19,21
136:22 139:25	passthrough	17:17	periphery 65:16	placement	95:21,25 96:1
141:13 152:1	33:1,3	people 13:19,24	permission 9:11	164:23 187:12	96:2,5,6,9,10
152:12 159:21	pastures 75:5	13:25 16:10	9:19 108:10	places 15:23	96:14 97:12
159:22 166:3	path 50:9	16:15,16	190:15	146:8 166:10	97:13,14 98:4
176:16,21	patient 175:3	18:21 20:8,11	permitting	167:21	98:5,6,10,10
184:25 186:24	pattern 166:12	29:9,15 30:1,4	183:5	plaintiffs 109:7	98:13,16,17
189:5 197:6,8	PAUL 1:11	50:15 81:21	person 10:16	111:12 122:2	99:7,15,16,18
202:2	pause 79:14	82:5 85:23,23	84:4,10,11,19	plaintiffs' 111:9	100:6 102:18
participant	pay 4:12 28:3,4	86:10 87:8,9	89:20 95:20	122:3	102:23 103:2
23:21	28:4 53:10	90:13 91:2,3,4	104:24 156:13	plan 11:7 12:11	103:5,7,15,19
participants	56:17 94:6,20	91:6 92:21	156:13	13:6,14 14:5	103:21,23,24
	1	I	I	i .	

					Page 223
100 04 101 5	167.04.101.0	107.12.11.11	160 24 152 5		66 10 07 1
103:24 104:2	167:24 194:9	107:12 116:10	160:24 162:6	presents 38:9	66:18 87:4
104:4,6 105:7	194:17,18,18	128:8,10,11	162:12 163:8	preservation	127:17,19
109:18 113:21	197:1 201:11	134:25 144:3	173:6,7 174:6	146:23	155:3,16
114:6,9	plant 49:18,19	156:24 160:7	174:7,21	preserve 27:3	173:10,22
124:22 126:8	49:20,24 50:2	173:12 185:19	175:1,22,25	165:23	176:25 179:19
127:15 133:17	50:9 51:1,3,4	189:10 192:9	176:1 177:4,5	preserved	180:10 186:1
136:25 142:22	52:9,10 77:14	201:6 202:15	177:20 178:24	160:24 167:5	186:18 189:8
148:10 150:3	163:8 165:13	202:16	179:16 180:15	preserves	190:3
151:19,22	166:11,16	pointed 80:17	180:16 186:3	168:16	pricing 13:4
152:10 153:11	167:5,10	81:16	186:20 187:10	preserving	31:8,17,22
153:14 158:18	168:12,16	points 24:4	188:25	24:14 169:5	35:23 40:20
158:19 159:13	169:25 184:12	policy 178:14	powerful 50:7	president 5:14	40:22 41:19
159:22 160:9	186:14,15,17	portfolio 26:2,4	PPAs 174:8,11	7:2 11:5 16:4	67:19 68:20
161:11,17,24	201:9 202:7	27:7,18 43:8	175:21	42:24 65:13	69:10 72:2
161:24 162:5	205:16 206:1	43:22 56:13	practice 63:10	74:21 81:6	73:16,16 74:2
163:18,18	plants 44:3	56:19 57:9	69:4	93:22 138:11	74:2,4 132:6
165:20,20,22	52:15,16	portion 130:1	preapprove	157:18 197:25	133:13 155:17
165:23,25	58:12 186:1	Ports 100:25	168:12	presidents	156:11,14,16
166:22 167:4	201:11,20,21	137:4	precedent	93:17,20,23	156:21,25
168:12,25	201:11,20,21	position 30:4	139:10	150:12,21,24	primarily 14:4
	201:22,24 202:10,10,12	47:6 83:14	precisely 81:24	150:12,21,24	145:24 203:25
172:4 175:6			125:6 181:14		
177:23 179:4	203:2 204:12	85:17 125:18		presiding 108:11	principal 21:11
179:25 184:11	204:22 205:3	128:1,12	197:15		129:15 144:10
184:11,16	platform 28:25	153:20 172:18	precludes 47:19	pressure 166:19	173:11
187:12 189:6	play 73:18	193:6	predecessors	166:20	principally
189:11 194:21	playing 172:5	positions 14:14	15:7	presumably	12:17
195:6 196:12	173:16,17	81:5,6,6,7,22	predominantly	42:1	principle 41:19
196:15,23	plea 115:24	positive 15:13	64:14	pretty 24:18	principle-driv
197:4 201:8	pleasant 36:16	23:24 40:19	preface 139:18	79:20 117:8	31:7
202:2,13	37:1	123:14	prefaced 139:18	121:1 123:8	principles 13:5
204:11	please 6:17 9:24	possibility 3:19	prefer 35:21	123:13 131:2	31:2,8,9,11,13
plan's 197:3	14:6 24:23	60:20 61:3	150:9 177:20	162:8 202:10	31:13,16,17
planning 13:2,3	33:16 59:9	180:25	201:21,22	205:4,7	31:18,22,22
13:4 14:20	65:21,23	possible 9:13	preference 10:7	prevent 199:13	40:20,22,23
21:19 31:9,18	95:18 114:4	24:18 87:23	178:14	previous 96:1	41:5 44:12,14
31:22,24	123:1 192:17	110:12 194:6	pregnant 18:6	117:22	44:15,24 45:6
40:22 42:11	pleased 24:3	possibly 33:4	prejudice 110:1	previously	49:12 54:19
43:8 44:4,15	76:14 88:20	40:13	prepaid 29:7	112:12	69:10,16 70:2
49:2 51:21	88:22	post 69:7	prepare 4:23	price 18:14 28:6	70:20 71:10
61:6,8,23	pled 114:23	Poston 84:25	prepared 86:13	32:19 33:8,10	74:4 156:16
67:17 68:20	plot 56:22	85:1,14	206:8	34:2,8,17	156:21,25
69:10 70:12	plus 18:12,16	posture 202:11	prepay 28:24	35:11,16,21	184:18
70:14,20 71:9	56:10 79:13	potential 54:13	29:1	36:2 41:6	principles-bas
72:16 83:18	150:6 185:13	54:13 83:15	present 1:16	59:13 61:1	67:11
88:8 89:6	pocket 141:19	126:16 142:10	11:7,16 16:6	127:14,20,22	prior 16:8 34:16
115:15 135:7	podium 15:25	146:6 201:14	25:6 34:21	147:3,8,12,19	45:21 72:23
135:9 152:12	point 2:3 16:8	potentially	38:7 39:8 43:6	159:2,3,4,6,9	80:17 82:12
	-	48:10 142:20			
152:16 173:18	24:20 26:12		70:4 88:20,23	159:18 162:16	95:12 96:25
186:12	31:12 34:18	167:20	132:24 133:22	163:24 173:20	99:11 117:22
plans 18:18 35:3	35:4 42:10	power 12:17,18	133:24	177:15 178:3	124:25
48:23 56:6	44:11 53:23	12:23,24	presentation	180:2,4,21	private 101:15
61:16 82:9,23	62:25 63:1	13:19 18:16	10:3,9 21:22	197:9,11	privilege 13:7
86:10 89:25	76:17,21	19:13,22 50:2	24:11 36:1	prices 28:9	probable
90:11 92:4,5	77:15,20	52:17 53:1,9	70:13 75:21	32:16,17 37:8	184:15
95:1,3,9,11,15	80:23 83:6	53:23 58:10	107:2 171:5	37:11 38:15	probably 17:10
97:1,12 98:21	84:17 87:13	64:14 87:5	presentations	40:7,16 45:20	72:12 81:12
101:15 103:9	90:13 93:21	106:8,14,21	115:7	56:4,8,16	97:17 107:19
105:2 165:12	97:8 104:21	155:1 160:22	presenters 2:5	57:23 61:1	126:2 131:1
	1	I	I	I	I

					Page 226
	I	1	1	I	1
132:10 143:12	186:20	62:17 68:25	178:24	177:5 179:16	123:4,5,6
146:7 149:12	producing	69:24 117:13	provides 47:25	197:9 205:9	question 8:12
151:15 166:8	25:20 48:4	125:3 136:8	166:17 171:19	purchase/sale	10:12 11:13
167:8 170:22	53:23 205:5	139:6,11	181:3	118:1	13:9 24:20
189:1	product 25:20	164:12 171:7	providing 67:7	purchased	25:2 32:7
problem 46:5	28:7	171:13 176:17	174:21 183:23	106:9 112:15	40:23 62:16
115:10 136:23	production	178:10,19	province 113:23	115:16 147:10	63:23 64:10
176:6	34:10 43:24	181:15 187:21	114:14	147:19 148:24	69:20 86:1
problems 24:7	165:14 178:17	proposals 60:3	provision	purchaser	89:24 90:22
136:16 170:10	205:14	63:5,18 70:5	160:17,24	142:10 147:24	90:23 95:7
proceeded	Professional	139:22 173:15	171:24	PURPA 175:16	98:1,3 99:25
158:6	1:19 207:4,18	propose 35:1	provisions	175:17,21	101:19 102:13
proceeding 6:13	profiles 117:15	70:11 72:22	108:3 137:5	176:2 178:19	102:17 103:10
proceedings	117:16	191:8	172:11 175:5	purpose 37:10	104:22 106:13
207:7,8,9	profit 129:18,19	proposed 64:6	prudent 144:6	70:19	106:23 113:9
proceeds 76:19	129:20	136:4 158:7	169:3	purposes 34:15	113:15,19
process 21:4,7	program 28:23	159:13 160:12	PSC 131:25	37:9 79:19	118:14 119:20
36:5 40:14	28:24,24 29:7	160:13,21	132:1 153:20	83:13 88:24	122:1 124:3
44:5,8 45:9,16	29:22,23 30:1	163:1,3	171:8,12,13	90:16 123:3	125:6,8,23
45:17 48:3,21	30:10 41:14	165:21,25	172:5,22,25	132:6 166:18	126:18 128:17
48:24 49:2,6	54:14 78:21	168:16 169:11	173:16,16	170:15 176:3	129:11 134:19
49:11 51:6	91:4 100:19	175:1	174:11 176:9	pursuant 3:22	135:4 136:23
58:11 60:17	132:18,25	proposes 202:13	176:10,24	pursue 83:11	138:25 139:3
60:19 61:12	195:16 196:3	proposing 26:3	179:15,16,18	pursuing 23:20	140:10 155:10
61:13,23,23	programs 44:2	31:16 68:1	181:2	purview 66:5	156:5,6 157:8
62:1,13,24	54:7,11	132:12 160:15	PSP 177:8	push 35:19	158:14 160:6
63:12 64:23	131:13	164:12 168:2	public 1:3 12:23	put 23:5 29:2,20	160:7 165:3,5
68:9 70:3 71:4	progress 60:2	168:5,6	12:24 15:14	30:4,15 40:1	165:6,7,15
72:3,4,6,14	project 9:17	174:12 181:14	15:20 34:6	46:20 47:6	167:12 169:4
73:25 79:10	13:2 17:19	proposition	36:16 44:5	48:25 58:18	172:9,16
79:16,17,24	18:9 19:14	179:4	61:23 67:21	60:3,21 63:6	174:23 175:14
80:1 81:3 87:8	21:9 23:14	prospectively	67:22 68:14	63:10 76:13	176:13,14
87:20 108:16	27:19 28:23	139:7,9	70:16 71:2,20	77:11 86:23	182:20 191:12
112:7 113:5	29:25 30:22	protect 179:1	71:20,22 72:3	90:16 93:12	194:3 196:6
116:21 126:14	43:20 51:5	protected	72:7 73:9,13	93:20 94:14	201:6,10,13
135:10 140:1	53:9 64:16,18	121:17 178:22	73:15,25	98:14,21 99:8	201:16,20,25
142:19 174:2	64:19 132:9	protection	107:10,11	99:9 103:24	202:12 203:1
174:24 175:10	183:6 190:1	41:12 126:22	131:23 154:6	106:19 143:8	203:7 204:10
175:11 180:13	Project's 132:18	126:24 127:7	160:23 167:25	152:20 163:17	204:18
191:5	projected	protracted	173:6,7	169:21 172:17	questioning
process/initiate	129:12	159:11	178:14 207:4	173:5 183:2	139:18 141:16
88:3 processes 44:4	projection 142:18	proud 23:21 202:16	207:19 publication	186:16 189:12 195:22 199:3	190:21
61:9 78:5,6		prove 75:15	80:14 126:7		questions 2:21
	projections 189:20		publicly 140:4	puts 83:14 104:6 110:23	3:11 9:6,13,18 10:10,19 11:2
176:18 procure 53:1	projects 67:19	proven 85:13 provide 4:9,18	199:4	putting 14:4	49:5 79:13
produce 41:22	71:12,12,13	9:23 28:2 34:7	pull 194:15	62:25	81:2 89:17
54:21,25	71:12,12,13	50:8 53:19	pun 194:13 pump 77:10,12	02.23	101:12 104:23
69:17 74:3	79:3	59:13 60:11	77:12,13,16	Q	106:7 113:6
91:22 187:9	promise 160:10	65:6 71:22	purchase 52:14	quadruple 84:5	124:6 138:6
201:11	199:23	72:6 87:10	52:14,17 53:1	quadruple 84:3	138:17,19,22
produced 53:25	promised	127:21 142:5	58:10 64:15	68:13,14	139:20 143:24
79:1 85:5	103:21	142:6,7	87:5 117:19	qualified 94:18	145:21 149:25
producer 85:13	property 146:16	168:13	117:21 147:19	97:12	154:23 158:12
producers	146:17	provided 2:15	160:22,24	quality 173:12	171:5,11
174:6 175:22	proponent 89:6	4:11 10:4	162:12 163:15	quanty 1/3:12 quantifiable	177:9 178:12
174.0 173.22	proposal 3:22	provider 22:1	174:7,21	41:10	181:17,20,21
produces 44:5	34:21 36:7,7	provider 22.1 providers 27:21	175:1 176:1	quarter 109:15	192:25 193:1
Produces TT.5	321 30.7,7	P10.140152/.21	1,5.11,0.1	4441107.13	1,2,20 1,3,1
-					

					Page 227
	Ī	Ī	I	İ	I
193:3 199:4	172:25 186:14	88:22 127:21	recovering	89:14 109:18	reimbursement
200:23 201:4	186:19,19	128:9 172:8	77:23	113:21 114:6	112:11
201:7 202:17	rated 18:13	177:11 188:11	recovery 162:18	114:9 124:22	reinvest 166:23
202:18,21,23	23:23	194:4,19	recreational	125:1 126:8	reinvested
206:18	ratepayer 102:6	196:10 202:10	146:2	127:15 133:17	130:2
quibble 104:8	ratepayers	reason 19:9	red 32:3,19 33:7	136:4,12,25	relate 22:15
quick 51:24	102:1,8,12	40:21 50:21	38:10	138:20,23	37:20
57:5 149:25	121:20 122:19	106:17 123:2	redeploy 78:23	142:22 151:17	related 110:21
201:6 204:20	123:11,14	141:11 154:24	redeployment	152:2,9	116:4,5,14
quickly 32:4	180:14 196:16	162:10 166:14	88:4	153:11,14	139:3 159:2
50:13 77:18	rates 73:20	176:15 189:5	redesign 26:1,3	157:4 159:13	159:15 160:2
100:5 195:21	111:5 154:6	reasons 29:8	89:3	159:21,22	178:7 207:11
196:2	154:21 155:21	49:22 51:13	reduce 28:9,21	160:9 162:5	relates 77:8
quieter 36:19	155:25 156:7	91:7 171:18	79:7 81:8	163:18,18	relating 173:8
quintessential	171:14,20	185:4,20	195:9	167:4 171:7	relation 82:12
34:4	172:5 178:22	205:18	reduced 44:2	171:13 172:4	relational 85:24
Quire 110:20	rating 14:21	rebalance 195:4	131:4 150:16	175:6 176:16	relationship
quit 151:20	155:7 173:13	Rebecca 14:10	150:17 195:6	177:9,23	27:14 114:24
190:22 201:1	Raúl 14:7	recall 96:25	reducing 27:13	179:4,25	125:15,20
quite 117:19,20	raw 36:10 37:10	112:3	165:13	184:11 187:12	126:2,3
127:13 151:14	Ray 1:17 5:12	receive 2:17	reduction 27:2	194:20 195:6	128:19 135:14
185:17	6:10 8:9 11:3	53:10 104:25	34:13,17	196:12,15	150:8,9
quorum 2:3	11:4,23 138:4	received 2:20	35:11,13,17	reforms 66:3	relationships
quote 117:12	140:4,6,21	3:3,14 7:7	35:22 60:6,7	84:23 87:22	88:1,1
143:14,15	141:7,11,15	16:11 27:25	81:5,9,11	regard 62:15	relative 3:12,20
206:4	194:2,3	108:10 198:24	195:19	67:8 159:15	26:14 46:15
quoted 185:17	200:11	receiving 92:1	reemploy 50:23	160:11 171:6	48:20 61:6,22
	reach 108:17,18	93:11	51:11	172:2,3,23	80:7 114:20
R	reached 20:23	receptive 20:11	reemployment	174:4 178:14	157:3 183:12
R 2:1	77:7 113:4	78:25	81:21	178:15,23	184:15 205:9
radio 36:16	reaches 144:23	recess 42:18,19	reference 69:9	179:19 202:6	relatively 43:11
Rainey 186:4,5	reactor 76:23	157:23	138:23	regarding	release 110:11
186:9,10,14	read 31:17 35:5	recipient 98:18	referenced	171:11	111:25
186:21	101:4 105:1,5	recipients 114:7	142:10	regardless	released 112:19
Rainey's 186:6	105:10 107:17	recognize 12:4	referred 134:24	140:1	releases 110:1,8
raise 6:17 9:25	193:5	74:10 138:2	refinance 79:5	region 26:7	reliability 13:20
75:12	reader 29:4,5,6	recognized	126:17,21,21	Registered 1:19	22:4 24:15
raised 16:13	29:10,10	10:15,17	126:23 127:6	207:4,18	27:3 44:9,18
17:7 63:22	reading 90:8	66:13 156:14	refinancing	regular 101:24	49:24 51:15
74:16 112:6	ready 16:23	193:18	80:18 126:16	regulate 179:18	52:4 164:20
127:3,25	17:2 67:5	recognizing	127:6	regulated	164:22,23
raising 58:2	191:7	40:3 66:17	reflected 142:24	131:22 132:2	165:10,19,24
ran 29:5,9 50:7	real 32:18 34:2	82:7	reflects 30:18	180:22	167:1,4,10
125:24	34:7,10 84:3	recommend	reform 11:7	regulation	168:14,17,17
range 46:9	141:10 146:15	136:8 137:22	13:13 14:4	132:9 133:14	168:21 169:5
185:13 189:14	156:24,25	recommendat	16:6 21:17	181:2	170:16 182:18
189:21	196:4	154:22 192:6	28:1 30:18	regulators	185:20 187:4
rapid 57:5	realistic 37:17	recommendat	31:8 36:2,11	87:24	202:4
rate 29:12 37:13	realizability	66:21,22	37:11 38:4	regulatory	reliable 50:1,8
37:15,17,24	75:25	71:19 154:25	40:8,15 41:9	41:21,24	50:19 51:17
38:3 39:7	realize 65:9	recommending	43:6 59:12	67:13,25	52:1 57:10
109:17,19	99:18	68:10,12	60:5 61:15	71:21 72:9,11	65:6 166:21
110:25 114:5	realizes 76:19	69:15	65:9 66:20	72:25 74:5	reliably 170:17
114:6 122:25	really 16:13	record 13:22,23	69:24 74:7,9	131:23 167:25	relies 173:9
134:4,13,15	27:21 46:7	23:19 65:17	74:11 77:22	171:6 172:22	rely 159:12
134:15 141:17	68:15 75:19	130:6 207:9	79:2 80:15	172:25 176:18	relying 163:14
153:21 156:8	80:3 81:1 83:3	recorded 207:8	83:11,16	177:7,11	remain 118:11
158:11,17	87:21 88:21	recover 77:22	84:12,21	178:25	remainder
	•	•	1		1

					Page 228
	I	I	I	I	I
193:4	represent	45:3 46:3,8,20	responded	retirees 94:6	143:16,22
remaining	141:17	46:23 47:7	29:21	148:18,19,24	144:19 146:19
149:17	representation	48:5,23 49:10	response 3:4,12	retirement 3:21	151:25 154:10
remains 30:12	70:21,22,23	49:25 50:4	4:4,12 9:14	55:15 81:16	162:25 167:9
59:15 151:4	representative	51:21 52:20	62:21 104:23	81:18 82:9,18	167:21 169:21
remarks 16:9	5:15 19:6 20:1	56:13 57:9	165:17 194:7	82:19 90:11	174:6 185:2
remember	106:20 191:25	61:6,22 62:5	206:20	90:16 91:10	186:9 189:3
140:20 156:1	request 3:9,18	62:13 67:17	responses 2:20	91:12,13 93:3	189:18,19
156:9 185:19	5:11 10:10	68:20 70:12	4:22 64:6	93:4 95:2,3	190:9 199:11
remembered	78:11 122:25	70:14,20 71:9	responsibilities	100:15,16,24	199:11 206:14
202:17	187:17 193:5	72:16,19	72:15 146:14	101:7,14,23	right-hand 33:7
remind 10:15	requested 4:5	73:23 81:4	responsibility	104:11 105:6	rights 160:2,5
147:4	60:7 134:11	84:10,10,12	11:11	105:25 145:17	rise 32:21,23,24
reminded 121:8	requesting	158:18,19	responsible	145:18 147:22	risk 53:3 58:5
remove 25:17	70:22	161:17 164:24	14:4,19,20,21	147:25 148:9	58:12,13,17
60:16 89:7	requests 3:15	165:24 168:25	14:22,23,24	149:15,16	58:17 60:23
170:14	53:7	169:6 173:18	121:12 195:24	151:13	60:24 64:22
removed 123:20	require 9:14	177:15 180:4	196:16	retiring 50:9	117:14,16
removes 33:21	72:5 73:19	181:7 183:13	responsive 49:6	185:25	123:19,21
removing 60:9	111:21	186:12 189:6	62:11 63:23	returned 17:18	162:19,21
removing 60:9	required 73:3	194:9 202:4	65:1 87:1	122:5	risks 64:18,20
15:18 30:20	124:22 125:2	resource-relat	125:21 196:5	returning 19:1	65:2
55:18,20	133:10 144:6	54:18	rest 33:9 70:13	revenue 25:19	Ritter 14:9
renewables 43:23	requirement	resources 25:12	173:5	112:11	River 9:17
	105:22	26:15 30:20	restoration	revenues 38:5	12:18 13:2
reorganize 83:9	requires 72:17 74:7	44:21 45:4,11	146:23	reversal 29:19	15:13 17:19
reorganized	,,	45:17 46:15	restored 161:3,3	reversed 125:18	18:9 19:14
83:9	research 83:20	46:16 47:1	161:6	126:4 128:18	21:9 23:14
repay 164:5	83:21	48:5 49:13	restrictions	review 4:22	27:18 28:22
repayment	researched 4:22	52:5,7,14,23	40:14 70:3	21:6 27:11	29:25 30:22
77:24	researching	52:25 55:23	restructuring	41:18,20	43:20 51:5
replace 19:4	40:22	55:25 56:4	139:21 150:3	60:20 62:6	132:9,18
50:4 170:3,15	reserve 122:16	57:6 60:10,12	result 20:6	67:23 73:1,10	190:1
170:16,18	reserved 144:16	64:14 67:7	35:20 40:14	74:5	road 72:12
184:3 187:2	reserves 143:8	77:18 78:23	45:8 49:4	reviewed 69:16	128:24
206:4,5	144:5	84:13 135:7	51:17 60:18	167:24 169:10	roadblocks 89:8
replaced 152:19	reset 12:7,10,10	142:24 155:15	78:4,20 79:9	169:10	Robo 3:4,10 4:4
replacement	residential	155:16 160:3	133:6	reviewing	13:21 161:20
183:1	22:21 37:21	162:17 164:24	resulted 15:11	171:14	165:12
replacing	37:25	166:25 167:15	results 12:14	revise 176:11	robust 72:3
183:13	resolution 2:12	167:15 169:11	41:23 49:11	revolution	163:16
replicate 23:2	80:8 132:8	169:21 170:7	54:12,25	30:24	role 23:16 29:19
report 13:16	154:18 192:6	175:7,7 178:2	retail 22:13,18	RFI 79:2	172:5,22
41:22 69:17	resolve 110:9	179:5 180:1	23:3 144:22	RFIs 163:23	173:16,17
71:8 73:11	116:20 117:16	189:7 194:18	145:6	RFP 88:7 188:2	202:3,4,4
74:3 78:2	118:9 127:8	194:23	retaining 67:1	ribbon 5:7	roles 125:17
156:17	resolved 110:14	respect 74:12	retention 103:1	RICHLAND	126:3 128:18
reported 84:15	116:22 120:4	80:4 120:2	retire 18:20	1:1	Rolland 14:10
84:19 96:14	resolving 76:20	132:7	45:3 49:17,24	right 6:17 9:25	Ronda 1:19
97:5	112:8 139:24	respected 20:10	50:1 51:3,14	10:25 13:12	207:4,18
Reporter 1:19	resource 12:11	respectfully 3:8	51:16 182:25	18:3 37:7 42:8	RONNIE 1:10
1:19 207:1,4	13:2,4 21:19	198:22	187:2	82:1,4,5 85:22	room 48:7 57:19
207:18	26:1,3 27:7,14	respective 38:21	retired 18:9,10	85:23 93:9	61:17 63:8
reporting 74:8	27:18 31:8,18	respond 3:8 8:7	52:10 90:14	95:4 102:21	189:11 190:4
84:11,22	31:21,24	42:2 97:16	91:4,5 95:1	104:2 106:3	190:8 200:19
85:15 150:8	33:12 42:11	132:19 135:19	104:24 105:4	116:12,24	Roosevelt 15:8
reports 74:8	43:8,21 44:4	135:21 136:22	204:23	118:12,23	roughly 94:24
150:4,6	44:13,15 45:1	182:19 194:3	retiree 149:18	123:25 127:4	120:23 148:16
	1	l	l	l	l

-					Page 229
	1	1		l	
round 10:10,12	30:11 31:11	162:18 164:21	second 3:17	3:2,17,25 4:1	138:21,22
10:21,22,23	34:5 37:18	168:15,17	16:17 22:14	4:2,3,7,8,11	139:1,2,6,13
10:24 89:21	38:10,14,19	169:13 170:4	35:9,17 41:20	4:19,20,25 5:2	139:15 140:3
89:22 124:8	39:3,9 40:1	170:10,22	50:21 52:8,9	5:6,8 8:2,6,17	140:23 141:4
133:16 200:16	41:13 49:14	171:19 172:9	52:13,19	10:20 14:16	141:8,11,14
201:4 204:18	50:17 55:2	172:21 173:5	79:14 91:9	24:20 36:13	141:20,24
204:19	58:4 61:8 62:4	173:18 174:1	95:25 115:6	36:15,18,25	142:7 143:6
Rozier 14:10	62:9,12 64:9	174:8 175:24	128:7 132:14	37:4 59:2	143:10,13,18
rubber 72:12	65:5,20 66:3,4	176:20 177:1	133:2,16	70:10 74:22	143:22,23
rules 94:19	66:7,16 71:9	177:4 179:11	171:23 182:24	76:24 77:5,9	144:18 145:1
run 10:13 13:11	72:15 73:13	180:17 190:25	201:10,19	86:8 90:21	145:9,16
18:3 29:3,13	74:7,16,18,19	192:21 193:24	secretary 84:8	92:6,8,12,15	146:10,25
85:1 185:15	74:25 75:2,9	194:20 195:17	sector 101:15	92:19,23 93:2	147:6,10,17
201:22	75:11 76:5	196:12,14,16	see 5:7 9:2 10:6	93:6,14 94:1,5	147:22 148:4
running 16:21	78:15,19	196:17,22	21:25 22:22	94:8,11,25	148:10,15,19
20:24 58:11	80:13 81:22	197:8 198:3	23:4,6 24:15	95:5,8,17,20	148:21,23
runs 185:20	82:12 83:22	198:18 199:16	26:5 31:4 32:1	95:23 96:4,8	149:2,6,11,22
rural 15:9	84:5 85:2	200:21 206:15	32:21 33:6	96:16,20,23	149:24 150:2
	86:24 88:12	Sate 22:1	34:22 35:10	97:7,15,20,25	150:11,14,18
S	88:17 89:3,5	satisfaction	36:12 37:11	98:2,3,7,11,20	150:21,23
S 1:8 2:1	90:11 91:3	22:13,20,23	38:4 40:16	99:1,4,11,17	151:2,7,10,12
sacrificing	92:24 94:2	23:3 85:6	48:8 55:7,10	100:4,13,22	151:17 152:1
160:4	95:1,3,12	satisfying 117:7	55:16,22,23	101:18,21,23	153:6,19
safety 13:20,22	98:15 101:20	save 24:13 48:2	56:20 57:4,17	102:2,4,7,10	154:4,12,15
13:23 22:11	102:10,20,23	87:4	58:5 76:14	102:14,16,25	154:20 155:5
22:12 24:15	103:6 104:5	saves 25:7 48:6	79:11 83:17	103:6,11	155:14,18
salary 90:15	104:17,20	savings 28:19	83:19 84:1	104:1,4,12	156:4 157:2
102:11	105:6 106:8	32:1,7,8,10	91:18 95:24	105:1 106:4,5	157:10,15
sale 117:21	109:1,9,12,16	34:16,20,25	99:24 138:19	106:15,17	158:4,5,10,25
118:7	110:4,5 111:2	87:6 130:11	142:4 144:5,8	107:10,19	159:21 160:12
sales 112:11	111:14,24,24	saw 38:8 54:22	157:21 193:14	108:9 113:20	160:17,20
146:15	112:5,6,19,21	66:12 76:2,8	206:22	114:16,17,18	161:9,16,19
Salt 9:17 12:17	113:24 114:25	85:12 170:10	seeing 189:15	115:4 116:9	162:22 164:7
13:1 17:19	115:9,9,16,20	194:21	201:13	116:23 117:4	164:11,15
18:9 19:14	116:7,16	saying 33:17	seek 4:16 49:4	117:11,18	165:1,2,4,4,22
21:9 23:14	117:10,15	65:23 77:20	111:10 154:8	118:4,12,15	167:13,18,22
27:18 28:22	120:1,5	129:2 187:1	seen 35:25	119:13,15,24	168:4,10,20
29:25 30:22	121:11,14,15	196:14 205:24	109:3 151:18	120:6,9,10,14	168:23 169:13
43:20 51:5	122:15 123:1	says 41:22	segregated	120:18,21	169:18 170:8
132:9,18	123:3,13,19	123:11 133:17	143:9 144:9	121:1,5,7,7,15	170:20 171:1
190:1	125:2,13,19	166:22 192:6	select 96:24,25	121:22,25	171:3,4,10,17
same-old-sam	126:6,17	SCANA 110:21	self 27:20 179:5	122:13 123:7	172:2,16
25:9	128:2,13	200:21	self-interests	123:25 124:4	173:3,20,24
Santee 2:12	129:9 130:2,4	scenario 38:6	30:6	124:9,12,14	174:4,14
5:15,18,20 7:2	135:9,16,17	schedule 169:12	sell 53:9 77:13	124:19 125:9	175:8,12,19
8:4,8 9:16	136:12,17	scheduled	133:1	125:12,17,23	176:8,15,23
10:4 11:10	139:21 140:18	104:25	seller 197:9,12	128:8,17	177:18,25
13:13,17,18	140:23,25	schedules 79:12	selling 60:20	129:5,17,23	178:11 179:6
14:2,11 15:6	141:18,22,25	school 17:11,16	sells 106:9	130:5,9,16,18	179:10 180:10
15:12,13,14	142:2,12	schools 15:17,19	Senate 1:3	130:21,24	181:9,16,18
15:20 16:6,16	143:14 144:4	15:22	67:24 68:8	131:15,20	181:19,21,22
16:18 19:5,6	144:10,19	SCOTT 1:14	138:13,24	132:1,4	181:23,25
19:10,11,14	145:9 147:23	SCPNL 195:17	158:1 193:2	133:15,23,25	182:5,8,21
20:3,5,7,8,14	148:23 150:11	screen 10:6	Senator 1:6,7,7	134:5,11,16	183:7,22
21:1,24 23:14	151:15 153:2	screened 67:22	1:8,8,9,9,10	135:2,24	184:9 185:2
23:20,24 24:4	153:13,19,20	seal 207:13	1:10,11,11,12	136:7,11	186:4,8
25:3,11,22	155:2,7,22	SEAN 1:13	1:12,13,13,14	137:8,19	187:14 188:5
27:13 28:1	157:4 161:21	search 12:13,14	1:14,15 2:25	138:7,8,13,18	188:7,11,15

					Page 230
	I	Ī	Ī	Ī	İ
188:19,24	184:2	104:1,4,12	short-term	simply 81:7	184:10,19
189:13,23	services 61:4	114:16,17,18	204:7	132:7 133:10	186:5 191:25
190:9,13	serving 13:7	117:12 119:13	shorter 126:25	170:4	199:7
192:18 193:14	session 73:13	119:15,24	shortly 196:9,9	simulated 35:15	sites 146:5,5
193:15,17,18	set 55:23 69:12	120:6,9 138:7	202:9	single 28:3	siting 67:18
193:19 194:7	73:19 88:12	138:8 139:14	show 8:7 13:21	100:21	71:11 73:6,10
194:7,10	88:13,14	139:15 140:3	13:22 22:15	Singleton 12:1	73:14,24
195:11,13	146:6,22	140:23 141:4	23:5 26:18	sir 3:1,24 4:17	132:11 167:22
196:8,10,21	149:4,21	141:8,14,20	35:8,9,16	5:1,23 6:22	168:12 173:16
197:3,16,18	152:14 153:10	141:24 142:7	38:15 39:4	11:23 24:22	sits 91:12
197:22 198:2	154:6,21	143:6,10,13	47:10 53:13	33:13 36:14	sitting 63:8 91:5
198:6,11,13	155:21,25	143:18,22	55:7 83:8	39:15 63:14	181:25
198:18 200:7	156:7,10	144:18 145:1	189:20 194:17	63:15,23	situation 7:13
200:9,17,23	171:20 176:25	145:9,16	showed 115:14	65:23 69:21	18:22 21:15
201:4,5,6,18	181:13 197:1	146:10,25	150:2 153:4	78:12 80:2	127:8
202:19,20	sets 181:11	147:6,10,17	159:4 162:1,3	82:16 83:5	six 50:10 104:15
203:1,10,15	setting 153:21	147:22 148:4	shown 26:20	86:2,17,20	120:22 131:2
203:22 204:9	171:14 180:21	148:10,15,19	127:22 162:2	90:2 91:21,24	150:6,10
204:16,20,22	settle 108:6	148:21,23	shows 35:25	92:7,11 93:1,5	183:3,3
205:4,21,25	110:17	149:2,6,11,22	55:2 56:22	94:7,10 95:4	size 22:10
206:3,10	settled 119:19	149:24 150:2	57:16 58:3	95:19 96:22	134:25 170:6
Senators 11:5	120:25 141:14	150:11,14,18	104:13	97:19,22 99:9	skill 88:12,13,14
14:11	141:15	150:21,23	shuffled 200:18	102:9,15	152:13 153:10
send 156:17,18	settlement 35:5	151:2,7,10,12	shut 82:2,4,11	103:5,11	slice 55:13,18
senior 5:14 7:2	35:7,10,12	151:17 152:1	82:14,23 83:4	104:19 105:9	55:20
sense 45:1 46:4	38:14,17	153:6,19	99:7,10,11,21	105:14,20	slices 55:7
46:23 47:17	39:16,17	154:4,12,15	131:3 166:11	106:15 108:7	slide 55:1 71:6
49:13 54:20	89:10 107:23	154:20 155:5	166:22 169:20	113:12,16	71:25 72:23
185:24	107:24 108:2	155:18 156:4	shutting 166:13	114:13,14	152:4 196:13
sent 5:9 112:4	108:5,13,15	157:2,10,15	169:6 182:6	116:13 117:3	slides 49:15
198:16	108:17,18,24	200:7,9	SIC 163:25	117:11 118:3	196:12
sentence 30:23	109:12,16	seven 9:15	side 28:15,15,16	118:8,20,23	slightly 148:7
separate 98:16	110:16,24	29:13 32:22	30:10 33:7	119:3,5,10,12	188:1
September 18:1	111:4 113:11	50:10 51:14	43:8 47:22,22	119:14,22	slow 32:21,23
126:8	113:22 114:5	81:5 109:11	61:7,8,24,25	120:21 121:3	86:5 114:2
series 59:20	115:3,18,23	150:4,16,17	89:15 121:3	121:4 124:4	slowing 47:9
seriously 151:22	116:8 119:2	150:18,19	131:12 135:9	133:15,25	small 17:8 43:14
serve 14:2 19:24	120:3,5,11	183:3	135:9 145:5	141:3 144:21	44:21 51:23
34:10 144:22	121:10 123:11	seven-year	153:16 178:17	145:16 148:2	64:13 106:21
145:2,8 166:3	158:7 159:1	68:11	side-by-side	148:22 149:1	165:14 184:20
201:12	159:17,20	shape 19:2 57:4	61:13	150:25 157:15	188:12 194:23
served 12:22	160:10,13,13	57:17,18	sides 18:3	158:9 169:16	smaller 30:14
18:15 21:3	settlements	95:12 205:5,7	sight 69:1,3	170:25 171:4	46:16 189:7
85:9	112:22	share 11:10	sign 65:23	171:9 176:16	smallest 181:7
serves 3:5 28:13	settling 115:24	28:19 120:18	122:14	181:16 190:12	smart 28:25
130:3 202:2,3	Setzler 1:8 2:25	Shawan 14:8	signed 38:20	191:25 197:21	29:3 162:14
202:4	3:2,17,25 4:8	Shealy 140:13	107:5 108:16	198:12,15,21	170:6
service 12:19	4:20 8:2,6	shed 125:5	113:1 123:21	199:9,9,11,21	Smith 14:8
13:20 18:10	10:20 24:20	sheet 131:6	significance	200:8 202:25	softer 59:3
18:17 50:1,8	76:24 77:5,9	142:25 144:4	17:20 197:6	203:17	solar 15:19
56:18 57:10	90:21 92:6,8	147:1	significant	sit 14:18 191:20	26:15 27:15
65:6 68:14	92:12,15,19	shift 53:3 64:18	112:6 145:23	200:23	27:19 28:2
73:15 85:16	92:23 93:2,6	shifting 60:18	significantly	site 5:15 50:12	45:22 52:24
85:22 87:10	93:14 94:1,5,8	shifts 24:17	64:18 67:3	77:17 112:9	53:11,12,20
87:14 103:22	94:11,25 95:5	58:12,13	187:15	112:17 146:8	53:21,23 54:1
129:15,25	96:23 102:14	ships 125:25	similar 50:25	146:18 147:7	56:23 57:6,11
144:14 154:6	102:15,16,25	ships 123.23 short 79:18	57:15 65:5	169:15 183:16	57:18 79:3
168:1 171:21	103:6,11	184:1	173:8	183:24 184:8	88:7 131:5
100.11/1.21	100.0,11	101	1,3.0	103.21101.0	00.7 151.5
<u> </u>					

					Page 231
	I	Ī	I	Ī	
134:16 163:23	26:10 41:16	130:12 131:13	23:18 27:17	stopped 116:19	199:18
174:13,15	59:8 74:15	132:3 133:10	41:16 62:6,8	storage 53:17	subpoenaed
178:2 181:6	75:12 82:17	152:8 172:10	65:17 72:20	54:3	199:24
187:14,16	124:17 137:3	180:9	72:21 73:4	store 29:2 53:25	subsequent
188:3,9 189:8	155:9 175:20	stability 32:22	87:24 91:12	storm 109:22	31:12
205:22	176:18 177:19	34:17 35:21	91:13 93:2,3,4	straight 69:2	success 23:2
Solar's 27:15	185:3 207:5	59:14	95:2 98:14	100:9 138:12	54:10 69:13
sold 102:11	207:13,19	stabilize 32:16	100:14,15,21	138:15	83:15 85:11
106:14 117:20	Southern 76:22	stable 40:17,18	100:23 101:1	straightened	successful 51:6
142:12 146:1	77:8,13,13	159:10	101:10 105:6	18:25	161:12
147:23	87:9	stack 46:12	105:25 115:9	stranger 16:17	successfully
sole 171:20	speak 19:17	83:18	117:6 131:23	16:18	85:5
solely 97:2	24:23 33:16	staff 2:7,10 3:24	133:9 137:3	strategic 12:7	succession
solid 23:23	37:7 48:12	4:2 5:4,7,9,23	142:13 143:15	12:10 13:3,5	18:18 88:7
150:7,10	65:14 72:8	6:4,16,25 7:18	147:25 148:8	32:7,10 34:15	151:19,22
156:25 163:15	88:5 126:11	7:21 9:8,12,20	149:3 153:25	69:12 83:13	152:11,16
163:25 178:9	152:24 154:9	10:2,22,25	154:1 155:8,9	84:19	sued 141:12
180:6	161:20 186:12	11:3 41:21,24	155:11,12,21	strategically	sufficient
solutions 21:13	SPEAKER	42:18 71:21	168:6 177:11	202:6	152:14
solve 128:7	114:11 190:19	72:10,25 74:5	207:4,19	stream 130:1	suggest 199:12
somebody 82:22	197:24	106:4,11	State's 143:15	stretch 170:19	suggested 26:23
85:13 86:12	special 200:20	124:12 134:19	stated 13:17,21	strictly 39:17	60:15
94:25 127:10	specific 27:3	140:13 142:4	123:10 207:7	119:4	suggesting
128:2,12	49:10,16	144:25 157:8	statement 165:3	strip 34:1	41:21 42:1
131:5 136:1	54:17 95:8	158:4 161:7	177:23	Strong 23:6	155:14
147:10,24	107:14 108:10	167:25 171:3	statements	stronger 49:4	suggestion
189:3 197:7	specifically 3:20	181:18,21	170:9	structural 67:10	136:21,24
somebody's	4:6,8 8:3	191:13 201:3	states 22:5	structure 12:12	137:10 156:19
148:25	85:21 110:17	204:25	23:16 122:23	66:6,10 83:8	198:23
someone's	146:11	staffing 84:17	station 26:23	83:12,14	suggestions
127:2	specifics 107:17	stakeholder	81:25 131:3,8	86:22 87:1	137:2,13
somewhat	113:7 183:11	67:12 69:18	144:7 169:7	structured	156:2,10
188:24	183:19	70:11 71:24	202:14	127:5	suit 112:13
soon 40:13	speculated	stakeholders	stations 5:16	struggling 59:7	sum 159:7 162:6
87:22 157:22	179:24	48:22 72:7,8	146:7	stuck 135:13	summary 89:2
183:20	spend 53:2 55:1	72:18 174:19	statistics 79:11	studies 17:18	179:21
sophisticated	spending 52:17	180:13 181:3	81:18,19	50:7	summer 11:9,11
75:10	73:7 170:20	stand 9:24 14:1	status 106:24	study 87:6	15:4 20:24
sorry 8:14 58:25	spent 9:15,16	14:6 36:4	193:25 202:14	subject 31:12	24:8,12 116:5
90:25 97:25	13:1 18:23	42:11 67:5	statutory 139:8	45:20 62:6	116:19 192:2
102:24 105:20	43:19 50:16	standards	171:24	67:21 70:17	Summers 5:16
133:23 143:6	71:15	189:19	stay 91:2 93:25	113:1 158:24	summertime
198:19	spirit 175:20	standpoint	127:19	subjected	26:10
sort 63:13 86:5	spoke 99:6	115:2	stays 147:25	153:20	sums 109:25
158:20 178:25	165:10	Star 23:7	195:1	subjecting 31:5	sun 26:17
192:21	spoken 85:18	stark 195:5,25	stenographica	156:16	Super 17:9
sought 53:7	spot 186:21	start 68:6 200:4	207:8	submission	superb 16:7
sounds 56:7	spots 22:19	200:10	steps 49:18	80:15 126:8	superior 22:4
117:8 135:14	spread 53:12	started 2:3	63:11 84:16	submit 41:24,25	supplemental
source 101:25	123:2 205:13	11:24 42:20	86:23 152:10	42:3 72:19	82:9 86:10
122:21 164:1	spreading 53:15	110:19 131:16	152:11 195:4	73:8 74:4,7	90:10 100:16
186:3,3 202:5	185:22	140:12 157:24	Steve 12:3	175:25	100:19 101:14
sources 55:3,8	spreads 189:3	starting 31:12	stewardship	submitting	101:24,24
168:13	SR 1:6	59:22 67:20	23:6 44:18	72:21	104:11 105:7
South 1:1 15:9	SRP 18:20 19:4	state 1:1 3:22	stick 35:16	subpoena 191:8	supplements
15:16,23	21:12,14 22:8	6:9 8:22 16:10	stone 21:5	191:19,23	101:6
16:11,15 22:2	31:3 42:7	16:13 17:12	stop 99:18 138:5	197:20 198:4	supply 25:23
22:3,20 23:9	43:22 51:8	22:20 23:9,12	138:6	198:9 199:14	26:13,13
22.5,20 25.7	15.22 51.0	22.20 23.5,12	150.0	1,0,, 1,,,,,,	20.15,15
<u> </u>					

30:12 46:25
50:1 52:19 187:3 197:13 198:9 199:6 57:12 166:24 180:10 184:21 180:18
180:18
163:12 167:15
177:21 194:19 205:20
205:20
Support 53:19
131:11 166:9 168:21
166:18 167:2 T 55:25 57:14 58:1,9,19 60:9 tell 16:16 23:16 92:20 93:15 17:20 18:21 supported 158:25 159:20 60:11 61:7,14 34:23 59:7,10 testify 2:24 5:10 30:10 37:3,5 5:25 9:22 39:3 48:16 supporting tab 148:25 table 60:3,21 81:9,20 87:17 92:22 95:9 testifying 9:9 87:16,17 10:25 table 60:3,21 tailor 61:4 164:20 182:1 113:10 116:14 take 3:15 11:9 182:5 185:14 142:23 152:13 10:17 17:20 18:21 133:19 18:20 table 60:3,21 tailor 61:4 164:20 182:1 117:13 141:24 77:2 96:24 136:7 170:6 136:7 170:6 136:7 170:6 136:7 170:6 136:7 170:6 136:21 17:20 18:21 133:12 42:16 47:13 135:20 147:17 51:8,16 53:15 139:17 62:17 165:48 166:12 165:20 147:17 51:8,16 53:15 166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 80:10,25 81:1 152:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 128:24 131:11 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 183:5,21 184:23 189:2 184:23 189:2 184:23 189:2 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 77:1 87:2 88:5
T Supported 166:4 158:25 159:20 62:19 63:17 65:21 82:3 5:25 9:22 39:3 48:16 80:10,25 141:1 196:22 141:1 196:25 141:1 196:25 141:1 196:25 141:1 196:25 141:1 196:25 141:1 141:1 196:22 141:1 141:
supported T's 38:23 60:11 61:7,14 34:23 59:7,10 testify 2:24 5:10 30:10 37:3,5 supporting tab 148:25 62:19 63:17 65:21 82:3 5:25 9:22 39:3 48:16 supporting tab 148:25 64:4 72:23 86:9 89:11 105:24 80:10,25 sure 9:4 59:11 62:1 63:6 87:25 119:16 108:4,20 101:8 103:1,4 121:8 62:15 66:16 200:18 128:5 162:11 113:10 116:14 testifying 9:9 87:16,17 76:6 77:2 tailor 61:4 164:20 182:1 117:13 141:24 77:2 96:24 136:7 170:6 88:10 90:8 take 3:15 11:9 182:5 185:14 142:23 152:13 101:17 117:2 173:4 181:1 93:9 95:22 24:10 37:16 186:25 187:6 156:18 157:7 165:10 183:12 122:11 133:12 42:16 47:13 39:17 62:17 165:8 166:12 Texas 43:10,14 things 3:12 4:4 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 2
166:4 158:25 159:20 62:19 63:17 65:21 82:3 5:25 9:22 39:3 48:16 supporting tab 148:25 64:4 72:23 86:9 89:11 105:24 80:10,25 sure 9:4 59:11 62:1 63:6 87:25 119:16 108:4,20 101:8 103:1,4 121:8 62:15 66:16 200:18 128:5 162:11 113:10 116:14 testifying 9:9 87:16,17 76:6 77:2 tailor 61:4 164:20 182:1 117:13 141:24 77:2 96:24 136:7 170:6 88:10 90:8 take 3:15 11:9 182:5 185:14 142:23 152:13 101:17 117:2 173:4 181:1 93:9 95:22 24:10 37:16 talking 4:3 158:22 164:19 165:10 183:12 122:11 133:12 42:16 47:13 42:16 37:13 39:17 62:17 165:8 165:12 Texas 43:10,14 things 3:12 4:4 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 67:13 75:21 167:11 168:23 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 87:13 128:23
supporting tab 148:25 64:4 72:23 86:9 89:11 105:24 80:10,25 sure 9:4 59:11 62:1 63:6 87:25 119:16 108:4,20 101:8 103:1,4 121:8 62:15 66:16 200:18 128:5 162:11 113:10 116:14 testifying 9:9 87:16,17 76:6 77:2 tailor 61:4 164:20 182:1 117:13 141:24 77:2 96:24 136:7 170:6 88:10 90:8 take 3:15 11:9 182:5 185:14 142:23 152:13 101:17 117:2 173:4 181:1 93:9 95:22 24:10 37:16 186:25 187:6 156:18 157:17 165:10 183:12 122:11 133:12 42:16 47:13 talking 4:3 158:22 164:19 Texas 43:10,14 things 3:12 4:4 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 67:13 75:21 167:11 168:23 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 telling 71:8 14:18 15:24 44:10 46:13 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 128:24 131:11 155:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19
141:1 196:22 table 60:3,21 81:9,20 87:17 92:22 95:9 testifying 9:9 87:16,17 sure 9:4 59:11 62:1 63:6 87:25 119:16 108:4,20 101:8 103:1,4 121:8 62:15 66:16 200:18 128:5 162:11 113:10 116:14 testimony 2:17 127:12 135:23 76:6 77:2 tailor 61:4 164:20 182:1 117:13 141:24 77:2 96:24 136:7 170:6 88:10 90:8 take 3:15 11:9 182:5 185:14 142:23 152:13 101:17 117:2 173:4 181:1 93:9 95:22 24:10 37:16 186:25 187:6 156:18 157:17 165:10 183:12 122:11 133:12 42:16 47:13 talking 4:3 158:22 164:19 Texas 43:10,14 things 3:12 4:4 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 67:13 75:21 167:11 168:23 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 telling 71:8 14:18 15:24 44:10 46:13 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 128:24 131:11 15:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10
sure 9:4 59:11 62:1 63:6 87:25 119:16 108:4,20 101:8 103:1,4 121:8 62:15 66:16 200:18 128:5 162:11 113:10 116:14 testimony 2:17 127:12 135:23 76:6 77:2 tailor 61:4 164:20 182:1 117:13 141:24 77:2 96:24 136:7 170:6 88:10 90:8 take 3:15 11:9 182:5 185:14 142:23 152:13 101:17 117:2 173:4 181:1 93:9 95:22 24:10 37:16 186:25 187:6 156:18 157:17 165:10 183:12 122:11 133:12 42:16 47:13 talking 4:3 158:22 164:19 Texas 43:10,14 things 3:12 4:4 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 67:13 75:21 167:11 168:23 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 128:24 131:11 115:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4
62:15 66:16 200:18 128:5 162:11 113:10 116:14 testimony 2:17 127:12 135:23 76:6 77:2 tailor 61:4 164:20 182:1 117:13 141:24 77:2 96:24 136:7 170:6 88:10 90:8 take 3:15 11:9 182:5 185:14 142:23 152:13 101:17 117:2 173:4 181:1 93:9 95:22 24:10 37:16 186:25 187:6 156:18 157:17 165:10 183:12 122:11 133:12 42:16 47:13 talking 4:3 158:22 164:19 Texas 43:10,14 things 3:12 4:4 135:20 147:17 51:8,16 53:15 39:17 62:17 165:8 166:12 thank 3:13,25 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 87:13 128:23 telling 71:8 14:18 15:24 44:10 46:13 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 1
76:6 77:2 tailor 61:4 164:20 182:1 117:13 141:24 77:2 96:24 136:7 170:6 88:10 90:8 take 3:15 11:9 182:5 185:14 142:23 152:13 101:17 117:2 173:4 181:1 93:9 95:22 24:10 37:16 186:25 187:6 156:18 157:17 165:10 183:12 122:11 133:12 42:16 47:13 talking 4:3 158:22 164:19 Texas 43:10,14 things 3:12 4:4 135:20 147:17 51:8,16 53:15 39:17 62:17 165:8 166:12 thank 3:13,25 20:25 24:14 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 67:13 75:21 167:11 168:23 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 115:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4
88:10 90:8 take 3:15 11:9 182:5 185:14 142:23 152:13 101:17 117:2 173:4 181:1 93:9 95:22 24:10 37:16 186:25 187:6 156:18 157:17 165:10 183:12 122:11 133:12 42:16 47:13 talking 4:3 158:22 164:19 Texas 43:10,14 things 3:12 4:4 135:20 147:17 51:8,16 53:15 39:17 62:17 165:8 166:12 thank 3:13,25 20:25 24:14 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 67:13 75:21 167:11 168:23 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 115:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 ten 56:10 59:24 33:18 36:17 61:18 63:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 198:13 175:10 176:1
93:9 95:22 24:10 37:16 186:25 187:6 156:18 157:17 165:10 183:12 122:11 133:12 42:16 47:13 42:16 47:13 158:22 164:19 Texas 43:10,14 things 3:12 4:4 135:20 147:17 51:8,16 53:15 39:17 62:17 165:8 166:12 thank 3:13,25 20:25 24:14 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 67:13 75:21 167:11 168:23 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 118:18 15:24 44:10 46:13 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 128:24 131:11 115:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 ten 56:10 59:24 33:18 36:17 61:18 63:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 175:10 176:19 189:2
122:11 133:12 42:16 47:13 talking 4:3 158:22 164:19 Texas 43:10,14 thank 3:13,25 things 3:12 4:4 135:20 147:17 51:8,16 53:15 39:17 62:17 165:8 166:12 thank 3:13,25 20:25 24:14 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 67:13 75:21 167:11 168:23 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 128:24 131:11 115:5,22 14:18 15:24 44:10 46:13 184:14 189:3 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 ten 56:10 59:24 33:18 36:17 61:18 63:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:5
135:20 147:17 51:8,16 53:15 39:17 62:17 165:8 166:12 thank 3:13,25 20:25 24:14 152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 67:13 75:21 167:11 168:23 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 telling 71:8 14:18 15:24 44:10 46:13 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 128:24 131:11 115:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 ten 56:10 59:24 33:18 36:17 61:18 63:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:5
152:15 164:23 54:6 57:22 67:13 75:21 167:11 168:23 4:19,24 5:8 31:19 34:25 166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 telling 71:8 14:18 15:24 44:10 46:13 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 128:24 131:11 115:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 ten 56:10 59:24 33:18 36:17 61:18 63:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:5
166:2 167:4 63:11 65:22 80:10,25 81:1 175:12 206:7 10:2 11:5,23 35:1,2 41:2,3 180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 14:18 15:24 44:10 46:13 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 128:24 131:11 115:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 ten 56:10 59:24 33:18 36:17 61:18 63:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:5
180:11 184:9 73:3,12 84:16 87:13 128:23 telling 71:8 14:18 15:24 44:10 46:13 184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 128:24 131:11 115:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 ten 56:10 59:24 33:18 36:17 61:18 63:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:5
184:14 189:3 143:23 153:6 128:24 131:11 115:5,22 16:3,10,20 49:8 56:20 surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 ten 56:10 59:24 33:18 36:17 61:18 63:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:5
surprised 167:5,6 176:7 131:21 145:10 156:19 168:15 19:19 25:1 60:5,7 61:16 192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 ten 56:10 59:24 33:18 36:17 61:18 63:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:5
192:21 183:5,21 147:6 154:24 ten 56:10 59:24 33:18 36:17 61:18 63:16 survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:5
survey 22:12 184:23 189:2 159:7 160:4 61:2 108:19 37:5 39:24 64:4 66:14 suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:5
suspect 182:15 192:16 195:3 173:21 174:13 126:24 138:9 42:11,21 67:15 75:22 sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:9
sustainable 198:13 175:10 176:19 189:25 193:17 48:14,15 77:1 87:2 88:9
1 52:23 55:1/ take-it-or-lea 182:16 183:23 ten-vear /4:21 65:11.12 1 109:21.21
55:19 66:21 183:23,25 tend 126:2 75:19 78:12 124:19 137:21
Suzanne 14:9
147:3 taken 42:19 191:14 123:20 86:20 90:5,6 176:20 178:23
swear 5:22 6:16 103:13 105:16 tall 65:24 tenure 131:2 99:4 100:4 189:8 9:12,19 157:23 159:16 tap 54:13 TERI 78:21 106:2,5 108:8 think 2:2 3:11
sworn 6:19,23 207:6 162:16 91:3,6 117:1 118:23 4:13 19:7,10 9:10,24 10:1 takes 33:20 42:9 tapped 149:5 term 60:6 68:6 118:25 119:12 23:13,21
synthetic 50:11,12,18 taps 17:21,22 68:7,8 116:2 120:9 121:5 24:24 33:24 180:13,20 153:8 183:9 targets 180:4 127:1 136:9 124:11,14,18 33:25 34:4
180:15,20
180:3 talk 2:5 3:20 94:18 112:11 137:14,17,21 139:13,15,16 40:19 43:17
system 18:14
26:12 27:4 21:20 26:24 tax-qualified 174:21 140:3 145:22 46:11 54:12
49:23 50:4,17 27:4 35:23 95:15 terminated 174:21 140:3 143:22 40:11 34:12
50:20 51:17
50.20 51.17 42.11 44.19 takes 4.12 151.8,15 157.20,21 05.19,25 04.2 52:1,11,16 48:19 49:7 112:13 terms 30:17 158:5 165:22 64:7 65:25
53:18,20 59:3,19 61:24 taxpayers 24:17 32:17,18,18 171:1,2,4 67:15 72:11
61:10 85:7,7 63:25 64:22 teach 15:18 34:11 38:6,23 181:16,19,20 72:13 77:3
100:15,24 66:1 67:18 team 9:12 10:8 54:25 57:7 182:22 190:13 79:20 81:3,13
130:2 135:10 69:11 70:3 50:15,16 62:1 68:11 195:14 197:16 81:19 82:1,3,5
145:6 147:22 71:5 85:23 122:22 108:12 109:3 198:6 200:6 82:20,21
148:9 149:15
161:21 162:6 145:17,18 tech 194:14 112:23 113:2 204:9,15 87:12 88:24
164:22 165:10 147:18 158:15 Technically 113:2 115:2 206:10 89:3,11 97:17
165:17,19
166:4,9,10,19 165:5,19 technological 161:4 164:22 theirs 61:12 104:10 107:19
167:2,9,18 173:15 174:1 47:4,8,20 174:25 175:4 121:19 163:1 107:21 108:3
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

					Page 233
	ı	1	1	1	1
113:10 114:2	132:25 140:20	195:2,8	168:18,19,20	87:9	68:10 74:1
114:3 115:13	140:24 169:25	202:13 205:2	173:3 194:21	tremendous	76:3 82:21,23
118:15 121:18	177:3 187:11	207:6,7	total 58:5 106:8	47:25 130:6	86:10 90:10
122:17 124:5	193:13 202:17	timeline 50:10	115:14 120:14	trend 33:6	95:3 99:22
125:7,22,23	202:18,21,22	51:18 184:25	121:13 159:7	tried 89:7	104:15,15
126:1 128:8	202:23	times 4:7 117:20	159:9 162:7	trim 87:9	117:9 125:24
128:17,21	three-year	117:20	163:19 182:2	trip 70:14	126:15 132:25
134:19 135:21	109:13	timing 169:11	193:16	tripled 55:19	134:20,20,23
135:22 137:7	Thursday 165:1	tires 76:2	totally 113:11	trouble 86:8	136:20 137:2
137:17 138:11	165:9	title 83:21 84:8	193:8	true 47:21 97:4	138:11 140:17
139:6,25	tie 73:22	titled 2:12	touch 69:25	108:1 154:18	147:14,16
140:19,19	tied 48:24 51:23	titles 14:16	touched 199:2	162:16 165:7	154:23 157:18
142:17 144:12	188:16	Toal 38:22	tough 79:24,25	165:8 196:20	159:15 169:8
144:12,22,24	till 138:9 157:18	107:6,16	79:25 129:4	207:9	171:18 176:25
146:18 152:1	204:19	108:11 111:22	173:12	truly 75:2	182:12,24
152:22,23	time 2:3 3:9,18	today 2:17 5:10	town 43:14	175:15 178:21	185:10 190:25
152.22,25	4:21 6:16	6:1,8,14 7:8	training 12:22	181:2	193:13,20
· ·		, ,	Transco 184:22	trust 15:2 75:16	195:5 197:2
154:5 155:6,8	11:15,21	7:12 11:6,19			
155:19,24	12:24 15:24	12:1 15:18,21	transcribed	94:17,19	197:19 198:2
156:6 157:10	18:19,23 19:1	43:6 56:24	207:8	148:12 149:5	199:16 201:7
161:2 162:3	19:3 24:21	57:8 72:4 78:1	transcript 1:3	149:10	201:11 202:7
162:14 167:10	27:6 28:9	78:3,7 79:8	207:7	try 36:20 58:25	202:8,11
169:1,22	29:15 34:3,14	81:12 122:14	transfer 183:25	59:9 65:14	206:16,17
171:12,18	35:14,18 38:1	124:16 127:17	transform 47:7	146:15	two- 106:20
174:12 175:9	38:2,3 43:4,20	127:19 134:17	transformation	trying 36:19	type 90:14
178:5,13,20	45:13 47:8	138:17 140:14	162:6	77:15 85:21	98:10,16
179:1,12	49:21,22,23	147:1,13	transforms	99:5 101:11	100:5,16
180:20,21	50:11,12,16	150:15 158:6	88:24	101:12 140:7	103:15
181:11,12,13	50:21,23 51:3	161:19 164:20	translates 52:21	142:16 149:25	typed 207:8
181:19 183:22	51:11 52:2	169:17 171:5	transmission	151:21 178:18	types 68:21
184:2 185:2	53:12,15,24	183:4 186:18	13:6 14:19	195:5 196:1,2	153:17 166:24
188:19 191:12	54:3 55:1	189:22 190:3	49:25 50:3,11	196:11 197:13	typical 48:5
191:22 192:3	56:25 57:6	191:6 192:20	51:19 52:2	197:15 200:18	typify 129:2
195:3,4 196:5	66:11,15	193:7 195:15	53:20 60:20	204:18	
201:21 202:18	74:17 75:23	196:19 199:5	71:13 85:7,12	TSCs 175:20	U
205:6	79:9,9,18	206:12	131:10 167:15	Tuesday 1:4	Uh-huh 125:16
third 55:14	81:19 82:6	today's 56:8	182:14,14,25	turbine 169:15	136:10 154:14
69:16 109:15	88:6,11,16	61:1	183:7,8,9	170:21	164:14 174:3
123:4,5,6	92:10,18	told 6:13 43:19	187:3	turbines 169:23	182:7
133:4 178:17	95:16 101:19	75:4 92:19	transmitter	turn 11:8 15:25	ultimate 73:14
201:13,16	108:18,23	107:12 115:17	178:16	19:1 24:10	ultimately
thirds 106:21	109:4 112:17	121:17 122:15	transparency	31:1 46:6	77:15 132:13
THOMAS 1:9	112:24 117:18	139:10 148:15	20:17 24:10	65:10,21	143:17 155:16
1:13	124:25 130:24	163:19 184:17	41:13,18 42:6	119:21 134:18	167:10 178:20
thought 24:3	130:25 131:14	TOM 1:11,13	42:8 44:23	135:3 142:4	195:9,20
102:19 115:18	134:3 135:1	Tommy 84:18	48:17 49:8	turned 187:12	unable 78:7
118:19 121:11	139:18 141:10	tomorrow 82:2	67:12	turns 43:13	unadjusted 36:3
165:5 166:12	143:24 144:3	82:4 151:20	transparent	157:18	unbelievably
173:3 192:25	153:4 157:12	151:20 193:9	68:2	two 16:16 18:2	27:20
193:6,23	159:11 161:5	199:17,23	transport 85:11	18:7 19:15,21	undergraduate
thoughts 19:1	162:12 163:11	200:1,2,4	183:14	19:25 20:25	17:12
71:23	163:13 166:5	204:19 206:8	transportation	21:4,10 22:11	underneath
three 18:2 22:12	167:7 169:5	tongue 70:15	183:13	32:14 34:15	14:3
29:13 44:3	170:24 182:1	116:23	travel 9:2	35:1 39:9	understand
50:16 51:2	182:13 183:1	top 22:7,8,8	traveled 18:22	41:12 43:16	36:4,7 37:2,15
88:6 104:14	183:9,10,17	32:2,17 91:13	treasury 14:20	43:16 49:15	39:23 40:25
104:15 108:22	185:16,21	135:3 142:3	treatment 173:8	49:18,18,21	41:1 42:2
123:2 124:5	189:10 192:12	164:21 168:18	trees 59:4,5	51:2,13 52:6	50:18 66:2,4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

					Page 234
	I	I	I	ı	ı
82:13 88:13	34:19 47:18	131:25	34:18 35:4	warmth 16:14	191:23 198:9
96:22 99:25	47:25 48:2	versus 110:20	36:25 39:11	WARN 112:15	206:14,22
101:5,16	79:8 106:21	110:21 133:17	40:6,9 41:17	112:16	we're 2:9 4:3
103:14 115:4	116:2 122:24	165:20,20	41:25 42:4	warranted	21:4 25:6 31:2
125:22 129:7	138:21 199:14	194:21 195:17	47:12,13,17	193:7	31:5,16,21
130:6 133:18	200:3	vertical 34:12	48:12,21,25	wasn't 25:14	32:5 39:3
136:3 137:3	usual 142:18	178:14	49:4,5,5,8	56:9 103:17	41:20,23 42:1
140:25 144:18	utilities 41:16	vice 5:14 7:2	50:22 51:9,10	105:3 124:4	42:4,7 44:12
152:6,7,15	56:23 67:23	81:6 93:16,20	54:9,14 57:11	137:14 174:16	59:11,16 65:5
153:5,25	87:6 168:6	93:21,23	58:23 59:10	185:5,6	68:1,10,12
157:3 160:20	177:20 179:7	150:12,21,23	61:24 64:9	water 12:19	69:17 71:17
	200:21	150:12,21,25	66:25 67:10	24:16 84:4	74:8,11 76:20
161:4,14 165:6 172:8	utility 12:8,17	Vickie 14:7	72:20 77:21	166:19,20	77:18 79:4
176:22 189:10	12:18 17:21				
		85:17,19	79:14,21	201:11,11,20	81:10,13
194:20	24:5 26:6	video 2:17	83:22 86:3	201:21,22,24	87:13,22
understanding	28:20 29:20	view 13:11	87:2,17,18	203:2	88:20,22
8:18 20:21	30:24 32:13	37:16,17	88:18 90:21	watershed	112:25 117:8
48:23 68:16	33:2,2 48:6	44:19 84:17	95:24 97:21	15:13	122:11 123:7
100:18 126:5	53:18 67:17	156:23 173:13	102:16 103:12	Watson 14:8	123:9,23
126:10,11	68:16 174:20	viewed 137:5	104:7,19	way 4:16 17:5	127:17,23,24
127:9 128:4	utilized 37:14	views 37:21	116:3 119:15	19:2,12,14	131:11 132:12
128:16 137:8	37:14	violate 179:20	119:20,21	26:15 27:3,15	143:18 146:9
152:16 161:22	utilizing 32:9	violating 80:21	128:3,13	28:8 29:23	150:25 151:1
190:25 198:9	T 7	Virginia 75:6	137:16 138:18	43:17 46:7	155:14 156:16
199:5	V	vision 15:11	138:24 140:8	50:22 61:11	156:21 157:16
understands	V 5:16 11:9,11	visiting 8:22	147:4,17	61:18 67:6	159:7 160:3
147:18	15:4 24:7,12	voice 36:16,16	155:11 156:18	69:2 80:20	160:15 163:14
understood	116:5,19	37:1 43:3 59:6	159:10 160:4	82:1,4,5 83:10	167:3 168:2
102:19 128:10	192:2	149:7,17	165:8 169:20	91:6 95:12	168:11 169:2
133:19	valid 37:10	voltage 166:4,18	170:14,16,23	113:20 114:23	169:22 170:20
undivided 33:15	valuable 190:2	167:1 182:16	171:10 172:17	121:16 127:5	172:18,21
48:13	203:3	voluntary 29:23	173:18 177:9	128:6,6 129:3	174:12 176:17
uneconomic	value 4:6 38:7	Volvo 145:12	177:10 184:17	145:20 152:20	176:19,19,23
205:15	39:8 45:8 76:1	146:18,21	191:13,24	159:6 160:8	177:11 181:14
unfamiliar 20:7	76:13,15	147:7	192:5 194:3	162:14,15,15	184:14 191:19
20:9	77:12,21,22	vote 19:13,22	199:20 200:23	168:5 178:5	192:23 194:22
UNIDENTIFI	77:23 133:22	192:16,19,19	201:8 202:16	178:11 179:1	194:23 195:5
149:7,17	133:24 146:25	198:8,13	205:19 206:18	179:9 180:20	195:18,25
uniquely 89:12	147:12,19	voted 136:4	wanted 11:24	189:12	196:1 197:13
unit 52:8 205:11	variety 55:25		12:4 20:15,22	ways 28:17	197:15 200:11
205:14	56:3	W	30:2,2,3 36:10	61:20 132:5,6	201:1 202:12
United 22:5	various 78:4	W 1:7,10	37:16 38:15	192:7	205:24 206:11
units 49:18	89:9 163:21	W-A-R-N	44:21,22,22	we'll 2:3,16 3:15	206:13
134:23 182:3	vary 185:10	112:16	45:8 46:16	6:16 9:1,1	we've 2:13 3:14
182:4,12,15	varying 115:12	Wagner 14:7	48:7,16 51:3	24:10 26:24	11:14,15,18
182:24 183:24	VC 32:6	wait 119:16,20	75:7 77:10	27:7 28:2	23:2 33:12
185:10,10	VCS 24:14	145:1	82:3 83:9	34:24,24	35:20 42:15
202:7 205:14	26:21,21	waited 51:14	84:10,15,22	42:20 68:6	42:21 49:3
universities	30:15 32:2	wall 42:17	85:11 93:24	69:3 89:17,19	53:5,6,6 58:1
100:24	76:1,2	wand 36:21	127:6 135:21	89:20 96:19	60:15,19,21
University	vehicle 110:19	want 2:4 5:21	139:12 164:5	99:14,25	61:7 62:23
17:12,16	vendors 89:9	9:5 11:2,8	184:16 200:20	101:19 105:10	63:4,4 64:24
unstable 167:19	venues 110:13	13:25 14:1	wants 2:18	118:21 122:10	76:17 82:23
167:20	VERDIN 1:10	16:10,20	86:18 176:5	125:9 138:10	85:24 87:17
upward 111:5	verification	22:13 23:22	warehouses	142:6 143:23	87:18 99:21
USC 100:25	154:8	27:11,12	76:11	151:4 157:21	122:15 130:22
use 29:16 33:23	verify 161:7	30:11 31:6	warmly 16:11	157:24 169:3	131:3,12,18
33:24 34:15	version 21:12	33:16 34:15	16:19	190:21,22	134:20,20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	-				

					1 age 233
144 12 146 7	02 10 04 4 7 0	21 24 27 21	117 04 101 0	121 12 12 12	12 20 7 40 10
144:13 146:7	93:19 94:4,7,9	21:24 27:21	117:24 121:3	131:13,13,13	12 30:7 40:18
150:16 153:11	94:13 95:4,11	75:19	144:25 205:1	133:7,21	119:17
154:1 163:15	95:19,22 96:3	wonderfully	www.compus	134:6,6,7,8,8	1200-megawatt
169:10 179:8	96:6,11,19,22	16:19	1:24	134:15 158:11	169:14 170:21
189:25 190:20	97:4,11,19,21	wondering		161:2 164:9	125 71:13,14
192:19 193:13	98:3,6,9,12,23	63:25 135:14	X	164:13,16,18	13 56:10
193:15 203:20	99:9,14,24	162:24	X 153:10	167:8 170:1	14 56:11 133:17
203:24 205:6	100:7,10,17	word 3:14 30:1		183:4 189:25	161:2
weather 76:12	101:4 102:2,5	51:21 198:16	Y	195:15 202:2	15 42:16 111:10
website 2:12 5:3	102:9,22	words 110:15	y'all 14:18	years-ish	120:14,15,23
5:3,4 69:7	103:3,12	167:13 172:6	67:20 69:22	183:18	134:6,8,12,14
Wednesday	104:3,7,19	206:6	107:4,7	yell 36:22,23	151:15 207:20
142:9 165:11	105:1,9,14,17	work 21:1,5	115:19 131:17	43:2	15-minute
week 2:21 3:9	105:20,23	22:2 34:23	134:5,12	yesterday 2:20	42:16
3:18 4:21	145:22 146:12	42:9 46:8,10	135:15 136:8	2:23 3:4 5:9	15-year 37:24
13:21 115:8	147:2,9,15,21	50:24 61:19	153:22 154:24	198:24	134:15
115:14 117:11	148:2,7,14,17	62:10,14	191:21 192:14	yield 139:1	150 144:15
118:10	148:20,22	64:25 70:19	206:12,21	young 20:2	1500 52:24
weighted 37:19	149:1,4,9,14	72:17,23 73:4	y'all's 137:19	43:11 205:3	53:11
welcome 16:2	149:20,23	74:25 79:4	yards 76:13	Yvette 14:9	16 79:8
44:6 49:1	203:8,10,17	87:24 89:6,7	yeah 99:1		160 24:1 129:13
welcomed 16:19	203:24 204:11	130:14,18	129:10 145:19	\mathbf{Z}	162 134:22
went 11:17 15:7	willing 16:23	135:8,18	145:19 150:20	zero 77:20	163 79:5
15:17 17:11	17:2 20:21	146:3 158:22	150:22 153:1	162:9	1630 81:14
29:22 50:8	89:4 187:20	199:20 203:4	155:6 168:22	102.9	1640 81:14
75:4 76:1,8	187:24 194:8	203:13	194:1	0	1675 81:13
104:16 134:12	wince 75:6	worked 19:10	year 17:24 18:8		17 55:21 141:25
163:23 184:22	wind 174:15	19:11 21:10	24:1 33:25	1	150:23 151:3
187:18,19,23	wind 17 1.13 window 164:17	40:5 74:18,18	72:14 94:2,15	1 22:7,8 164:21	183:25 184:20
188:2 191:12	winter 26:5,14	79:15 80:18	99:20 102:11	168:19,20	1700 151:2
weren't 75:7	53:22	80:20 85:20	105:7,8	196:19 204:18	18 75:9
95:13	wintertime 26:8	94:25 129:3	109:15,18	207:7	180 50:24
west 43:10,14	26:11,17	191:12	112:5 120:25	1-888-988-0086	149:20 185:21
West 43.10,14 Westinghouse	Winyah 26:22	working 17:13	120:25 127:2	1:24	180,000 29:25
76:18 77:7,11	26:22,24 27:2	61:3,7,12,14	131:16 132:25	1,000 43:22	185 50:24 82:5
116:15,16,24	49:17 50:6	65:7 79:23	190:10 196:15	52:24 146:7	185:22
wetlands 146:23	51:12,14,16	80:2 87:8,25	196:21 202:9	1.3 39:7 79:3	185,000 145:7
146:23	52:9,9 81:17	88:1,4 117:15	year's 129:10,12		19 119:18
whatnot 192:25	88:4 159:24	123:23 135:17	year's 129:10,12 year-and-a-half	1.4 38:5	19 119:18 190,000 144:22

whichever 73:1 wholesale 23:4	165:13,16	150:25 151:1	149:13	57:2 78:18,20	1920s 163:25
85:16 144:23	166:13,23	works 51:10 world 71:8	yearly 129:9	81:9,11	1932 15:7
	169:7 170:2	worth 29:6	years 9:16 12:16	119:17 151:15	1940 15:12,18
145:8	170:19 182:2		12:20,21 13:1	185:21 193:19	1980s 19:11
wide 46:9	182:24 184:4	132:25 154:2	18:1,5,10	10.2 196:24	205:2
wife 17:24 43:12	185:6,7,14,17	wouldn't 73:2,3	21:10 22:12	10.24 197:4	2
wildlife 146:3	204:22 205:8	75:1 107:13	30:9,9,9,21,21	10:25 42:17,18	
William 191:20	205:12,23	121:20 135:20	32:22 33:11	100 51:20,25	2 5:16 10:21,22
192:16 197:24	206:4	170:22	34:14 38:12	57:2 85:5	10:23 11:9,11
Williams 1:7,18	wipe 15:15	wound 203:15	39:2 40:18	102:5 129:15	24:8,12,14
21:19 48:19	wish 125:4	wrap 75:24	43:12,19	163:19 169:22	26:21,21
65:11,12,19	199:6	86:13	45:13 46:2,21	170:18 185:18	30:15 32:2,6
65:19 69:21	withdraw	wrestled 126:12	47:5 50:11	100,000 144:24	56:8 59:22
69:24 70:8	102:13	write 154:17	51:3,14 56:10	11 81:12 193:19	76:1,2 89:21
74:23 84:1	Witness 150:16	writing 62:25	61:2 75:5,7,9	11-and-a-half	116:19 124:8
90:6,20 91:1	207:12	63:7,9 70:9	75:17 88:6	81:12	135:7 189:18
91:21,24 92:8	witnesses 10:1	written 97:10	103:22 123:2	1100 182:2	192:2 204:19
92:11,14,17	wonder 7:4	172:15	126:24 127:14	1120 170:2,3	2-and-a- 163:12
92:22 93:1,5,8	wonderful	wrong 11:17	127:18 131:2	1124 182:4	2-and-a-half
		1			

					Page 236
162.12 105 22	2020 162.9	12,1 10.0 16	7 27.14 10		
163:13 195:22	2030 162:8	13:1 18:9,16	7 37:14,18		
2.1 33:24,25	2030s 55:5	21:10 43:19	70 109:14 123:5		
2.3 163:2,13	2031 52:25	400 149:13,14	700,000 148:16		
164:12 170:20	2034 161:3,6	401K 90:14,15	148:20		
188:22 195:17	2035 161:8,13	98:4,6,9,13,16	70s 202:8 205:2		
195:22	2053 60:8	100:5 103:13	72-month		
2.4 163:2	2250 51:2	103:15,16,20	164:17		
2.50 189:14	23 182:6	103:24	75 134:23		
20 10:16 34:14	24 93:16 150:12	41 43:12	75s 134:20		
43:23 45:13	150:18 204:2	42 18:1	780 149:19		
46:1 75:5	2400 79:13	440 163:20	-		
89:19 92:2	25 1:4 18:14	45 120:1	8		
93:7,8,11	77:16 85:19		8.33 33:6		
94:11 99:7	136:25 137:12	5	80 15:12 187:23		
124:2 133:21	204:2	5 3:5 55:20	800,000 105:7		
134:8,11,14	250 28:11	151:15	85 57:17		
138:8 150:12	26 164:7	5.6 196:17 197:3			
157:11 163:6	26th 207:13	197:7	9		
167:7 186:6	27 182:13,25	5.61 33:19	9 16:21 20:23		
202:2	187:25	5:15 206:23	22:1 126:8		
20-minute	28 135:2	50 56:6,7,16	130:10,19		
10:13		500 52:7,21,25	190:22 200:4		
20-year 32:21	3	122:16 142:11	200:10 206:14		
33:9 37:23	3 5:16 10:24	142:14,15	206:22		
38:2	11:9,11 18:5	143:10,14,19	9:15 1:4		
200 38:18 53:17	24:8,12,14	163:14 182:10	90 149:7,8,9,11		
54:2 109:8,12	26:21,21	188:12	900 38:6		
121:12,13,15	30:15 32:2,6	500-megawatt	910 39:8		
121:12,13,13	56:9 76:1,2	169:24	92,000 94:4,9		
143:12 144:15	89:22 116:19	51 183:20	93 22:21 85:5		
144:19	126:9 137:1	52 79:8			
2000 202:9	137:12 189:14	520 109:5 111:9	95 21:4,7 60:19		
			74:6 79:10,16		
2001 95:13	189:21 192:3	120:12 121:13	85:5		
2005 66:11,24	3.17 39:7 134:4	521 121:10	961 115:15		
95:16	3.4 94:18	534 22:4	97 22:21 85:5		
2010 57:17	30 30:21,21 38:1	547 58:6,7 164:9	97,000 94:24		
2017 40:17	79:8 81:4 93:8	188:14,23			
78:18 81:10	134:6,7,7,9	561 115:13			
140:5	150:19 185:13	567 188:13			
2019 1:4 23:7	30-year 38:1	594,000 103:7			
103:6 104:14	85:19				
119:23	30,000 79:11	6			
2020 109:15,18	300 143:13	6 90:15 100:6			
123:4 134:17	148:6,7,8	102:23 104:9			
142:19 147:20	300,000 77:17	6,000 43:21			
207:13	30s 56:17	60 21:8 106:16			
2021 123:5	189:21	184:24			
142:20	32 102:11	600-something			
2022 123:6	320 38:19 109:7	115:13			
2023 49:18	109:10	62 48:24 71:4			
2024 52:24 54:3	34 147:4	72:13 174:2			
109:19	350 149:13,14	174:16,24			
2025 196:15	38 92:1 93:10	175:5,5,15,24			
2027 49:19		65 106:12,16			
52:10	4	109:14,14			
2028 54:4	4 22:4,6 25:7	123:4,4			
207:20	4,000 146:20	123.7,7			
207 .20 2029 40:17	40 9:16 12:16	7			
△∪ △ノ ⊤ ∪.1 /	70 7.10 12.10				